CAMCIG Conference Call Minutes

March 1, 2010
2:30-4:00 PM

Present: Xiaoli Li (Chair, UC Davis), Wanda Jazayeri (UC Irvine), Anna DeVore (UCSB), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Jim Dooley (Merced), Lisa Rowlison de Ortiz (UCB), Nina Meechoonuk (Recorder, UCSF)

Absent: Brad Eden (UCSB)

1. Announcements
UC Davis University Librarian (UL) is retiring today. They will be keeping two co-acting ULs while they put together a search committee for a new UL.

UCSB also has two acting co-ULs while the search for a new permanent UL is in progress.

2. NGTS updates (Jim)
The ULs approved the report submitted by the executive and steering teams. This report will be posted soon to the website. The executive and steering teams as well as the chairs of the four resource teams will be meeting in Oakland on March 12th to develop charges for Phase Two.

3. Local Holdings Records Projects
Every campus except Berkeley and UCR has loaded their LHRs to OCLC. Campuses that have loaded their LHRs plan to update either:

Weekly: UCD, UCSD, UCI, UCSC, UCLA
Monthly: UCSB, UCSF

Do campuses need separate checkin records for local URLs and SCP URLs? Linda reported that SCP will be creating and managing LHRs for the CDL-licensed resources, but that campuses will need to create and manage their own LHRs for locally-licensed resources. In other words, campuses do not need to create checkin (or holdings) records for SCP URLs, but do need to create checkin (or holdings) records for local URLs.

The OCLC Local Data Fields document was discussed. We agreed that the document is more about guidelines than an implementation plan.

4. Electronic theses and dissertations - Will guidelines for UC best practices be useful given ETDs are a new type of resource?
UCR just started to solely accept ETDs. They order a print copy from ProQuest.
UCLA does not accept ETDs yet but they do create a separate record for the ProQuest version.
Davis uses the information in XML files to create MARC records. UCSF uses the XML, PDF, and MARC records from ProQuest and uploads the MARC records into OCLC.

Regarding the submission to CDL’s DPR, UCSF has been testing sending MARCXML (not METS or MODS). The MARCXML files to CDL were ingested successfully.

**ACTION ITEM:** Everyone will send their cataloging procedures to the group with examples of MARC records for print and ETDs. There is no deadline. It was agreed that creating guidelines for a basic MARC record would be ideal.

5. **How campuses process materials which are contributed to UC shared print collections (such as JSTOR, IEEE, etc.)**

Should the holdings display for materials that have been contributed to UC shared print collections be standardized? Should they be displayed in local OPAC, classic MELVYL, and/or WorldCat Local?

Is there a need to display those holdings in local OPAC, especially when a campus only contributes a few volumes to fill the gap? If the REQUEST button redirects patrons, maybe it’s not necessary for them to see where the requested items are located.

None of the campuses remove their holding symbol from OCLC even when the entire run of a title is contributed to a shared collection like JSTOR, IEEE, etc.

6. **Cal Docs Update**

It was agreed that when cataloging of Cal Docs is complete, catalogers should email a list of OCLC #s (in txt format) to Adolfo Tarango at SCP.

UCSC has begun using the Web Archiving Service (WAS) to add archival URLs to SCP records and has completed work on over 100 records thus far.

A summary report will be sent to HOTS pending word from GILS.

7. **Other Topics (Xiaoli)**

**How many campuses use the OCLC Bibliographic Record Notification service?**

UCSD started using the service but stopped because it wasn’t useful.
UCI is not using it.
UCB will begin using it.
UCD does not use it but has heard that the filters work better and since it is a free service, it might be worth looking into.

**Have people heard about Michigan State University dropping OCLC and going with SkyRiver? Are any campuses considering this switch?**
No one seemed interested in switching to SkyRiver.

**Next Call:**
Monday April 5, 2010
2:30-4pm
Recorder: Anna DeVore