CAMCIG Conference Call. Minutes

Date:  Monday, Dec. 5, 2011
Time:  2:30 - 4:00 p.m.

Participants: Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Armanda Barone (UCB), Anna DeVore (UCSB), Jim Dooley (UCM), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Heidi Hutchinson (UCR), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI, chair), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA, recorder), Xiaoli Li (UCD), Bea Mallek (UCSF), Adolfo Tarango (SCP)

1. Announcements.
   a. UCB e-mail went down Thanksgiving week, back up as of Monday Dec. 5. Messages sent during this period to UCB may have been delayed or lost.
   b. UCSD library is in the process of a major reorganization, to take effect no earlier than July 1 2012. Few details are known, but cataloging operations will be centralized.
   c. Linda Barnhart is to be the UCSD representative to HOTS, Adolfo Tarango will be the UCSD representative to CAMCIG as well as the SCP representative.
   d. SCP news: first batch of “open access” 856 fields sent this week; hope to start sending SCP records in Unicode Feb 1, 2012.

2. Updates on POT and Lightning team appointments (standing topic--information sharing)

   POT5 LT1: LT is developing survey on the impact of stopping the distribution of SCP records. They are focusing on the impact on public services, but as noted below, there are potential impacts on technical services and these should be gathered and sent to the LT as well.

3. Next Gen Melvyl (standing topic--information sharing)

   Testing of “central index” and “view now” functionality continues. In 2012, hope to test the “knowledge base” that will be part of WCL.

4. CAMCIG/Lightning Team--SCP Distribution Current Costs survey.

   a. Next meeting. Lightning team call scheduled for Dec. 19, 9-10:30 am. At this meeting we may also have time to discuss the second part of the Lightning Team charge. Doodle poll will be used to establish a meeting time for the first week in January (the first Monday is a holiday).
   b. Questions that have arisen as we tried to fill out the survey?
      i. Should we supply detailed procedures? E.g., the actual scripts that we run? No, record basic steps, e.g., “run script”, not the details of the script that is run.
      ii. Where do we put our procedures? Attach outline of procedures as “child page” in Confluence; ask Joan at UCSF regarding Confluence problems.
      iii. Should we include time spent on record maintenance that is identified through the SCP load? No, not unless it is editing that is required to make use of the SCP record. For example, do not include time spent recataloging related print records to successive entry or time spent determining if an SCP record duplicates and existing campus subscription.
      iv. Should we record how we use the load of the SCP records to identify needed serial maintenance or duplicate subscriptions? Are those really benefits of SCP
distribution that should be recorded by our sister Lightning Team? Yes, record in answer to the last question on the survey and then we can as a group decide when/where to report out this information.

c. Deadline for gathering data: Dec. 12

5. Discuss HOT's request to CAMCIG regarding Pot 2's direction for a consortial/collaborative cataloging standard

a. Discussion of to what consortial agreements this standard would apply: suggested situations in which it would apply: SCP; centralized cataloging; work done by one campus for another; shelf-ready agreements with vendors.
b. Discussion of whether adoption of the BSR/CSR for consortial cataloging would mean that records that do not meet this standard could be used on a purely temporary basis. Example: SCP creates K-level records (K-level does not meet the BSR/CSR as it does not require a subject heading) for some records pending the expected availability of full-level records.
c. Tentative recommendation for further discussion at the January CAMCIG meeting after we have had the opportunity to consult with colleagues: That we adopt the BSR/CSR as our standard for consortial cataloging, but that there be either an implicit or explicit exception for truly temporary records.

6. Other.

UCM has not received the 1,000 Springer print books that were to be selected/ordered at UCLA and sent to UCM shelf-ready.