CAMCIG Conference Call
February 5, 2007

Present: Linda Barnhart (chair), Armanda Barone, Karleen Darr, Rebecca Doherty, Jim Dooley, Brad Eden, Vicki Grahame (recorder), Lai-Ying Hsiung, Sara Layne, Bea Mallek, Sharon Scott, Amy Weiss.

Conference call convened 2:30 p.m.

1. Update from subgroup on METS/MODS training planning – Brad/Karleen/Sharon

We discussed the draft CAMCIG METS/MODS survey to poll UC technical services departments to determine training needs, current operations and projects. We agreed that we should keep the approach broad. Because some of the questions asked about metadata of all kinds we agreed that the title of the survey should be changed to CAMCIG metadata survey.

Action: Brad will incorporate suggested changes and send the survey to CAMCIG members with a deadline for responses by March 1, 2007. We will answer or gather responses from others and forward completed survey to Brad.

2. Reporting back from ALA Midwinter –

Members reported on meetings attended including sessions on RDA, its implementation at LC, the Coyle/Hillman DLib article, and the LC series decision. Brad mentioned a session on social tagging and suggested we investigate this. We agreed to add to our “parking lot” for future meetings.


We discussed the report and whether the model of “centers of specialization” would work in the UC environment. The question of volume of material was discussed in relation to these centers. For campuses with a low volume of material in a special language or format a center of specialization might be more attractive. Also important would be the staffing of the center to ensure that there was more than one person with the language/format expertise. Sara asked into which of the models the CONSER funnel fit into.

Linda shared comments on the report from Ivy Anderson who commented that she expected more emphasis on shared acquisitions and shared collections. It was noted that the “Appendix 1. Insourcing” includes a section on Issues/Concerns that does address acquisitions and selections issues. Perhaps we should move this into the body of the report.

In terms of process, we agreed that the report should be sent to HOTS with an introductory cover letter.
**Action:** Linda will draft a cover letter and send for us to review by February 16th so that the report can be added to the agenda for the HOTS meeting on February 21st.

4. BSTF news: Nothing. We hope to hear soon about the UL meeting with OCLC.

5. Verde update -- Linda reported that the Verde Implementation Group had discussed authority control issues for electronic resource packages, vendors, etc. This might be delegated to CAMCIG at a later time.

6. ETDs – Linda reported that she attended a meeting at CDL to discuss ETDs. One outcome from that meeting was the decision to survey campuses about the embargo practices of theses and dissertations. The METS profile in use at San Diego is being looked at by Davis and San Francisco and later may be sent out for full system review.

7. Agenda-building for March 5 phone call
   - Update from UC CONSER Funnel
   - Recorder next time: Brad

Call ended 4:00 pm.