CAMCIG Conference Call Minutes  
January 7, 2008  
2:30-4:00 pm

Present:  Linda Barnhart (UCSD, convener), Brad Eden (UCSB, HOTS Rep), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Karleen Darr (UCD), Rebecca Doherty (CDL), Beatrice Mallek (UCSF), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Jim Dooley (UCM), Vicki Grahame (UCI, recorder)

Absent: Rebecca Doherty (CDL), Armanda Barone (UCB)

1. Theses and dissertation policy—any further edits/changes? Can we give final approval?  
   We decided that:
   • We did intend this to apply both to dissertations, and to theses.  
   • We did not intend this to apply to theses/dissertations from non-UC institutions.  
   • We did want to set an implementation date, and agreed on March 1, 2008.
   • We would not keep the obsolete version at the bottom of the page.
   • We would include a sentence about the rationale or purpose.

ACTION: Linda will send out a new version with the changes for us to review.
ACTION: Sara will draft wording for Linda to include about the rationale.
ACTION: We will each investigate how our campus indexed the 655 fields and send this information to CAMCIG.

2. Further updates on reclamation and batchloading projects

UCR reported that they have not started yet. UCSB reported that they had a conference call with OCLC in mid-December and that they will be sending records in late January. Brad reported that OCLC indicated that they were making UC-projects a top priority. Jim reported that they are still waiting for work to be done on Merced’s project. They sent records in July.

ACTION: Jim to send information about their project to Sara to follow-up with OCLC.

3. Update on holding symbols

Linda reported that OCLC hoped to have the ten new SCP-campus symbols ready for testing by next week. We discussed options for authorizations for these new symbols. We decided that we would begin with limiting authorization only to SCP.

4. Missing records Vendor table—anything further needed?  

Sara reported that she has sent the draft to OCLC. We should send any additional groups of records to her.
5. Standardizing local bib data—how would we like to proceed?

We decided to begin by focusing on how the campuses record gift/donor information in their catalogs. We begin with a survey on where this information is recorded.

ACTION: Linda, Sara and Lai-Ying will draft this survey

Next phone call: Monday, February 4, 2008
Recorder: Brad

Tentative agenda items:
  • Master microform policy