CAMCIG Conference Call Minutes
Monday, Oct. 1, 2007
2:30-4pm

Present: Manuel Urrizola (UCR—recorder), Linda Barnhart (UCSD, convener), Rebecca Doherty (CDL), Armanda Barone (UCB), Karleen Darr (UCD), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Jim Dooley (UCM), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Amy Weiss (UCSB), Brad Eden (UCSB, HOTS Rep), Beatrice Mallek (UCSF), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC)

1. **OCLC number poll**: (Document on CAMCIG Web site) What did we learn? Do we need more standardization? Consistent qualifiers?
   - Most campuses use 001 or 035 or both fields for OCLC number (except UCB which uses 079).
   - Those systems using 001 field for OCLC number do have record numbers which are not part of the MARC bib record.
   - Observation: some CAMCIG members found more consistency among campuses than they expected, others found less.
   - HOTS wanted to know how in-synch the various campuses are when it comes to OCLC numbers in bib records. CAMCIG feels we are fairly in-synch.

2. **Indexing of Institutional records**—Vicki. This issue came from a HOTS email thread sent on June 4, and we haven’t had time for this until now. It seems very related to #1 above. Do we still need/want to talk about this?
   - Some campuses have policy of not exporting IR’s.
   - UCB may decide to use IR’s.
   - IR’s can be seen using OCLC Connexion Browser and Connexion Client 2.0.

3. **Update from HOTS call on Sept. 24**. Many CAMCIG folks were on this call. Is there anything for CAMCIG to do or discuss?
   - HOTS members concluded that the impact of reclamation projects on Melvyl can be minimized.
   - Reclamation projects could identify brief records for possible replacement with fuller records.
   - Reclamation projects will not necessarily replace old records with updated records.

4. **Revisit UC-wide practice for dissertations.**
   See [http://tpot.ucsd.edu/SPC/Collection/dissert.pd](http://tpot.ucsd.edu/SPC/Collection/dissert.pd). We agreed that everyone would discuss this with their units and bring back ideas for updating this policy. Do we agree that standardization in this area is useful and necessary?
• Most campuses follow policy.
• Some campuses spell out full name of institution in 690 field.
• Using 655 (genre/local) field:
  ✓ UCLA and UCSD use 655 (genre/local) field rather than 690 (local) field;
  ✓ Concerns about 655: Noise/nuisance? Probably not a problem; Separate subject and genre indexes? Need to investigate the ramifications.
• Subject analysis: UCI and UCR do subject analysis; UCLA does for Arts and Humanities and Social Sciences; UCSB and UCSF used to; UCD does not.
• Electronic dissertations:
  ✓ Most campuses put a link to ProQuest site or directly to full text;
  ✓ Most campuses now receive some or all dissertations electronically;
  ✓ Some campuses do not keep a print copy of electronic dissertations;
  ✓ UCSD students submit electronic form that goes to ProQuest and Library and then Library waits 6-10 weeks for link from ProQuest;
  ✓ ProQuest informs UCSF Library and then they download.
  ✓ UCLA does not link to ProQuest.
• Updating and/or reaffirming policy:
  ✓ Members favor standardization.
  ✓ Any retrospective updates as a result of changes to policy may be problematic, especially if done in OCLC, but would not be a huge impact on Melvyl.
  ✓ Members favor a poll of campuses which will include: practices on subject analysis; 690 vs 655; electronic access; numbers of dissertations.
• **ACTION:** LB will construct poll. Other members will gather information locally.

5. **Planning for Nov. 29 in-person meeting at CDL.** Proposed agenda topics? Logistics questions? Goals for what we should accomplish?

• Should we focus on WorldCat Local? Depends on HOTS in-person meeting. There may be requests from HOTS for more polling and information. Would be helpful to find out what each of us knows.
  **ACTION:** KD will send survey chart on kinds of changes in ILS bib records.
• Cataloging at the WorldCat level as opposed to local systems cataloging
• Enhance status for various formats
• Dissertations
• **ACTION**: Keep Nov. 5 meeting and finalize agenda then; request laptop, projector, and internet access for in-house meeting in Oakland.

6. **WorldCat Local pilot news and developments.**

• The creation of 10 SCP OCLC symbols is on hold; some HOTS members voiced concerns about these proposed new symbols.
• OCLC reports progress in negotiating with vendors to get their records into OCLC.
• Members should review reports from Task Groups.
• Pilot project pushed back to April or May.

7. **Master Microforms/California Newspaper Project Policy.** Waiting to hear from Sharon if this will be ready for us this time.

• LB reports that Sharon hopes to finalize in the next 2 weeks.

8. **Standardizing local bibliographic data update.** Sara found this an interesting topic and thought she might find some time to work on it. If not, we can defer until next time.

• Defer to next time.
• Good start with dissertation discussion.

9. **Agenda building for November 5 phone call (Recorder next time: Bea)**

• Finalize agenda for in-person meeting.
• Review results of poll on dissertations.

**This was AKW’s last meeting. GOOD LUCK, AMY!!**