HOPS Meeting Minutes
May 30, 2013

ATTENDING: Lorna Lueck (UCSB), Lynn Jones (LAUC), Amy Kautzman, Chair (UCD), Catherine Friedman (UCSD), Ann Frenkel (UCR), Carol Ann Hughes (UCI), Greg Careaga (UCSC), Ellen Meltzer (CDL), Beth Dupuis (UCB), Sara Davidson (UCM)

NOT ATTENDING: Kevin Mulroy (UCLA), Jim Munson (UCSF)

Announcements:
Question: UCI asked if other campuses had addressed the issue of migrating VHS to DVD or digital formats? Please add your response should you have one.
  UCD: During summer 2008, we moved the campus media holdings into Library Reserves. Old VHS that could be replaced by DVD were. The VHS with no DVD availability were copied. We were told we could not circulate nor ILL these titles and that we needed to hold onto the VHS copy.
  UCB: I have asked Gisele Tanasse from the Library’s Media Resource Center to provide a brief summary of our approach and will forward that to the listserv.

UCSC: Elizabeth Cowell appointed interim UL

UCB: Appointed AUL for Digital Initiatives & Collaborative Services, Erik Mitchell. The Commission on the Future of the Berkeley Library submitted their report to the Provost and it should be released publically within a couple weeks. The Library has two library-wide initiatives underway with implementation beginning in summer: re-envisioning reserves and large-scale collections review.

1. HathiTrust policy on services to users with print disabilities <EM>
   a. CDL is working to ensure our print disabled users are able to get access to in copyright materials in the HathiTrust.
   b. Important to keep in mind that nothing [or barely anything] that’s scanned in HathiTrust is in formats usable by print disabled. Need to learn what UMich does to make Hathi material usable. "Sensus access" software for conversion of file formats into alt media may be usable for converting Hathi files for print disabled.
   c. The goal is to have each UC library give a contact name to Ellen by the end of June so that she can publicize the HT service more broadly to those on the UC-wide Electronic Accessibility Leadership Team (EALT).
   d. There are a number of details that are still being explored:
      i. Currently the policy allows full access to titles specific to a library; CDL is pushing for the UC Libraries to be considered one.
      ii. What happens when a title has been removed “in lieu of”?
   e. It may be best if the Disability Office is the contact for requests, being that they manage the master campus list of who is eligible for services.
   f. It was suggested that it would be best if we had an office either UC-wide or nationally that could check the user’s status and provide access, rather than have individual libraries handle this. If this were in place then possibly HathiTrust
could just deliver files directly to the disabled patron. There should not be privacy concerns because students already have to register on campus as print disabled.

g. Librarian contacts will be needed to ensure somebody in the library is able to assist and train in searching HathiTrust.

h. Carol Ann (UCI) reported that in their efforts to sign up, they discovered that the agreement has to be signed by the most senior authority (i.e. the UL) and that a campus can have backup liaisons with alternate IP addresses.

1) UCB and their response to the disability lawsuit <BD> http://disabilitylaw.blogspot.com/2013/05/important-settlement-with-uc-berkeley.html

a. Scanned 30 books this semester, pilot period
b. Over 100+ students are approved; approvals handled by Disabled Students Program (DSP) and entered into the student’s information record which is programmatically fed to the Library’s patron records
c. DSP handles required reading and services for students only
d. Library handles all other requests from the Library’s printed collections and for all campus users (faculty, staff, and visiting scholars)
e. Students can go to any desk and get their support. Request system built into DocDelivery system. Paging is often required. Students asked to prioritize books, one book per student so all students have some text to read as the other books are scanned.
f. The Library scans so that the materials are not damaged; question about challenges with difficult books (non-Roman languages, special scripts, special paper, tight binding)

2) Creative Commons License Final Report <AK>

a. HOPS accepts the CCLFR with much appreciation.
b. This report is to be seen as a recommended Best Practice, with the full understanding that there are some circumstances where a stringent permission makes more sense.
c. HOPS will consult with CDL to ascertain where to post this report so it will receive the attention it deserves and not be buried within the HOPS page (especially as HOPS is disbanded).
d. HOPS strongly encourages the working group to consider publishing this piece in library literature.