HOTS Conference Call
Monday, Oct. 12, 2-3:40 p.m.
Present: Jim Dooley (UCM), Brad Eden (UCSB), Martha Hruska (UCSD, recorder), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC, chair), Armanda Barone (UCB), Patti Martin (CDL), Mary Page (UCD), John Riemer (UCLA), Lisa Row Wilson de Ortiz (LAUC), Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Vicki Grahame (UCI)

Guest: Ryan Finnerty (UCSD to consult on Reclamation and LHR issues)

1. Announcements

UCR reorganizing Technical Services into one dept with Manuel as head. The department includes what was Cataloging, Acquisitions and ER Continuations. Division now consists of Collections & Scholarly Communications and Technical Services.

Because of the effect of a number of VSO's, UCSB is combining Acquisitions & Serials into one operation. They have lost a total of 4 positions.

The ACIG annual report will be discussed/approved at next meeting

2. Changes to CAMCIG membership & Terms of appointment for HOTS approval: see email from Xiaoli (All)

What about CDL membership on the group? It was agreed that CDL will continue as a member of CAMCIG with Patti to be listed as the CDL contact. She and Ivy will both continue to monitor the CAMCIG listserv.

Action: Patti will let Xiaoli know about the CDL membership

On the question of rotating the chairmanship alphabetically, HOTS agreed as long as there is flexibility built into the process. It was suggested that HOTS, like the SCO group, could consider co-chairs. The co-chair will serve for one year, then becomes chair the following year when the current chair steps down and a new co-chair is appointed for that year. This means that each person will still serve two years, the first year being co-chair and the second year being chair.

On the question of making CAMCIG permanent: Yes, HOTS agreed.

Action: HOTS agreed to drop the last two sentences of the current charge. Lai-Ying will let Xiaoli know. Lai-Ying will check with the SOPAG liaison, Luc Declerck, about the HOTS co-chair idea and report back at the next meeting.

Vicki noted that the HOTS charge on the web site does not follow the complete pattern for other ACG's. Action: discuss at next meeting
3. CDC Concept Paper forwarded on Oct. 6: Should we submit a HOTS comment for upload onto the webfiles site? (All)

Discussion: Is there a deadline? CAMCIG and ACIG plan to talk about it. Agreement that the paper is quite important but like Motherhood and apple pie. It all sounds good, but how does this inform our work going forward?
John noted the significance of Goal #3 at end recognizing the importance of common metadata and the importance of the point about aiming for less duplication and more breadth of materials. Having only one domestic vendor would facilitate that. There is a lot of activity going on now (in NGTS, Shared Print Steering Task Force, etc.) along these lines. It is just a matter of time until these all come together and we get there. HOTS could issue a statement supporting the CDC paper that this is along same lines and the right direction to be taking.
Importance of paper, HOTS will be working with NGTS to move in this direction. **Action:** John will draft a statement and all can review, with a goal to complete by next conference call.

4. Reclamation Updates / Next Gen Melvyl: Excel sheet attached, two extra columns have been added at John’s request (John, Patti)

Lai-Ying sent out Excel spreadsheet that compiles everyone’s responses on UC reclamation progress. 2 new LHR columns were added. And the chart still needs to be filled in SRLF and NRLF.
**Action:** It was agreed that the bib record reclamation update chart will go out right before each monthly call asking if there are any updates to add?

5. LHR Implementation (John, Patti)

John sent out grid this morning, broken down into 8 main tasks. OCLC is now trying to implement showing display of holding info for large serials holdings sets. Testing Request has also required showing summary holdings. Complicated if campus holds more than one location. The current OCLC proposal is to display each campus location first then summary by each one.
866 subfield a with summary string, one LHR per location on campus. UCI has converted to full MARC holdings, they do have LHR for every checkin record. 863/866 pairs.

One LHR for each location in 866's should work from OCLC end.
LHR comes out of checkin record, but some libraries (UCM) have not created them. UCM has a total of 14 print journals that go back just 4 years.
**Action:** Ryan Finnerty will work with UCM to export their holdings on the load table.
Vickie noted that the OCLC web site does detail what fields are required in LHRs. (see [http://www.oclc.org/batchprocessing/options/holdings/localdatarecords/dataspecifications.htm](http://www.oclc.org/batchprocessing/options/holdings/localdatarecords/dataspecifications.htm))
UCI sent in request to load LHR’s, OCLC will respond what is needed. Campuses will send samples to OCLC and see what the response is.

Training Oct. 20 for UC Cataloging managers. What is the purpose of the training? Advantages of having LHR’s, purpose so everyone knows what questions to ask.

Question about LHR display? Where can you see them? In WCL in test environment for UCLA, should be part of the LHR training. When OCLC ready to use LHR data, would be advantageous to have enough UC data ready to use. Submitting serials and e-serials now, other formats later. Map to public display names when?

**Action:** Following his discussion with OCLC on LHR strategies, John will confirm to the group that OCLC will be able to work with the summary holdings for each campus as long as these can be separated by location.

6. Next Gen TS update (Martha, Jim, Armanda)

The Steering Team has now completed making visits to each campus and is now in the process of compiling the questions and discussion points from each. Each of the Resource Teams is currently working on their Phase 1 reports. Teams 1 and 2 are compiling the results of the survey they sent out. These should be forthcoming by early to mid November.

The Steering Team is working on a process to 'charge' or endorse initiatives that would move the NGTS agenda along and that have already been identified by an existing group. Most of those now under consideration originated with HOTS: the Shelf-Ready survey, foreign language cataloging backlogs.

The Steering Team is also working with Katherine Mitchell, an organizational consultant from UCB, on communication strategies to keep everyone in the campus technical services informed and to help with the process of vetting reports, getting feedback.

7. Next Conference call: Monday, November 9, 2-4 p.m.

Recorder: Anneliese Taylor (UCSF)