HOTS Conference Call
October 10, 2011
2:00-4:00

Present: Lisa Rowlison de Ortiz (UCB), Karleen Darr (Vice Chair, UCD), Vicki Grahame (UCI), John Riemer (Chair, UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM), Manuel Urrizola (UCR, recorder), Martha Hruska (UCSD), Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), Catherine Nelson (UCSB), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Patricia Martin (CDL), and Valerie Bross (LAUC Representative)

Absent: Luc M. Declerck (SOPAG Liaison)

Guest for latter agenda items: Emily Stambaugh (CDL)

Agenda

1. Announcements

UCD = recruiting for UL
UCSB = recruiting for Head of Cataloging & Metadata Services

Oct 14 SOPAG ACG chairs meeting in Oakland—any comments/questions about agenda topics and forthcoming agenda documents? (John)

- No annual report/updates requested of ACGs for this year.
- Link to Joint meeting on SOPAG wiki with documents not available yet.
- Item #9 might just be a parking lot of issues, or topics we will be given updates on.
- John can ask our SOPAG liaison, Luc, for clarification.
- Reorganization of ACGs will not be discussed, perhaps an update.
- Agenda timelines would be helpful. ACTION: Vicki will pass along to Diane Bisom.
- New Melvyl governance groups only partially established at this point.

3. General NGTS Update (Martha)

- POT 1 may move forward soon.
- Other POTs are assembling Lightning Teams. Announcements and charges should be going out soon.
- POT 2.2 will be sending out a call to HOTS for help in identifying an original cataloger to serve on POT 2.2 "System-wide Cataloging Standards" lightning team 1, which will work to compile information on current campus original monographic cataloging practices, original cataloging statistics, and staffing levels.

4. Update on NGTS POT 6 activities (Vicki)

- Ready to send out four charges for four Lightning Teams:
  - gathering information on cooperative arrangements;
  - staff resources available or needed for tech services;
  - tools used or needed;
✓ update backlogs survey.
   • Templates and charges will go out to proposed members of Lightning Teams seeking their acceptance to serve.

5. General Melvyl update (Patti)
   
   • CDL WorldCat Local Ops Team members;
     ✓ Patti Martin
     ✓ Lynne Cameron
     ✓ Leslie Wolf
     ✓ Michael Thwaites
     ✓ Margery Tibbetts
     ✓ Sherry Willhite
     ✓ Alison Ray
     ✓ Ellen Meltzer
     ✓ Jayne Dickson
   • Melvyl Advisory Group (MAG): every library functional area will have representation through ex officio membership of the ACG chairs.
   • High-level discovery strategy document from SOPAG/ULs should be released soon.
   • Should significant-content databases and features (such as Central Index and View Now) be turned on in Melvyl now? Advisory Group should review. Testing should start.
   • OCLC Roadmap—can ask Jeff Penka questions at tomorrow’s 3rd Melvyl webinar (10-11am).
   • Webinars have been well attended. OCLC willing to do more.
   • HOTS approves John telling MAG to go ahead and launch the groups to test Central Index and View Now (a topic on agenda for MAG’s first meeting).
   • Forming those two groups competes with the current effort to populate numerous NGTS Lightning Teams.
   • There are Public Services and Collections considerations. There needs to be representatives on the MAG subgroups from HOPS, CDC, and HOTS.

[At 3:00 Emily Stambaugh joined HOTS for the following agenda topics.]

6. UC WEST Data Submissions to PAPR (sent to us by Martha 9/20), and
7. WEST disclosure strategy for Shared Print archives & relationship to WorldCat Local

Emily shared several background documents in advance and reviewed two of them, “Interconnected Shared Print Initiatives, Oct. 7, 2011” and a Powerpoint “WEST Disclosure, UC Disclosure and WorldCat Local.”

In the subsequent discussion we:

Recounted the plan for Communal LHRs, a temporary substitute for which is the set of xxxSP OCLC symbols that possibly will not be used long term.
Asked when/if the WEST Disclosure Policy’s additive approach was going to be incorporated into the Aug 2011 OCLC guidelines document (Emily noted the OCLC Shared Print Pilot was likely to test & compare additive and splitting approaches into next spring before drawing conclusions.

Raised the question of whether archival commitments really are of interest to users versus just staff. A group representing all library functional areas is needed to weigh in on the need to put archival commitments into WCL. Such a group could be MAG or SOPAG.

Asked what the rationale was for “prioritization of shared print in rota lists.” Duplicate mono copies that are not warranted on campuses could be the subject of an administrative directive saying “Discard.” This would be simpler than the technical work of reprogramming Request to do certain things. Perhaps Shared Print copies merely need to be equally available as any other copy. While preferencing Shared Print for ILL may have previously been approved in principle, it remains to be seen how workable the strategy is in reality when it comes down to making it happen on the ground.

Noted that communication and consultation needs to continue.

- Interconnected shared print initiatives:
  - OCLC Disclosure Pilot for Shared Print Archives
  - WEST Disclosure Policy
    - Deadlines
  - UC Shared Print in Place Policy for Prospective Collections
    - Focused on prospective materials
  - UC Shared Common Access Policy for Shared Print in Place Collections
  - UC Bibliographic Standards for Shared Print Monographs
  - UC Standard Acquisition Practices for Shared Print Monographs

- Head of WorldCat Local Product Development and other WorldCat Local people should be involved.

- Emily shared slides she prepared:
  - Disclosure of Shared Print
  - Interconnected shared print initiatives
  - OCLC Shared Print Archives Disclosure Pilot
  - Proposed Print Archives Metadata “Standard”
  - Print Archives Local Holdings Record
  - 583 Action Notes for Print Archives
  - 583 Action Note for Print Archives (major subfields)
  - WEST Disclosure Policy
  - Discovery of Print Archives in WorldCat
  - OCLC Print Archives Pilot Next Steps, Sept-Oct 2011
  - UC Common Access Policy and Bibliographic Standards for Shared Print

- Preservations participants included in Pilot.
- UC consultative process needs to be followed. Some UC people are participating in Pilot, but not all groups have been consulted.
- Additive approach to LHR’s was discussed.
- 583 notes discussed. End-users’ needs versus libraries’ collection needs were discussed.
- Documents should be shared in advance with all interested groups. **ACTION:** Bring up at SOPAG-ACG joint meeting.
• Should the shared-print copy be prioritized over other copies for interlibrary loan?
• There is some confusion as to endorsement of policies (and by whom). There was debate on the rigor (or lack of) in the vetting processes.

Next meeting: Nov 14