HOTS Conference Call
September 10, 2012
2:00-4:00

Ready Talk Conference Call:
Number to call: 866-740-1260
Then enter conference ID no.: 7520598 #

Present: Karleen Darr (Chair, UCD); Lisa Rowlison de Ortiz (UCB), Vicki Grahame (UCI), John Riemer (UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Linda Barnhart (UCSD, recorder), Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), Catherine Nelson (UCSB), Varvara Paizis (UCSC), Patti Martin (CDL), Louise Ratliff (LAUC)

Agenda

1. Announcements
   - Welcome to Louise and Varvara!
   - UCSC: Hired a new head of Technical Services, Marcia Barrett, who starts Nov. 1. Varvara will be on HOTS until then.
   - UCLA: Chronicle announcement is out today for their UL position. Gary Strong leaves in December.
   - UCR: Job announcement is out also for their UL, Ruth Jackson, who will leave in March.
   - UCSF: Hiring a collection development librarian and an education librarian. Soon will post for a research informationist.
   - UCM: Hired a data curation librarian recently.
   - Question about the UCOP Schedule F, which asks for stats on database usage. Usually this data is provided by CDL, but HOTS members were having trouble getting it. Patti will investigate this problem with Ivy. How are campuses answering this question? Some are leaving it blank.
   - UCD: There is a joint meeting between SOPAG and the all-campus group chairs, scheduled for October 19. No agenda is available yet. Patti guesses that there will probably be discussion of reorganizing the all-campus groups in the context of the large UC libraries governance structure. We do not expect to know details on the agenda topics before the next HOTS meeting on October 8.
   - Karleen updated the group on tasks that she has worked on behalf of the group:
     - POT5 survey on SCP priorities; thanks to Anneliese for forwarding and to others for responding to this.
     - HOTS web redesign feedback was forwarded on to Jane; feedback was received by some other groups (CAMCIG, SCP-AC)
     - Updates were made to the HOTS website (archiving reports; other cosmetic changes; posting the POT2.2 final report)

2. Discrepancy Between SCP-AC & HOTS Charges (see Karleen's 8/20 email)

When Trina became SCP AC chair, she noticed a discrepancy between the charge for that group and the charge to HOTS. Should the chair of the SCP AC be on HOTS? Jim Dooley noted that
this has never actually been done (despite the language of the charge); he has acted as the liaison between the SCP AC and HOTS. The group discussed:

- If it is time to change the reporting line for the SCP AC to CAMCIG;
- Whether the head of the SCP Program, or the Chair of the SCP AC (or both) should be included on CAMCIG (or HOTS);

The group noted that several committee rosters are out of date. Vickie, as HOTS liaison to SOPAG, noted that if charges are revised, they should go through SOPAG.

Karleen will talk to the chair of CAMGIG to get their perspective if two representatives are necessary (one from SCP and one from SCP AC), and then will put together draft language for HOTS to review for one or more charges.

3. Melvyl Update (Patti)

There were major service problems last week. CDL is concerned that OCLC was not forthcoming enough about their service problems, and about their lack of transparency. The poor system performance and outages caused great frustration across UC. CDL is concerned about the impact of WorldCat Management System development on their other systems (like WorldCat Local), because we are seeing more instability and problems. They will take our concerns to the Senior VP level at OCLC and will prepare a report for the CoULs. The Melvyl Advisory Group will consider whether information about system performance is getting to the right people across UC.

4. Final Report-UC Bibliographic Standards for Cooperative Vendor and Campus Backlog Cataloging (Taking stock: All)

This report has been posted to the HOTS website. Lisa asked if everyone was comfortable with this standard, or if any issues had arisen. There was a recommendation in the report that campuses consider adopting this standard for local use; are any campuses considering this? After a brief discussion, Lisa agreed to send an email poll for campus responses.

5. Where Campuses are on RDA Implementation (All)

UCB: Will begin training next spring, and plan to go live July 1.
UCD: In the middle of in-house training, with 6-7 sessions scheduled now. The head of cataloging has asked original catalogers to create some RDA records. A group will look at them, and eventually they will get exported to OCLC. They anticipate starting RDA on March 31, the same date as LC.
UCI: Has started training; Vicki will forward email from Wanda with the details. They are using the LC training materials and the ALCTS webinars. They have a wiki site with their documentation. They anticipate starting RDA on March 31, the same date as LC.
UCLA: An informal study group has been underway for the past year, and they have attended many ALCTS webinars. They are taking the RDA NACO training now. They expect to do the BIBCO training when it is available, probably in January.
UCR: Has started training, first with a session for non-catalogers, and then with multiple sessions for catalogers. They are now working on training in description. Names/titles will be last. They hope to implement March 31 for most formats.

UCSD: Have trained staff on the RDA Toolkit, and have completed RDA NACO training (and have been released from revision by LC). Next up is FRBR training (October) and finally RDA bibliographic training (November). They are using the LC training materials posted on the Catalogers Learning Workshop website. They hope to implement on January 1.

UCSC: Is informally looking into RDA on their own. They recently got a subscription to the RDA Toolkit. They eagerly await their new Head of Technical Services because they know this is high on her list.

UCSB: A study group has been meeting weekly for a year, going over the issues. Nitty gritty staff training now would be overwhelming for them, because so much is going on (like vacating the building for an earthquake retrofit). They are scheduled for RDA NACO training in February-March. They might consider further training later in the spring or summer, and don’t expect to implement RDA for another 6-8 months.

6. NGTS Update (Vicki)

Vickie forwarded a message from Martha to NGTS-ALL which describes the NGTS current status. Most POTS have completed most of their tasks, or are near to doing so. NGTS MT worked with SOPAG to draft a proposal to the CoULs with a list of nine potential pilot projects that provide various models for shared technical services. CoUL can choose from these projects. They met and began discussion (only had 20 minutes on last week's agenda); there is no formal response yet. We imagine they will have lots of questions. The POT/LT structure will no longer exist; another model will arise to do the pilots. The NGTS MT doesn’t expect that all pilot projects will be endorsed, or that all will be done at once. They don't know how long it will be before they can prepare a roadmap for next steps. Vickie reviewed each proposal briefly. This document should only be shared with ULs and AULs, to assess potential impact on or relief for your campus; there were concerns about sharing it more broadly because everything about it is so tentative.

Next month's meeting: October 8; Minutes: Anneliese Taylor