2013-11-13 Meeting Agenda and Minutes: SAG3
Special Call: Bibliographers' Report and Bibliographer Groups (Published)

Date
13 November 2013 1:00-2:30

SAG3 Special Call: Bibliographers' Report and Bibliographer Groups

Attendees

- Diane B. Bisom, UCR (Chair)
- Elizabeth DuPuis, UCB
- Myra Appel, UCD
- Vicki Grahame, UCI
- Judy Consales, UCLA
- Emily Lin, UCM
- Martha Hruska, UCSD
- Janet Martorana, UCSB
- Marcia Barrett, UCSC
- Polina E Ilieva, UCSF
- Heather Christenson, CDL
- Leslie Wolf, Portfolio Manager
- Jean McKenzie, CLS Liaison
- Angela Riggio, LAUC

Goals

- Discussion of CDC’s Report “Role of the Bibliographer” and Next Steps for Bibliographer Groups

Discussion Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>Roll Call and Desired Outcomes (Diane and Myra)</td>
<td>Goals: Review the POT7/LG2 report, determine next steps for announcing the report and for advancing the issues</td>
<td>Elizabeth DuPuis will post all related documents generated for today’s discussion to the SAG3 wiki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>Highlights for the POT 7/LG2 Report (Myra)</td>
<td>• Recognizes the importance of the subject/language specialists&lt;br&gt;• Current model perceived not to be working for current subject specialists, also not allow for time and focus to address training/expertise related to new roles&lt;br&gt;• Survey revealed librarians need tools (reports, data, technologies) to aid them to do their jobs well&lt;br&gt;The 2011 LAUC Committee on Professional Governance report raises some similar issues to the POT7/LG2 report</td>
<td>Myra Appel will create a final version of the document, including the last two appendices, and send to SAG3&lt;br&gt;Emily Lin will confirm CoUL timeline for addressing the related LAUC CPG report: <a href="http://lauc.ucop.edu/committees/pg/cpg-report-2011.pdf">http://lauc.ucop.edu/committees/pg/cpg-report-2011.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bibliographer Groups (Myra and Beth)

- Briefly reviewed background document sent today by Myra and Beth
  - Wide variety in nature of current groups (size, scope, membership, activities, effectiveness)
  - Some groups very collaborative and active; some groups less functional and interactive
  - Some concern about the multiplicity of groups has been expressed, so may be helpful to focus first on what we want these groups to achieve to determine how the number of groups affects our desired results
- About goals:
  - Might help to identify specific CoUL priorities to refine the first bullet in the goals, or might leave broadly since these groups seem to have a relationship to all the CoUL priorities
  - Add “Create transparency in the actions and decision making across UC campuses”

SAG3 members will consider possible new name to better describe the refreshed bibliographer groups
SAG3 members will add/revise the goals and suggested changes for future bibliographer groups (NOTE: page to revise coming soon)
Myra Appel, Janet Martorana and Jean McKenzie will draft a sample charge for future bibliographer groups, and share with SAG3 prior to the 12/18 meeting
Myra Appel, Janet Martorana and Jean McKenzie will share the draft charge with CLS and CDL prior to SAG3 vetting the draft with bibliographer groups and other key stakeholders

Myra Appel, Janet Martorana and Jean McKenzie will add/revise the goals and suggested changes for future bibliographer groups (NOTE: page to revise coming soon)
Myra Appel, Janet Martorana and Jean McKenzie will share the draft charge with CLS and CDL prior to SAG3 vetting the draft with bibliographer groups and other key stakeholders
• About activities/changes:
  • improve the mechanisms for collaboration/communication within a group and across groups
  • include orientations and training for bibliographers new to a group/topic
  • find way to increase the consistency of experience across groups
  • determine what level of accountability is reasonable for chairs/members
• About structure:
  • consider if a different structure and/or different name would better represent the work desired from these groups
  • might help for CoUL to identify a strategic direction to give us focus and narrow what seem to be an endless number of possibilities
  • determine where we need formal structure and where we can allow organic, informal groups to evolve as needed
  • some groups may be small because the area is a campus-level, not a system-wide, priority – emphasizes that the groups may not need to be homogeneous but tailored to be effective in tune with their differences
  • when need to make decisions for titles in packages, nature of the groups matter – perhaps create four main umbrella groups that could focus on that activity and then align subgroups under those larger umbrellas
  • might want these to be more structured than CKG (such as created for digitization/format based issues) so clear that these groups are expected to reply to SAGs/CLS more regularly and more formally than other groups
- Next steps:
  - review POT7/LG2 for specific responsibilities to add to enhanced charters of the groups
  - once confirm the groups (current or restructured list) then each campus should confirm the right people are represented on each group

- Possible process:
  - If SAG3 is able to clarify charges/expectations and realign groups to act on issues and decisions, then we could put out those guidelines to the bibliographer groups to allow them to suggest how best to merge/split/ redefine themselves

| 15 min | UC-wide Engagement Proposal (Marcia and Beth) | Briefly reviewed background document sent last week by Marcia and Beth It has been a long time since POT7/LG2 began their work, many people have been involved in creating it, and in the intervening period many/all UC campuses have had re-organizations and additional experience with some of the ideas from the report. Each campus is in a very different place, with different perspectives. It would be valuable for all campuses to share their thinking about the report and the way their campus is handling librarian roles and assignments to identify where there are commonalities and shared interests. Providing structure to a UC-wide conversation was a welcomed idea; action-orientation (not just discussion) is highly desirable; noted that the 2011 LAUC report focused on a similar topic has a very structured process for gathering input but taken awhile for it to grow toward action Conversation morphed into the next topic |

| 15 min | Announcing the Report (All) | |
Report has not yet been submitted to CoUL; send the report to Coordinating Committee and CoUL with our plans for next steps

Memo to accompany report to include: here is what the report is, here is what SAG3 is doing now, here is what we plan to do next, and - for librarians - here is how you can be involved

Suggested next step: affirm the idea of bibliographer groups though with additional thoughts about their charges, structure, and membership to come

Suggested next step: ask campuses to provide specific feedback which can be turned into actionable items more easily; remember to be thoughtful about how much feedback we ask for and what we plan to do with it

Suggested next step: ask each campus to have its own discussion and share the actions they would like to see prioritized via a UC-wide venue (such as a webinar).

Suggested next step: each SAG3 representative gather responses from their campus to the following:

Diane B. Bisom will author the conveyance memo to share the POT7/LG2 report and our planned next steps with the Coordinating Committee and CoUL

Diane B. Bisom to confirm the distribution plan for the report with the Coordinating Committee
- Briefly describe elements of your library’s organizational structure that relate to public services/collection librarian roles for your campus and potentially for partnering with other UC campuses:
- What functions/issues has your library prioritized in order to stay relevant:
- What functions/issues has your library reduced or stopped doing:
- Which ideas from the POT7/LG2 report have you tried (lessons learned/best ideas):
- Which ideas from the POT7/LG2 report are you most enthusiastic about:
- How is your library addressing perceived obstacles/resistance:
- Ideas for other useful resources/models:

Once approved/acknowledged by CoUL, post the report to the SAG3 public site

Confirmation of the appropriate distribution plan and channel is needed. Not all bibliographers are LAUC members; may need to use other campuses lists to ensure the message is distributed widely. Some expressed a desire to send the report to each bibliographer group chair as a recognition of all the input they helped gather and since bibliographer groups are prominent in next steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>Recap of Action Items, Confirmed Next Steps (Diane and Myra)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diane will send the report and proposed next steps to CoUL and Coordinating Committee within the next week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We will aim for next SAG3 discussion on this topic on 12/18 unless subgroup indicates they need more time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>