

Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, August 12, 2016

Present: Martha Hruska (SD, chair), Jean McKenzie (B), Myra Appel (D), John Renaud (I), Sharon Farb (first hour, Roxanne Peck thereafter) (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott (R), Julia Kochi (SF), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Kerry Scott (SC), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Guests: STAR team members - Jackie Wilson, Matt Wilmot, Christie Hightower, Roxanne Peck

Announcements and Housekeeping

Hathi Update went first on agenda. Kerry Scott to chair meeting on 26 August.

Update on Bibliographer Groups Transition to CKGs

Issue: Some groups have disbanded or become inactive and this is potentially a concern. Myra was, in the SAG3 era, trying to coordinate these groups. There are some "holes": the Social Sciences are largely covered (5-6 bib groups have combined into a mega Social Science CKG). The Lit bibs and the Euro bibs don't have a CKG to join that is humanities focused. The Digital Humanities group is not focused specifically on collections.

There are challenges in maintaining momentum for ongoing conversations and in getting feedback that existed previously. Retirements and changing scopes of responsibility may have been lost and recapturing it may be difficult. Some of the groups have morphed into larger groups; we don't always need sub groups in every discipline.

As we try to move forward, it does help us to have coverage in the humanities. How do we encourage a group in the humanities? CDO's need to encourage this. It is the Languages & Literature groups that are not formed. Other issue is that institutions are relabeling what they are doing and how they map to previous collection building activities. This may continue to be an issue.

Cost Models Review

The current draft 'UC Cost Shares Framework' reflects previous conversations.

Should JSC have issues, it will come back to SCLG.

A conversation about principles, values, and purposes of collaborative investment ensued. Some of this will be addressed in our document revision process slated for the autumn.

Actions: A face-to-face meeting on the "principles" issue should be considered for the autumn AND the Framework and Charge will go forward to JSC with the elimination of the language of "cafeteria style options". We are not including a due date, deliberately.

Licensing Updates

Taylor & Francis (T&F) Journals, 2016-2018 – We are still clarifying the content of the archive collection and requested the title list from the vendor. We are also clarifying the content of the current journals, and requested a separate title list (subscribed and non-subscribed titles). We are accepting all the transfer-in titles with annual price caps of 2.99%, and are supposed to get complete collections. It's taking time to discuss and agree on the content. We'll attach verified title lists to the draft contract. Access is active. Catalog records are ready.

Nature – We are reviewing updated terms for the 2014-2016 amendment and the archive license. The vendor indicated that their pricing was based on FTE, and we are trying to indicate any additional discounts that we had obtained at the time of our original licensing. The vendor agreed to keep Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) for the 2014-2016 amendment, but so far not for the archive collection, although LLNL was in the original license. We are verifying LLNL entitlement through CDL Acquisitions. The vendor removed the "consortia" terms, but is still using the term "member" for different UC campuses, thus treating the license as a consortia license, which can be problematic in the future. We are being careful because the terms we agree on might affect our 2017 Springer Nature negotiation. Access is active. Cataloging has been completed.

Berg Fashion Library – We are working on the license for six campuses (UCB, UCD, UCI, UCLA, UCSB, UCSC). Originally, it was part of an Oxford University Press license, managed by California State University. We are trying to include Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (at no cost) in the new license. Access is active.

ACS – License, access, cataloging are complete. Getting questions on text and data mining from an end user. Our license includes the terms, and the vendor is assisting him.

Cambridge University Press eBooks – The vendor is still reviewing our 2nd draft. Shared print copies are being acquired at Irvine and Berkeley. Access is active. Catalog records started going out to campuses on 7/11.

Questions:

- 1) ETA on final T&F list? There are a small number of titles that emerged as exclusions, but that is a handful of titles. We have access to **EVERYTHING** except a very small number of exclusions. Have catalog records been distributed?
- 2) Cambridge Shared Print – does anyone have copies yet?

STAR Team Reports

STAR Team Transformative Product Evaluation Request Form: can STAR team go forward and share with campus bibliographers and CKGs this interactive Google form?

Goal of STAR team is to evaluate transformative value.

Not all 10 campuses are represented on STAR.

STAR isn't planning on undertaking a review unless it has been endorsed by SCLG.

STAR will send us some ideas on how to provide publicize to the UC Libraries in the Fall.

ACTION: We aren't sure how many proposals this will generate; probably pretty small. Let's wait and see.

Add some description for Category Current Interest within UC. Make sure that non-library groups (such as Office of Research) can be included.

Biomed Central Transformative Review (done by Christy Hightower)

This spreadsheet is the criteria/structure that we will be applying to all products STAR reviews. Each tab is a separate criteria. All reviews will use the same vocabulary.

This is a large open access journal package. Membership includes a 15% discount on APC. This discount also applies to the Springer Open Access journals. 150+ journals. Quality does vary.

Keep in mind that these reports are a snapshot and these transformative products are continuously evolving. It is hard to always keep track.

Economics: in the last 3 years the amount of UC authors has been high enough that we have had more savings than the cost of the membership itself. Library pays the membership fee and the author pays APC. So it isn't a direct savings to the library. Must look at the whole picture.

ACTION: Send questions to Jackie as we have run out of time.

Reveal Digital Transformative Review

Sherrri Barnes assigned to review Reveal Digital. SCLG wants a review of all their products and their business model. Independent Voices uses an older unsustainable model. It would be more productive to do a comprehensive review of the new business model. This business model is expected to be announced first quarter of 2017. Sherrri will focus on that once it comes out.

Shared Print Strategy Project Team

Discussion on the Hathi Trust Shared Print Advisory Committee.

Questions/ Issues: What is the process for our participation? What does it mean to say that the UC Libraries are participating? What is the communication process and vetting of issues from the SPSPT? Operationally, what does this mean to the campuses, locally and collectively. SCLG is important in that these issues regarding disclosures and commitments should be considered by SCLG. Also, SCLG must review and endorse strategy because it does impact everyone.

Key question - What does this *actually mean* "on the ground" on local campuses?

Should the SPSPT vet with Hathi Group, then with SCLG? (Hathi areas have new program officers). This is an ideal time to begin the liaising work more formally.

ACTION: SCLG should propose a mechanism for sharing proposals and weighing in; for discussion on 26 August call (Emily Stambaugh will be on that call). Kerry Scott will chair the call on the 26th.

Kerry, John, Jean, Martha to draft process.

Future Agenda: Digitization Strategies