

Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, September 9, 2016

Present: Martha Hruska (SD, chair), JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Myra Appel (D), John Renaud (I), Roxanne Peck (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott (R), Catherine Nelson (SB), Julia Kochi (SF), Kerry Scott (SC), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Absent: Eunice Schroeder (SB), Sharon Farb (LA)

Announcements and Housekeeping

August 26 minutes are approved. Action: Wendy will post.

September 23 meeting is cancelled. Action: Martha will send a Doodle poll to determine meeting times for 2017. We will try to limit one meeting per month to one hour.

Follow up on Bib Group/Common Knowledge Groups

European languages and literatures group and HOSC are preparing CKG charters; still a problem with coverage of American literature.

There is no timetable for a JSC call to nominate new resources.

There is a question as to whether formal liaisons between SCLG and bibliographer CKGs are useful. There is a listserv for current CKG chairs that could be used.

Action: Martha will plan to send a message to the bib CKG chairs by the end of October.

Action: SCLG will discuss how to relate to the CKGs at the in-person meeting.

Hathi Trust Shared Print Invitation

The Shared Print Strategy Team is asking for a high-level indication of interest by September 30. Martha stated that it is likely that the workload will be greater for monographs than for shared print journal projects.

SCLG agreed that there is a fundamental agreement that the UC Libraries should be involved in the Hathi Trust monograph archiving project.

SCLG will recommend to CoUL that the campus contact for the HT project be the SCLG member from that campus. We will see how the project evolves. An individual campus can decline to participate if the proposed workload proves too onerous.

SCLG wants to have a role in other shared print projects beyond Hathi Trust. To facilitate this, SCLG will propose to DOC that the Shared Print Strategy Team report to SCLG rather than to DOC.

Action: Martha will draft a proposal to DOC requesting this change and circulate it to SCLG for approval next week.

In-person Meeting Planning (October 24)

To assist with agenda planning, each SCLG member should comment on the 2009 vision document and on the 2016-2017 budget status page on the wiki.

Current agenda items: how SCLG will work with Shared Print; how SCLG will work with bib CKGs; update on local collection activities.

How can we see opportunities to leverage the value of collaboration through systemwide investment vs. meeting local needs?

Action: Kerry and Ivy will draft questions and talking points related to this question for discussion at the October 24 meeting.

Action: John and Jim will draft a revised vision statement based on this discussion.

Action: Ivy will join others working on drafting the agenda for the October 24 meeting.

Action: Martha will schedule calls to work on the agenda.

Licensing Update

Taylor & Francis (T&F) Journals, 2016-2018 & Archive – We clarified with the vendor that we are getting the complete archive collection as of Dec. 2015. We are still verifying the title lists for both the current journals and the archive. CDL Acquisitions provided its own versions which include slightly more titles than the vendor-supplied lists. The vendor indicated that some titles, e.g. abstracts, are not included in our purchase. It's taking time for us to verify the details (over 2,700 titles). We will share the final title lists with SCLG and any other interested UC colleagues once they are ready. Access is active, and catalog records are ready. For the 2016 upgrade (151 titles), the vendor is offering a one-time discount for a UC systemwide license until Dec. 15, 2016, and CDL would be interested in receiving feedback from campuses.

Nature – The vendor previously requested us to review and sign updated licenses (campus FTE based, in a consortia format) for the 2014-2016 amendment and the 2014-2015 archive license. CDL did not agree with the new consortia format, and the vendor finally accepted that we do not need to update the archive agreement and the license for 2014-2015 subscriptions. Instead, the vendor will be sending a draft addendum for the new 2016 Nature titles and renewals, and we'll review the format and the terms again once they are provided. Access is active. Cataloging has been completed.

Berg Fashion Library – We reviewed the draft license for six campuses (UCB, UCD, UCI, UCLA, UCSB, UCSC), and the vendor is reviewing our edits. Originally, the product was part of an Oxford University Press license, managed by California State University. Now it's an independent product and CDL directly works with the publisher, Bloomsbury. Unfortunately Bloomsbury pricing is higher for new clients than OUP's. The existing authorized sites (six campuses) were able to maintain the favorable rates. Access is active.

Cambridge University Press eBooks – CDL is reviewing vendor's third edits in the draft license. This time we are seeking assistance through the General Counsel's Office because the vendor's legal team edited the indemnification language extensively. Access is active. Catalog records started going out to campuses on 7/11.

SAGE – We just met with the sales team who presented SAGE Premier upgrade options (2015 fixed list with 23 title gain, 2016 fixed list with 124 title gain, 2017 all access list with 213 title gain) as well as one-time purchase quotes for Evidence-Based eBooks, 2015-2017, backfile upgrade, Research Methods systemwide options, etc. To be discussed.

Springer Nature – To be discussed at the meeting.

CDL has had two different conversations with Springer Nature: one with the licensing team to exchange data in anticipation of a proposal and a second regarding identifying and piloting a model for APC offsets for hybrid journals that would work in the U.S. CDL shared the Pay-It-Forward analysis of the reasonable cost of APCs. There would need to be some level of author choice and financial commitment. SCLG confirmed that it is interested in exploring the development of a U.S. model for APC offsets with the understanding that there would be some local staff costs to implement such a pilot.

Action: Ivy will write a background document.

SAGE is interested in exploring similar approaches.

Action: CDL will analyze local retrospective spending on journals not in the package.

Action: SCLG members should complete the poll on the wiki for interest in new SAGE products.