UC Libraries Scholarly Communication Officers Group
January 11, 2005, 8:30-10:am.
Teleconference Meeting

DRAFT MEETING NOTES

1. Scholarly Communication Program. Discuss and formulate action plans in response to the requests emanating from the ULs’ November meeting; discuss and clarify relationship to CDC.

   Background: Agenda appendix a: UL meeting notes excerpt


Discussion: Of the four key program elements, copyright education and leveraging the libraries market power seem most amenable to collective action at present. Discussion established common assumptions and goals for copyright education, the potential for eScholarship service rollout to be used as education opportunities, and potential elements of a “tool-kit,” Similarly, with the ULs charge to SCOs and CDC, and the anticipated outcomes for the May ’05 summit meeting, the group agreed the path is prepared to develop priorities and actions for the strategy of leveraging market power. For both faculty outreach and building expertise, there is a need to accumulate some broader and deeper experience from local activity in order to surface, articulate, and plan for collective activity.

Action(s): 1. A sub-group of Ober, Persily, Phillips, Starr, Yokote will draft the initial implementation plan for copyright (education & outreach for copyright) in time for group discussion and refinement before the May summit meeting. 2. The group will be polled in April to determine if there is a critical mass of experience to inform systemwide planning for overall education/outreach and expertise building.

b. Investments in transformative models: discuss and assign action to “develop draft language for a statement on how we will use library collections monies in support of new publishing initiatives”; i.e. initiate priority action on this component of the “Use Library Buying Power” strategy area. Background: Agenda appendix c: UC Libraries Support of Transformative Business Models for Scholarly Publishing (see especially “For Discussion” near the end of the document).

Discussion: The group identified the need for both principles about whether to invest in transformative models and to what effect, and criteria for when (in what) to invest. As the ULs request suggests, these principles should complement CDC principles and be informed by CDC input. The May meeting will provide an
opportunity to refine this work and discuss endorsement, adoption, alignment with other investments, and use of principles and criteria.

**Action(s):** A sub-group of McClenney, Ober, and Tanji will draft the initial principles and criteria in time for group discussion and refinement, and solicitation of comment from CDC before the May summit meeting.

c. **Publisher negotiations:** discuss communication and workflow re: “CDC, working with JSC and SCO, will formulate draft strategies to help evaluate different negotiating opportunities, and [look at] upcoming negotiations through the renewal of the current Elsevier contract” (i.e. over the next 4 years).

**Action(s):** SCO stands ready to respond to CDC requests for input.

2. Update the group on activities of eScholarship, the Office of Scholarly Communication, and the campuses (time-permitting). **Background:** eScholarship/OSC update; Draft adaptable text announcing eScholarship Postprint service.

**Discussion:** Candee led discussion about the eScholarship postprint service and rollout scenarios. At the request of ULs draft adaptable text that the libraries may use to describe the service to faculty was distributed.

**Action(s):** Candee will provide SCOs with a preview of the user interface for postprint deposit and a list of candidate faculty citations that will be used for soliciting deposits. Candee will provide a revision to the adaptable text by January 17.