SCO Conference Call

June 10, 2009

Attending: Janice Contini, Robin Dale, Martha Hruska, Susan Mikkelsen, Mary Page, Gail Persily, Margaret Phillips, Elise Proulx (CDL guest), Barbara Schader, Lorelei Tanji, Bonnie Tijerina (LA guest), Jackie Wilson

Absent: Marcus Banks, Brad Eden, Sharon Farb, Catherine Mitchell.

1. Introductions

- Gail introduced new SCO member Susan Mikkelsen from UC Merced who is replacing Donald Barclay. Donald is now serving on SOPAG.

2. SCO Business

- Gail reported that she will begin drafting the SCO Annual Report for 08-09 which is due in August and will send it out for comments.

- Goals and objectives for 09-10 will also be a future agenda topic this summer.


- Jackie & Ivy requested the desired data from Springer about UC authors publishing in their journals. Springer has agreed to provide it, and Jackie will follow up as needed.
- Also asked for data by campus, which is pending.
- For the assessment part of the project, the task force will be working with Springer and the Max Planck Institute to leverage everyone's work on assessment/evaluation of the program.
- Task force is starting to work on planning assessment. Will have something for SCOs to review by August call if not before.
- Springer is considering our request for names of UC authors who chose not to participate in Open Choice.
- Screenshots that show the author submission process for UC participants in Open Choice have been added to the FAQ. http://osc.universityofcalifornia.edu/alternatives/springer_faq.html
- Additional updates to the FAQ based on comments from SCOs and others (e.g. emphasis on $3,000 savings).
- The task force revised the talking points, which Jackie distributed to the SCO group via email on June 8.
- At the task force conference call on Monday June 15, they will be discussing how to determine eligibility as a UC affiliate. SCO co-chair Gail will participate in the call.

4. Draft Report on SCO Planning (Janice Contini)
• Discussed impact of budget situation on campus's ability to support scholarly communication programs. Agreement to make this point in the report and ask ULs for guidance.
• Discussion of who is the audience of this document? SOPAG and ULs? UCOLASC? Should there be a summary document for the public?
  o ACTION ITEM: all will review the document with this question in mind.
• Elise suggested that Catherine Candee might review the report and Gail suggested that Gail Yokote might review it.
  o ACTION ITEM: When we have a more solid draft, Gail will send it to them for review.
• Bonnie suggested including librarians doing scholarly communication work in the mini-environmental scan. Interviewing a couple about how this change in SCOG will affect them and what they are able to do would be useful to include in the report.
  o ACTION ITEM: Janice will follow up on this.
• ACTION ITEM: All - send their comments by email once they have reread the report.

5. OA Week

Reports on activities planned:

• eScholarship program - wants to work with all the campuses. Will be releasing new site then. Pending approval, plan to create some collateral (posters, etc.) which they can distribute to the campuses. Audience for their program is faculty and librarians.
• Berkeley - Margaret talking with a small group to get some activities planned there.
• LA - Bonnie reported a lot of activity there.
  o Library is handling central coordination and working with a number of different groups.
    ▪ Graduate Students Association - interested in OA journals for their publications
    ▪ CalPIRG - open textbook advocates
    ▪ Students for Free Culture
    ▪ Information Studies Dept.
  o Library planning to host 1 big event to open the conversation - future of scholarly publishing debate.
  o Also planning an Open Access Open House - splitting a large room into small talks on one side and demos/poster sessions on the other.

Discussed interest in virtual events that all campuses could participate in.

ACTION ITEM: Share our plans on the wiki. Continue discussion of how we can work together on this.