There are various criteria one could use to select the 3 to 5 BSTF areas that are highest priority. All the recommendations could be sorted into short, medium, and long term, according to how fast they could be implemented. Those that do not depend on long-term recommendations could go forward for implementation.

Certain areas, such as selecting an appropriate metadata scheme and pursuing continuous improvement, seem straightforward and likely to garner automatic approval. While we support these, we would rather cast votes to put UC’s energy into those things that will make the biggest difference.

John suggested those things might be

II.1  Create a single interface for all of UC
II.2  Support searching across the entire bibliographic information space
III.1  Rearchitect the cataloging workflow (a single data store)
I.5  Offer better navigation of large set of search results
I.6  Deliver bibliographic services where the users are

Next meeting: March 8, 8:30-10:00