Shared Cataloging Program Advisory Committee

Conference call Monday, September 20, 2010 3-4:30 PM

Present: Lisa Rowlison de Ortíz (UCB), Trina Pundurs (UCB), Sarah Gardner (UCD, chair), Holly Tomren (UCI), Valerie Bross (UCLA), John Riemer (UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM), Sarah Sheets (UCM), Jim Clark (UCR), Tasha Keagan (UCSC, recorder), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD/SCP), Nina Meechoonuk (UCSF), Becky Culbertson (UCSD/SCP), Holly Eggleston (CDL)

Absent: Elaine McCracken (UCSB)

1. Announcements

   New representatives Sarah Sheets (UCM) and Trina Pundurs (UC B) were welcomed. Donal O’Sullivan has acquired the full-time position vacated by Renee Chin. A job posting has been created for Donal’s former half-time position, announced through September 30.

2. NGM Update (John)

   CDL has been following up with OCLC on batch load work. CDL is working with the digital collection tool on reharvesting eScholarship first, then the OAC finding aid, Calisphere, UC Press eBooks, and local digital library projects. The tool has been refined. The reharvest should correct over generalized mapping that caused eScholarship attributes to be applied to Calisphere, etc.

   WorldCat Local vendor records partition: EEBO. The partition was meant to retrieve records on the part of the user, when records are paid for or part of a license agreement. Metasearch in WCL can be turned on by CDL, permitting WCL users to specify search arguments to retrieve EEBO records that have been purchased/licensed by their institution.

   The NGM/NGTS Task Group's report on Separate Records for Serials is now out.

   UC Link Types Best Practices Group has submitted their report to HOTS, with a change in wording suggested.

3. NGTS Update (Jim D.)

   NGTS task force reports were completed 9/10 and sent to the ULs on 9/13, for viewing at their 9/15 meeting. The reports will be made public at a later date. During their 10/07 conference call, the ULs intend to discuss the reports, next steps, and identify recommendations to pick up. Broad group discussion and requests for feedback to the ULs are anticipated throughout October. Recommendations to be put forward may be identified by early November.
4. **SCP Update (Becky and Adolfo)**

(Adolfo) SCP will discard reclamation files housed on its server. Representatives confirmed the files are no longer required for campus use. Regarding cataloging treatment of multipart monographs (mostly Springer) previously discussed, responses indicated that SCP should distribute the records and accomplish post-distribution clean-up to collapse records, as needed. SCP will go forward with this practice. If campuses see records like this, please forward to SCP for maintenance.

(Becky) SCP is preparing to catalog approximately 8,000 Springer German language materials. An umlaut problem exists. No other problems are anticipated.

5. **Holly Eggleston from CDL - mobile platforms for licensed resources (more information forthcoming)**

Many licensed resource vendors have various web- or app-based mobile access solutions. Since last spring, CDL has been exploring how current resources work, and vendor offerings for mobile access. CDL is not looking at apps due to complicated licensing, etc.

EBSCO is the resource mobile access will be rolled out for at this time. UC-eLinks will indicate two access points for resources, mobile and non-mobile. Campuses are in very different places with respect to mobile-readiness, and use different methods for authentication. Some campuses may choose to opt-out until ready for mobile access implementation, promotion and outreach. Default opt-out is assumed. Campuses will want to consider how to collocate or present mobile-optimized resources (catalog, A-Z list, a separate list).

CDL is looking at distributing mobile URLs via SCP’s workflow, for IP authenticated, resource-level records only. At this time, about 25 URLs on the EBSCO platform, and about 6-7 other resources may be activated. At present, mobile URLs for campus-specific access (e.g., Gale or CSA) are not an option. The form of URL depends on the vendor. Title-level mobile URLs via SFX are being investigated, but no functionality exists at this time. Platform-detection may be desirable, displaying appropriate UC-eLinks targets based on detected platform, but this is a huge feature request.

Mobile URLs will be maintained in the PID server. SCP will provide the URLs within a 956 field, also containing a consistent, easily queried string, and will distribute the updated records with regular SCP files. It is not practical to treat mobile access as Tier 2. Therefore, the decision to opt-in is expected to occur at the campus-level, with individual campuses exercising discretion over whether to opt-in, and how to load. It is suggested that campuses opting in will change 956s to 856s, and treat as local resources to prevent future overlay.
SCP does not intend to add mobile URLs to WCL. No immediate problems are seen with distributing records in this manner.

A 793 field may also be used to identify records with mobile URLs. However, perhaps identification could be better served by a subfield of the 856 field, which is more prominent in publicly-displayed metadata. However, concern exists about displaying a 793 when a campus has chosen to opt-out of mobile URL display.

**ACTION:** Wording suggestions for mobile 856 are requested. Please send to the listserv.

During discussion, the following field options for distributed mobile URLs were approached:

- 956 (Opt-out URL only)*
- 956 / 793 (Opt-out URL, displayed 793)*
- 956 / 993 (Opt-out URL, Opt-out 793)*
- 856 / 793 (displayed 856, displayed 856)

*SCP suggested possibility of distributing in default opt-out format, via 9xx, for first six months, then switching to opt-in for all campuses after that date, via 856/793.

**ACTION:** Holly will collate the list of variants for each field and forward to listserv for email discussion.

**ACTION:** Campuses should verify the how local ILSs handle 956, 993. Is configuration necessary to display 9xx fields? Do all campuses have mechanism to protect 793, if desired?

Mobile URLs at the monographic level will not be created at this time, and may not be a sustainable option for the future, given the multitude of monograph titles. Perhaps SFX could eventually take care of this, but at issue would be how many monographs SFX has, or not.

**6. Comments on Separate Records for Serials report**

The following points were made:

Citation titles don’t get added to separate records.

Quality and quantity of separate records is an issue, whereas there is a single CONSER record for print.

**ACTION:** Representatives should send additional comments to the list by Friday, September 24.
ACTION: Adolfo will forward to the group his NASIG presentation regarding serials data structures.

Next conference call: Monday, October 18, 2010 3-4:30 PM