SHARED CATALOGING PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES

December 14, 2004, 8:30a-10:00a

Conference call meeting

Present: Becky Culbertson (UCSD), Jim Dooley (UCM), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Elaine McCracken (UCSB), Carole McEwan (UCB – Recorder), John Riemer (UCLA – Chair), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD)

1. Housekeeping

   Follow-up from 9/24 meeting re: 856 $3 dates vs. 008 dates matching – 856 $3 dates will now match dates in 362. We will begin to see these changes in the UPDs as encountered. This is a modification of the TFER guidelines and Becky will make sure TFER guidelines are officially updated http://tpot.ucsd.edu/Cataloging/HotsElectronic/SOPAG/guiddec.htm.

2. General SCP update from Becky & Adolfo
   a. Due to technological problems with the Circuit server, UCSD will send smaller batches of records to the campuses (max. 2,000/wk.) until this is corrected in April 2005. Any record transaction is included in these numbers.
   b. SourceOECD monograph records will be sent out to campuses on Monday, December 20, 2004.
   c. Shared Print collections initiative: Meeting with Linda, Becky, Adolfo (UCSD) and Nancy and Bev (CDL) to work on guidelines on how SCP may or may not be involved with this initiative. Comments to follow. Issues include if SCP could distribute records to MELVYL and campuses could get a local OPAC record from MELVYL (via Z 39.50) if they wished. HOTS still discussing substantial changes to MELVYL’s functions and if local OPACs want a record for archival print held physically in an RLF.
   d. EBSCO Business Premier – 2,300 real ISSN records out of 5,000 titles; 1,300 already cataloged.

3. SCP-related Highlights from Nov. 15th HOTS meeting (Jim & John)
   a. Shared Print – Discussion about how the location would display in MELVYL and local OPACs. Possibly use different codes behind the scenes in the 852 $b sub location to control default loan periods and track usage, but to display only one general location for Shared Print to the public. Possibly use the 793 to indicate that the item is in a shared print collection and to retrieve the constituent titles: Some CDL-licensed materials like LION have involved two-level 793 fields, e.g. $a database $p sub database. This might be applied to Shared Print. Discussions to continue with Nancy.
   b. Prospective shared print collections – Discussion of using OCLC authorization for UCSD by other cataloging campuses. Possible use of old OCLC authorization "UCU". If an FTP file could be set up for the latter code, this would be an automated means of getting bibliographic records to DCP for distribution to others.
   c. SCP Annual Report - HOTS is supportive of the roadshow of SCP staff visiting campuses in spring 2005.
   d. Rethinking MELVYL discussion - HOTS members investigated other consortia to see how they used their central cataloging file. Generally central files worked well for automatic sharing of all records. OhioLink used theirs as a central union catalog; U of Maryland (ExLibris) used a single bibliographic record among all campuses for cataloging, opac, circulation, and acquisitions & have had some invoice payment problems. Austrian consortium (ExLibris) catalogs centrally and new/revised bib records automatically reach local systems. Items and holdings records are stored locally.
e. ERM – RPF due to be issued in mid March 2005
f. SOPAG – Discussed the classification of e-monographs and sent it back to HOTS, who sent it back to SCP AC. Any set having more than 1,000 records in need of classification assignments will be review by the SCP AC for assessment and strategizing. Such a set might be distributed in 2 stages: 1) issue all records, including those without class numbers and 2) then reissue the records after the classification has been assigned. DigitalEvans might be the first set to be referred to SCP AC.

4. E-serial records initially issued as electronic, later as print-based descriptions (Jim & John)

This situation applies to a very small number of e-serials. For the overwhelming majority, a print version record is available to SCP at the time of cataloging. Most e-serials that get cataloged on an electronic version are only published in that form, and there will never be a print version. While SCP strives to use the single-record technique as systematically as possible whenever it is applicable, the occasional changeover from an e-version to a print version is not idly done. This type of change is usually made only at the time a revision is being done for another reason. When UCI originally raised its question on SCP-L, the intent was not to question the single-record policy that enables users to find on one record all the format choices available for a particular volume. John to respond to HOTS email.

5. Closing out records issued as Deletes (Becky)

Becky can flag the 599 to alert campuses to manually close out their record after the last 856 (from SCP) is removed.

6. Sample Authorized Heading List (Becky) Clarification of role of 856 $z: package or platform (John)

a. Becky sent further email to explain additional (codes) used in "Code" column : a= authorized u = unused s= SFX target h= title hook p= database part
   b. Decided on new definition of: Tier 1 = available on ALL campuses ; Tier 2= available on a subset of campuses (as listed)
   c. Decided to add a column for "Licensing" to indicate if the title is licensed by campuses or by CDL so we will know who to go for help with access.
   d. Decided to add 793 (h) under "resource name"
   e. Becky to modify and resend new version of List.

7. 793 $g usage proposal (Adolfo)

a. Platform vs publisher package for label. For example: Ingenta moving to Leibert Online and to Blackwell.
   b. General decision: When a title has access, where does the URL go? Usually it goes to a Platform. Or it may go to a publisher’s interface. If a publisher asks Ingenta to mount access on Ingenta’s Platform, then it would be a change in Platform. Each Platform with a license receives an 856 designation. So if access is via the publisher’s site and also via Ingenta’s Platform there will be 2 different sites and so 2 different 856s. But if Ingenta ceases providing access to the title and it is available via the publisher’s site, then SCP will use one 856 for the publisher’s site.
   c. Generally, SCP includes all activated access points via the 856s. SCP cataloging staff can’t evaluate all platforms, so include them all.

Topics deferred to next meeting

8. Desirability of explicitly citing availability of “full text” and “for free” in 856s, from public services perspective. Ex. see record for Los Angeles Times (1866-) in UCLA opac.
9. Progress on developing a standard for cartographic material for SCP (Elaine, Carole, Adolfo, John)
10) NEXT MEETING: TBA