SHARED CATALOGING PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MINUTES
September 30, 2005 – 3:30 -5:00

PRESENT: Jim Dooley (UCM), Pat French (UCD), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Elaine McCracken (UCSB), Carole McEwan (UCB- recorder), John Riemer (UCLA, Chair), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD)

1) CONSER funnel project (added to agenda) - All campuses are in agreement, with 2 waiting to confirm with AULs (UCSB and UCSD)

2) HOTS referral to SCP AC : How to flag SCP records that campuses should not send to MELVYL (John’s email of 9/29)
   a) This is for the large sets of monographs, Tier 1 purchases and does not include Caldocs (even though, they are Tier 1) or Integrating Resources
   b) 599 $d SUPRESS, was the preferred “flag”. UCB to check to see if this will work with GLADIS.
   c) 9XX (possibly 921) might be another solution if UCB cannot use 599.

3) HOTS annual review of SCP AC’s membership
   a) UCR wishes to add a member
   b) All sitting members will continue with John Riemer also continuing as Chair.
   c) There will not be formal “staggered terms” for campuses. Other people will be rotated on as necessary by each campus.
   d) SCP AC does not wish to become a formal All Campus Group.
   e) There was a question as to what our exact relationship to SOPAG was regarding membership appointments or approval. Jim, as HOTS chair, will check.

4) Question of availability of SCP annual statistics (Carole)
   a) Adolfo is happy to provide statistics that the campuses need if he knows the categories
   b) UCB will draft some categories and all other campuses can comment.

5) Concerns about cataloging open access titles (email thread of 8/30) (Carole & John)
   a) John received email from Bev French about the idea of SCP providing cataloging for open access items that any campus has already cataloged and sent to MELVYL.
      i) John to reply explaining that SCP looks for existing copy to distribute before investing energy in cataloging open access titles.
      ii) Selection of open access monographs needs to be brought to CDC via HOTS for decisions. The existing request from at
   b) Carole is concerned with open access monographs and /or databases that a single campus may be asked to catalog but would be useful for all campuses ( as per open access serials and CDL form)
      i) CDL form for monographs and databases? There is no system-wide collection mechanism for these formats as there are for serials ( indexing in A&I database, for example)
      ii) CDC need to discuss selection criteria possibly based on the assumption that if any single campus selects the open access item to catalog, it would be useful to all.

6) Outcome of Link Resolver Services planning meetings, June 13th in Oakland, Aug 30th conference call (Adolfo)
   a) Current PID server has stability issues (originally locally modified by CDL with no documentation) and the discussion is about what kind of link resolver do we want?
   b) CDL’s original proposal was to reduce the number of link resolver services. The ARK server would be modified to accommodate PIDs. The current direction is movement toward open URL to the greatest extent possible.
   c) CDL Focus Groups were held 3 weeks ago with representatives from each campus to look at using SFX redirect only. But the results have not been distributed yet..
   d) For the Link Resolver Planning Services group, UCSD is currently compiling “Pro and Con” scenarios about eliminating all PIDs and moving to SFX KnowledgeBase alone.
i) Pros
   (1) use of OpenURLs
   (2) a single 856 to be maintained in records

ii) Cons
   (1) lose choices listed in bib record for all service windows
   (2) coverage ($3) can be less detailed and less accurate in SFX
   (3) choice of links - many resources do not have ISSN (Caldocs) or their target
does not accept OpenURLs so these resources would not be available in SFX
   (4) SFX might link to a different page than from what seems desirable to SCP.
       Some links may be changed in SFX to the SCP choice, some may not
       (a) language interface choice
       (b) to the “about” page instead of the index page
   (5) Use of publisher supplied data
   (6) Situations will occur when a single 856 will not be sufficient and others will need
to be added when necessary

iii) Basically, while using the SFX link resolver can involve loss of precision; this may be
balanced by streamlining of workflows and eliminating duplicate maintenance.

e) The latest version of the document “General Principles and Detailed Linking Guidelines is
available at http://www.cdlib.org/inside/projects/uc/linkresolverguidelines.doc

7) Recommending the acquisition of a statewide subscription to Marcive’s Documents without
   Shelves (John)
   a) There is interest on the part of UC Gils, HOTS and Bib Services Task Force
   b) Campuses to report back at next meeting if they would be interested.

8) NEXT MEETING: Monday, October 31st, 9:00 –10:30