

Final SOPAG Minutes
Friday, 25 January 2008
415 20th Street Room 411 Oakland CA

Present: Gail Yokote (UCD), Trisha Cruse (CDL), Bruce Miller (UCM), Mary Linn Bergstrom (LAUC), Julia Kochi (UCSF), Terry Ryan (UCLA), Luc Declerck (UCSD), Bernie Hurley (UCB), Lorelei Tanji (UCI), Diane Bisom (UCR), Patrick Dawson (UCSB)

Recorder: Patrick Dawson

Absent: Kate McGirr (UCSC)

1 Agenda review and announcements

1.1 Collaborative Tools Demo of Sharepoint (Ryan & Declerck) and Confluence (Kochi) for consideration of use by SOPAG rather than Basecamp or other collaboration tools.

Action Item: Test next agenda on Confluence.

2 ACG reports

2.1 CDC Report (Julia)

2.1.1 RLF Duplication Task Force. Should this TF still continue?

Action Item: Have the TF finish their charge.

2.1.2 Criteria for Purchasing Shared Print Archives for tier 1-package journals. (Ivy Anderson, guest). UCLA is currently taking in journals and payment is coming from RSC funds. RSC funds depleted, should this go back to campuses or do we continue to invest in shared print? Need principles on when to pursue and purchase shared print. How does shared print question fit in with digital archives and inter-institutional shared archives? Suggestion to develop a strategy to build confidence in digital archives and pursue CRL shared print archives and incorporate this into document for ULs. Question: should libraries co-invest in the processing of shared print archives and CDL pursue means for purchasing print archives? Principles should be developed as a basis for decisions in the future and should be mindful of economics of shared print. Should the question of why we are creating shared print archives at all be revisited? Or look at the issue what is the value of a shared print archive, what is considered to give it value and second how valuable is it, are we willing to pay for it? Also look at prospective versus retrospective archives. Suggestion is to look at shared print as part of the puzzle of preservation.

Action Item: CDC will take suggestions from discussion and work on this document for March ULs meeting.

2.1.3 Title adjustment process just completed. New process was undertaken this time. Especially for Blackwell it is becoming untenable to drop and add. Nevertheless will continue with Blackwell and Elsevier (Science Direct specifically). CDC will look at tier 1 purchases beyond SCAP funding to determine the value of continuing other titles. Despite complexity of process these reviews will continue.

2.1.4 Multi-year journal package. CDC is updating the chart that tracks savings by purchasing in packages for ULs meeting. Also beginning to prep for negotiation with Elsevier.

Action Item: CDC will put out a message and update on ERMS status soon. Any questions on ERMS consideration from campuses can go to CDL for direction. Information can be shared via SOPAG.

2.2 SCO Report (Gail)

2.2.1 Joint CDC/SCO Task Force Report on Criteria to Determine UC's Support for Transformative Scholarly Publishing Models. This is a response to charge from ULs. Recommendations have been endorsed by SCOs and CDC.

Action Item: Develop what is current investment in each of 5 models and add as an appendix so people will know the dollar figure involved. The updated document will be forwarded to ULs and added to agenda for March. It will go forward with SOPAG endorsement.

2.3 HOPS Report (Patrick)

2.3.1 Future of Public Services: On track for reports to be turned in on time for SOPAG and for the ULs in compliance with the charge.

2.3.2 Mass Digitization FAQ, will check with Ivy Anderson to see where the FAQ is.

2.4 HOTS Report (Luc)

2.4.1 Assessment of potential savings in the Shared Cataloging Program. HOTS asks if the activity should be only to look at SCP or should other options be examined as well? The charge came from the ULs to each group to look at this budget exercise. Should SOPAG play a role in expanding the question to look at other options that may be available? May bring this back to ULs to look for clarification and perhaps expand analysis of other ways to.

Action Item: Lorelei will send an e-mail to UL steering committee to see if it will be added to February 4th agenda.

2.4.2. Acquisitions Common Interest Group Keith Powell is undertaking effort to find common data elements in ERMS. Also tracking OCLC/WorldCat local activity, will have a future discussion on LC report. Chinese cataloging project:

Action Item: SOPAG members need to identify person to transfer funds.

2.5 LTAG Report (Terry)

Defer to next meeting.

2.6 RSC Report (Gail)

Will do on e-mail.

2.7 Role of SOPAG liaisons to ACGs (discussion)

Will do on e-mail.

2.8 Collaborative communication tools for ACGs and SOPAG

Will Defer

3. A Structure for Implementing UC Libraries Collaborative Projects (Kate and Bernie)

Will Defer

4. e-dissertations (Trisha)

ETD this will not be a SOPAG taskforce but a CDL workgroup with folks from UCSF and UCSD. Findings will be reported back to SOPAG. Will bring this up in the next SLASIAC meeting.

Action Item: Trish will create a public presence of ETD filing on CDL info.

5. Task Force Reports

5.1 Bibliographic Services Task Force (Terry)

Review of Next Generation Melvyl Pilot Configurations document. Question is will people be forewarned next time information from campuses is needed? Answer, yes. In the pilot, WCL will only point to a single

ILS for each campus. "Buy it now" link was originally going to be blocked, but WCL will begin to pull reviews and cover art from Amazon, but only if buy it now is not blocked. This question will be forwarded to ULs whether to unblock. Button text for link to request will be able to be the same color. Z39.50 algorithms for retrieval of data almost completed, campus visits for WCL are completed. Missing records (vendor records) almost all records will be ready and available for the pilot. Target date for release is April. Hopeful two types of records will be available for pilot, mass digitization records (Google/OCLC need to sign agreement) and digital objects records. Can use OAI harvestable records and Dublin Core.

Action Item: If there are records that meet these criteria let implementation team know.

6. Digital Library Workshop

March 14th date. Participants are DLSAG, SOPAG, and a SOPAG guest. Total participants should be 30, designed to get people to think about collaboration on digital projects. In advance of the meeting objectives will be sent out. Need environmental scan of campuses from a survey.

Action Item: Submit names for workshops by February 14th to Luc Declerck

7. Report on CDL Related Items (Trisha)

Counting California has run its course, however, it contains unique content such as California Statistical Abstracts and this will be preserved. Federal data is preserved at ICPSR. Feedback on ending CoCa should go to Rosalie Lack.

Action Item: CDL will inform Govpubs librarians

Three grants underway: First is phase II of WEB archiving service. Want to ramp up to full production service and look at end user access.

Second is JHOVE2 collaboration CDL Stanford and Portico working on format validation for various types of objects.

Third is Minnesota Historical Society & National Council of State Legislatures working on how state legislative information is prepared and how it is archived.

7.1 MELVYL

Will Defer

7.2 Shared Services Projects

Will Defer

7.2.1 Update on Funding for the Digital Preservation Program

ULs asking for information on shared collections and cost imbedded in these.

8 Systemwide Library Planning (Trisha)

Will Defer

9 SOPAG Communication (Trisha/Julia)

Will Defer