

**Systemwide Operations and Planning Group (SOPAG)  
Joint Meeting with ACG Chairs  
Friday, October 20, 2006**

**Present (SOPAG):** B. Hurley (B; Chair), J. Tanno (D), D. Bisom (R), T. Ryan (LA), P. Dawson (SB), L. Tanji (I), B. Miller (M), J. Kochi (SF; recorder), P. Cruse (CDL), K. McGirr (SC).

**Present (ACG Chairs):** Lucia Snowhill (CDC), Carol Hughes (HOPS), Jim Dooley (HOTS), Eric Scott (LPL), Stephen Schwartz (LTAG), Eric Forte (RSC), Margaret Phillips for Susan Starr/Gail Yokote (SCO)

**Absent:** Starr (SD; SOPAG)

**1. Agenda Review & Announcements**

**1.1 Final Call for Draft Minutes**

Make sure there is a final call for comments before they're sent to Hurley for distribution.

**2. All Campus Group Reports**

**ACTION:** Hurley will send a message to ACG chairs asking them to post annual reports on their websites.

**2.1 CDC Report**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report. SOPAG is interested in seeing the results of PAG's survey of audiovisual resources. Hurley mentioned he was happy to see HOSC is focusing on identifying and processing backlogs.

Cruse asked if groups other than PAG were discussing eDissertations. CDL is trying to figure who should be invited to a meeting to discuss eDissertations. Since a number of groups are involved in eDissertations, SOPAG should be kept informed and could provide contacts and stakeholders to CDL. ProQuest has said they should be able to handle supplemental files by 2008

**ACTION:** Tanno will update the eDissertations chart.

**2.1.1 CDC Scenarios Development Task Force**

The group thought the document was clearly written and easily understood. Need to add SLASIAC and campus administrations to the communication plan

**ACTION:** Kochi to relay suggestions to the task force.

**2.1.2 CDC Newspaper Task Force**

Report due in time for discussion at CDC November conference call.

**2.1.3 CDC RLF De-Duplication TF**

Report due in time for discussion at CDC December conference call.

**2.2 SCO Report**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report.

### **2.2.1 Faculty Out-Reach Charge & Communication Plan**

Draft communication plan was reviewed. One goal of the plan is looking at how the SCOs can provide a rapid response to scholarly communication issues.

**ACTION:** Hurley will propose this draft communication plan for the agenda of the Joint UL/SOPAG meeting in November.

### **2.3 HOPS Report (Carol)**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report.

#### **2.3.2 Digital Ref. Proposal**

Agreement with OCLC to use QuestionPoint has been signed. All 10 campuses are contributing at some level. CIG has a timeline for developing the service. CIG is discussing what will constitute "success" and investigating what new technologies might be used in place of QuestionPoint.

### **2.4 HOTS Report**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report.

One area of focus this year is to work with CDC to review book approval plans and see where efficiencies lie with the fact that all libraries have YBP approval plans.

**ACTION:** Snowhill will put approval plan discussion on the CDC agenda for discussion.

#### **2.4.1 Investigation of OCLC, as a Single Union Catalog Data Ingest Stream**

CAMSIG met in person for first time. They had a substantive discussion of using OCLC as a single union catalog data ingest stream and produced a progress report to HOTS. They will meet the deadline of the Joint UL/SOPAG meeting in November.

### **2.5 LPL Report**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report.

Hughes asked if LPL was aware of the UC Policy on Stewardship of Electronic Information Resources draft document. LPL was not aware of it, but some other participants were aware of it.

**ACTION:** Cruse will keep SOPAG informed of progress on draft document. Cruse will send SOPAG the URL to the draft policy.

A discussion about the future of LPL took place. LPL has done an excellent job with what it was tasked with, but it seems like there aren't upcoming agenda items that require collaborative effort. Do we keep it as a group "just in case" or does it take on another form?

While SOPAG recognized the importance of having librarians who are tracking privacy issues, it was decided that LPL would not continue as an ACG.

**ACTION:** Hurley will disband LPL with thanks and ask HOPS to monitor privacy issues.

### **2.6 LTAG Report**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report.

**ACTION:** Cruse will forward any ITGC information to SOPAG.

**ACTION:** SOPAG will route any information about ITGC to LTAG.

### **2.6.1 Web Conferencing Software**

Schwartz said he is happy to help anyone get started using Team2Team.

**ACTION:** Hurley will add Schwartz to SOPAG testing group.

**ACTION:** Dawson schedule time for group to try out Team2Team.

### **2.6.2 Next Decade Report**

The group thought the report was thought provoking and especially timely given the BSTF report.

Some comments about the report included:

- Should address the impact of social networking. Not only will it change the way we interact with our users but also how we work with each other.
- It's an oversimplification to say "storage is cheap" – storage is cheap but managing (staff, facilities, etc.) the storage is expensive. Is this an area to look at for collaboration? Should we be outsourcing this to places that can do it cheaper?
- What areas could we push for more centralization (more specifically than what discussed in the report)? Are there areas that are technologically driven that would benefit from more centralization? Should we look at the business infrastructure for procuring technology?
- Need to include a scenario for faculty or professional students when looking at needs of future.
- CDC: appreciated the report as a think piece but would challenge some of the broad assumptions. In particular how centralized and digital collections will be in the future.

## **2.7 RSC Report**

Last year's activities and this year's plans were discussed. See URL for annual report.

SOPAG suggested that RSC might want to start discussing the potential impact of mass digitization on resource sharing.

## **3. Task Force Reports**

### **3.1 Bibliographic Services Task Force**

Hurley met with the ULs to discuss SOPAG's recommendations on how to move forward with the BSTF report. The ULs agreed that we should continue discussions with Internet Search Engines on article discovery. Three charges resulted from the ULs' meeting related to the next generation catalog:

1. The ULs will contact Jay Jordan to see if OCLC is interested in providing a next generation Melvyl replacement;
2. SOPAG will charge BSTF to come up with high-level specifications to guide discussions with OCLC;
3. CDL will identify current expenditures on Melvyl.

### **3.2 SOPAG ERMS Implementation Team**

Heather Christenson is the new project manager for Verde. Cruse shared an update from Heather that states that ExLibris has not delivered a fully-functioning product by October 1, 2006, but we remain hopeful that they will deliver this by December 31, 2006.

## **4. Report on CDL Related Items (Trisha)**

### **4.1 Shared Services Projects**

#### **4.1.1 VDX Issues**

The VDX “lessons learned” meeting is being planned. They were having trouble finding a facilitator, but one now has been identified. A date will be set over the next few weeks. Once a date is set, an announcement and invitation will be sent out.

## **5. Copyright Support for University of California Libraries**

The ULs have charged a group to look at ways of centralizing copyright support. A report is due by the Joint Meeting in November.

## **6. Agenda for Joint Meeting**

**ACTION:** Hurley will identify items for Joint UL/SOPAG meeting and send out a draft agenda to SOPAG.