

## Joint CoUL/SOPAG Meeting

February 16, 2012

Oakland, CA

### Present:

**CoUL:** Tom Leonard (B), Laine Farley (CDL), Randy Siverson (D), Lorelei Tanji (I), Gary Strong (LA), Donald Barclay (M), Ruth Jackson (R), Brian Schottlaender (SD), Karen Butter (SF), Denise Stephens (SB), Ginny Steel (SC, chair).

**SOPAG:** Bernie Hurley (B), Felicia Poe (CDL), Gail Yokote (D), Vicki Grahame (I), Susan Parker (LA), Emily Lin (M), Diane Bisom (R, chair), Luc Declerck (SD), Julia Kochi (SF, recorder), Lucia Snowhill (SB), Elizabeth Cowell (SC), Bob Heyer-Gray (D, LAUC)

1. arXiv
  - UC has been approached to participate in shared financial support.
  - **ACTION:** Ivy Anderson will take the issue to CDC.
  
2. NGTS Summary
  - Participation to date: 7 POTs, 12 Lightning Teams, 82 UC staff involved at all staff levels and departments of the libraries.
  - Takeaway points:
    - o Currently ULs can be most helpful by continuing to recognize the work their staff is doing regarding NGTS and emphasizing the importance of the work being done.
    - o CoUL would like to see preliminary timeline/calendar for overall projects to understand when they're going to get requests and provides a higher-level roll-up of the entire project. Would be useful for budgeting. Need to be clear to CoUL about when there is something they need to act on or approve.
    - o Would be useful to have more audience specific communications (CoUL, SLASIAC, etc.). Would also be useful to understand what message ULs need to be providing to staff.
  - **ACTION:** Bisom will provide link to cost savings guidelines to CoUL.
  - **ACTION:** SOPAG will ask NGTS Management Team to discuss and provide a timeline to CoUL.
  - **ACTION:** Lin will talk to Susan Starr to see what information she wants to receive from NGTS.
  - **ACTION:** Lin will take issue of audience specific communications to NGTS Management Team for discussion and action.
  
3. UCSD Organizational Restructuring
  - Moving away from discipline-based organizational structure and moving towards functional-based one. Organization will be much more matrix-based so people will report to more than one department.
  - 3 AULs: Academic Services; Enterprise Services; Information Services.
  - Will have Chief Technology Strategist and Digital Preservation Initiatives positions that will report directly to Schottlaender to provide technology strategy.
  - Revised organization will be in place by September 15, 2012; transition to new structure complete by June 30, 2013.

- **ACTION:** Schottlaender will share slides with CoUL and SOPAG.
4. Advisory Group Structure and Refinement of Planning/Priority-Setting Process
    - Discussion:
      - o Suggestion that campuses bring individual plans and share within CoUL to support planning process.
      - o ACGs to continue short annual reports.
      - o Advisory structure needs to be nimble enough to respond to new initiatives, both within UC and externally (e.g., ARL).
      - o Need to figure out how to document/archive what initiatives have been investigated and rejected and why.
      - o Issues:
        - Number of groups; have fewer people at leadership positions able to serve on them.
        - Decide the difference between informational and decision-making and what structures are necessary to meet different needs.
        - If libraries are moving away from functional-structures, then does it make sense that the system-wide committees are still based on that structure?
      - o **DECISION:** CoUL will produce a more public report from meetings with a brief summary of decisions made and posted on CoUL website.
      - o **ACTION:** CoUL will clarify planning calendar.
      - o **ACTION:** SOPAG will report back to the Steering Committee the results of their Friday retreat.
  5. Collaborative Financial Infrastructure
    - Financial issues have been identified as a barrier by most of the groups.
    - CoUL discussed this morning. Agreed that they would commit to having a shared pot of money for non-collection initiatives. CoUL is not supportive of creating a position to manage the financial infrastructure.
    - Discussion:
      - o What benchmarks will help us decide where to invest?
      - o How will we see how the money is being spent, where is it being spent, what type of savings are we seeing, is it successful?
      - o What info do we report out for ourselves and what do we report out that matters to decision makers?
    - **DECISION:** CoUL is committed to finding funding for system-wide priorities and a lightweight way to track and manage that funding.
    - **ACTION:** Given that CoUL is not supportive of funding a position, SOPAG is asked to identify other options for managing funding.
    - **ACTION:** CoUL will review document and respond to SOPAG about what they agree/don't agree with.
  6. ARL/DLF E-Science Institute
    - 7 out of 10 campuses have participated in institute
    - UCR EVC is bringing issue to COVC. Would be helpful to co-sponsor seminars on data management plans.
    - Collaboration was important and collaboration happens at many layers. What are things ARL could do at a national level, what consortial or regional

initiatives are happening? On individual campus level, how do you leverage relationships with IT and research?

- **ACTION:** SOPAG will organize an all UC activity where UC libraries participating in the ARL eScience initiative meetings can share information and all libraries can discuss eScience/eResearch within our UC context.

#### 7. Data Publication/Papers

- CDL presented an idea “we’ve been thinking about.” Idea: a dataset can be published similarly to the way a scholarly article can be published.
- Is there an opportunity to partner with campuses, working with faculty?
- CoUL requests that CDL inform campus libraries and provide FAQs to staff before the service is widely released.
- **ACTION:** ULs individually should get back to Laine Farley if they’re interested in working with CDL to develop the data paper concept.

#### 8. Digital Preservation Costs

- Looking at different pricing models:
  - o paid-up that would allow to pay upfront for storage for a period of time
  - o pay as you go – pay on an annual basis
- CDL would like to get a small group to help think through these questions.
- **FEEDBACK TO CDL:** A deposit account where campuses can add funds when available would be helpful.
- **ACTION:** ULs should individually get back to Trisha Cruse if they are interested in working with CDL to help think about these questions.

#### 9. HathiTrust Questions

- Print holdings will be required in 2013 to support the new cost model.
- Melvyl Advisory Group asked HOTS to determine if it was possible to get this information from their databases. CAMCIG reported that some information is not available in their records so it would be impossible to provide.
- **ACTION:** Each campus should provide the data elements they are able to provide.

#### 10. Online Education

- Steel has been added to the Online Learning Education Pilot Project committee.
- Plan to offer online courses to non-UC students in Fall 2012. Will provide financial incentive to faculty to teach classes.
- Need to put together small group that will look at the issue of providing library services/access to collections for UC and non-UC students. What would be the costs and how could they be built into the fee structure?
- Steel asked Greenstein for an assessment of the syllabi to see what type of assignments are being given. Review being done by DoQuyen Tran-Taylor.
- **ACTION:** Steel will send a charge/directions to SOPAG.