

# 2012-05-25 SOPAG Minutes

SOPAG Agenda

Conference Call  
May 25, 2012

1pm-3pm

Diane Bisom (Chair, Riverside), Elizabeth Cowell (Santa Cruz), Luc DeClerck (San Diego), Vicki Grahame (Irvine), Bob Heyer-Gray (LAUC), Bernie Hurley (Berkeley), Gary Johnson (Santa Barbara), Julia Kochi (San Francisco), Emily Lin (Merced), Susan Parker (UCLA), Felicia Poe (CDL), Gail Yokote (Davis).

Note Taker: Susan Parker (UCLA)

Guests: Martha Hruska/NGTSMT (not attending)

1. Agenda Review/Suggested time allocations

2. Minutes to review/approve:

May 1, 2012

May 11, 2012

3. CoUL Update -- Diane

-- CoUL conference call on 5/24/2012

\*\*POT 4 item still pending CoUL review

\*\*MAG membership item will be on next CoUL agenda

\*\*CoUL received the revised SPOT report, "Financial Infrastructure in Support of Collaboration" and agreed to acknowledge the receipt of this document and thank SOPAG for excellent work. They need more time to consider recommendations. CoUL approved report to be sent out to ACG chairs and posted to SOPAG site for information.

**Note:** Sent to ACG Chairs on June 8, 2012, and posted to SOPAG website: [http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sopag/sopag\\_financialmodel\\_proposal\\_20120409.pdf](http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sopag/sopag_financialmodel_proposal_20120409.pdf)

\*\*UC Digital Preservation Strategy Draft Charge – the CoULs asked SOPAG to review and accept this charge.

\*\*Federal government documents: Info on federal documents digitization project being discussed by four consortia. UC, GWLA, CIC, ASERL. Reduce number of depositories, share responsibility across the system. More discussions will be taking place. ULs Ruth Jackson (Riverside) and Denise Stephens (Santa Barbara) will be tracking and studying and will bring back to ULs when action is needed.

\*\*Hathi Trust records use policy. Recent interactions have shown there is a need for clarification. CDL (Laine) asking for formal development of this.

\*\*SOPAG E-Science/Research Meeting Proposal Approved by CoUL

Felicia, Emily, Bernie, Luc, Susan and Gail initially volunteered to explore putting together workshop. Gail and Felicia met and have had an initial exploration of how to put it together and what outcome(s) should be identified. They will present a proposal to SOPAG to make sure we are all on the same page. Outcomes include sharing information about the workshop and identifying potential collaborations within UC. ULs and AULs who attended the ARL E-Research meeting should be invited: Ruth Jackson, Diane Bisom, Gary Strong, representatives from CDL. Desirable to include MacKenzie Smith also. It was proposed we consider holding the workshop during the traditional UL-SOPAG face to face meeting in September.

4. NGTS MT -- Vicki Grahame

- POT 5 – Julia Kochi
  - Deliverable 1 report
  - supplemental report on Melvyl

Charged 2 lightning teams to look at SCP record distribution to determine if there is staff cost savings. For example, if SCP stops distributing these records, SCP could have extra capacity to do additional cataloging. LT 1: What are the benefits and challenges of having a shared catalog record in local catalogs? There is agreement that this is still necessary. This LT talked to 4 campuses, representative of different sizes and ILS's. LT 2: CAMCIG. What does it cost to distribute SCP records, and to manage them once we get them? Are there alternatives to get SCP records into systems if SCP no longer distributes them?

LT 1 report--few benefits, only one group found any. What would it take to make Melvyl acceptable as a union catalog? Those surveyed think that taking out SCP records will be hard on users (no access) and on staff (management).

LT 2 report--record distribution is efficient. It costs 56 cents per record. Are there alternatives? Do we need them? Can records be pushed or

pulled? This is not possible from our catalog or OCLC .Recommendation of LT is to continue to distribute records; come up with some best practices, as there are wildy different costs for different campuses. We can charge them later on to go back to OCLC to find out if they have the capability to push records in the future.

**DECISION:** SOPAG is in agreement with the recommendation of LT 2 to continue distributing SCP records.

**ACTION:** Ask LT to talk with Patti Martin and revise recommendation.

5. Online Education: Update -- Elizabeth, Bob

\*\*Production of distance education has slowed--there will be three classes in the fall. There is a mixed bag of issues. Elizabeth talked with UC online education staff. They provided a bulleted list of things that need to be done. Some small groups exist to address these. Some of these groups could be discussing library issues, and they welcome participants from the libraries. Elizabeth will share the list with SOPAG.

6. Advisory Structure Discussions -- Diane/Elizabeth

\*\*Background documents

\*\*Card Sorting Exercise Discussion: Felicia – See card sort results: 35 items were required to be sorted, based on different levels of granularity and type of function. There were a few overall categories that emerged. Different SOPAG members sorted as few as 3 and some than 15. The sort gives good visuals about how the advisory groups could be reconfigured around themes of activity or around themes of expertise.

**ACTION:** SOPAG discussion will continue.

**Note:** CDL and ACG updates (below) were not relayed due to expiration of time.

7. CDL Update (Felicia)

8. ACG Updates

CDC

HOPS

HOTS

LTAG

RSC

MAG

Next Meeting: June 15, 2012, Conference Call, 1-3pm. Note Taker: Emily Lin (UCM)

June 20, 2012, FACE TO FACE MEETING AT UCI