Attendees:

Sherri Barnes - UCSB
Christy Caldwell - UCSC
Beth Callahan - UCD
Trisha Cruse - CDL -
Sharon Farb - UCLA - out, Roxanne Peck sub
Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep)
Diane Gurman - UCLA - LAUC rep
Susan Mikkelsen - UCM
David Minor - UCSD
Catherine Mitchell - CDL
Erik Mitchell - UCB
John Renaud - UCI
Colby Riggs - UCI - Portfolio Manager
Anneliese Taylor - UCSF

Announcements:

- Colby Riggs, our new Portfolio manager is joining us today - welcome!

- Diane Gurman , our new LAUC rep is also joining us today - welcome!

- Coordinating committee report - There is a proposal for a CKG for data curation. CC is reviewing it, and will approve it. This CKG will work on topics/issues closely related to SAG1, and we will be working together a lot.

- Travel guidelines relevant for face-to-face meetings for UCLAS have been approved by CoUL and will be coming out soon. The CC, each SAG and CLS can make decisions about holding face to face meeting following the guidelines, and the project teams/CKGs will need to submit an agenda 4 weeks in advance for approval to the relevant SAG. Travel funds are managed by each campus.

- There was a call with CC and LAUC. LAUC is positive about the process, and they would like to have a series of topical webinars focused on the CoUL priorities as well as the other topics that are happening in UCLAS.

- There was a call with the SAG chairs and CLS chair and portfolio managers involving process issues.

- The CC is developing a checklist to handle membership changes in the SAGs, Portfolio managers, etc.

Discussion topics:

1. Portfolio manager processes
   a. David and Colby will take a pass looking at the strategic planning docs we did earlier this year.
   b. Based on that, we'll come back to the group to re-open the discussion.
   c. Also a good time to be looking at this with the new group members, to get their feedback.

2. OA Policy implementation update
   a. Presidential policy update - Katie Fortney will join us to discuss further.
      b. During the course of getting the senate policy pass, there were some comments that it would be ideal to broaden this to other UC staff. In March a draft was sent out to start the process. The policy is short, but states that authors who own the copyright for their articles (students) the policy works basically the same as the senate policy. For folks who do not own their copyright, there is not a license (since UC already owns this). Instead they retain rights and can request a waiver but it's more difficult - you have to show compelling circumstances. The distinction for the categories are found in the 1992 policy. (Not an easy policy to parse.) It defines ownership by category of work.
      c. FYI: http://osc.universityofcalifornia.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/UC-OA-at-a-glance-2200.jpg

   d. Symplectic Elements roll-out update
      e. The system is live for the pilot campuses - doing a soft rollout so they can get early testers at those candidates. Vendor is releasing another
      f.
      g. version update in the third week of august. So hopefully beginning of fall semester this can be rolled out to the entire faculty at the three campuses. Their data is already entered but they want to make it as clean as possible.

3. UC Code camp -- discussion/feedback/ideas
   a. Can't wait!

4. Shared Metadata Policy from SAG2 (David's email on 7/ 14)
    a. Working on final draft and will send in the next two weeks
    b. SAG2 rep at each campus to work with SAG1 counterparts for a Sept report

Question: what sort of licensing issues do we have about the metadata records (e.g. vendor-supplied records) that we have purchased. What is the process for getting clearance from the regents working through these issues for making things open (e.g. using the Harvard publishing model).

Question: we also might want to have a chance to review and understand what is in the policy so that we can best understand how to proceed, both in terms of taking it to the campuses and also working with it as a SAG.

5. Update on the Knowledge Unlatched pilot group
    a. ALA event - still pending updates.
    b. Campus contacts request from CLS - hasn't come out yet.

6. Update on Transformative Publishing Models Review Team Charge
    a. The group is having its first conference call today (Christy Hightower, Ivy Anderson, Jackie Wilson, Nancy Stimson, Anneliese Taylor).

7. Update on the OA Fund Assessment group
    a. Final report on the campus surveys is being reviewed by Fund administrators. Review deadline is 7/24.

8. OSC Update -
    a. kick-off meeting happened - 7/14 in Oakland
    b. They are considering extending the membership to include someone from UC Press, and perhaps someone from the data ckg.
    c. They went through the descriptive doc on the office and there was consensus on it and then went through the timeline of the workplan. They are looking especially at the 14/15 academic year. They are hoping to share a draft with SAG1 in September, to have a conversation about it, to id where we might have opportunities to work together, overlaps, etc. There's a lot of work here and parsing it out between us makes sense.
    d. They have a late August meeting to finalize the draft of the workplan.

9. Symplectic campus visits

a. Julia Hawkes visiting the northern campuses next week. Maybe the southern campuses the first week of september. She'll be available to show demos, talking about different modules and pricing. Including what it can do now and what it might be able to do in the future at individual campuses. Also could be an opportunity for the campuses to bring other units to the demo. e.g. other groups looking at faculty profile systems. She's also meeting with some groups at UCOP.

10. Trisha's conversation with UCOP OGC and Research Policy Analysis and Coordination office
    a. We did meet with OGC and someone from Research Policy Analysis and Coordination Office. We shared with them our intent to license UC produced data and metadata under CC0. Current policy is that all data produced in the UC system is owned by the Regents (although the data guidelines/policies are in flux right now). As such, researchers are not able to waive all rights via a CC-0 waiver. CC-BY is also potentially problematic for various reasons, but in the OP legal council is comfortable with us using CC-BY until the UC-wide data guidelines are released, and/or until they have a chance to better understand the issues. The lawyers did note, however, the CC-BY-4.0 has sufficient language to make it useful for data. This is promising for Dash offering a machine-readable agreement to data users. see https://github.com/CDLUC3/dash/issues/19 for more detail
    b. There have been some changes at UCOP Office of Research -- Steven Beckwith, Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies is returning to teaching. William (Bill) Tucker, Executive Director, Innovation Alliances and Services will be acting. Perhaps this why thing have slowed down a bit.
    c. Also, the Research Grants Program Office working to get a clarification on the new "Omni Circular" (formally Super Circular) to see if grant funds can be used for long-term storage beyond the performance period of the grant. They anticipate that the Omni's FAQ will respond to various requests for clarifications.

11. August 7 - meeting at UCSD on Shared print