

Attendees:

Sherri Barnes - UCSB - here
Christy Caldwell - UCSC - out
Beth Callahan - UCD - here
Trisha Cruse - CDL -here
Sharon Farb - UCLA - out
Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep) - out
Diane Gurman - UCLA - LAUC rep - here
Susan Mikkelsen - UCM – here
David Minor - UCSD - out
Catherine Mitchell - CDL – out
Erik Mitchell - UCB - here!
John Renaud - UCI - [here](#) :)
Colby Riggs - UCI - Portfolio Manager - here
Anneliese Taylor - UCSF - here

1. Discussion topics

- a. Coordinating Committee report
 - i. Ann out.
- b. Review request for feedback on OA Fund assessment taskgroup
 - i. <link redacted>
 - ii. based on systemwide Assessment Team report, purpose is to get at the qualitative value of the fund, a survey of the fund recipients. Stakeholder groups are the SAG3 and Scholarly Publishing CKG; questions about the number of people available to survey. the group will recommend a group to implement the survey. Will UCLA be included given the different nature of how they used the funds; there is a UCLA member on the team. Question to consider - without the funding how would the authors behave.
 - iii. What role should SAG-1 play - data analysis, **available for consult**
 - iv. **Include the option to add questions that are more campus specific, having the ability to customize at the campus level**
 - v. 432 approved articles equals 432 possible award recipients to

survey, with some duplication. Most award recipients came from health and life sciences; half off all applications, then physical sciences and engineering, social sciences, ethnic studies

vi. **additional questions: Suggest question around non-adoption: if you had not published in an OA journal before, why did you choose to now;**

vii. **How does the OA Policy make some of this moot-** what is the value of these questions, what will the landscape of OA be at UC given the new policy?

viii. Call for other SAG members to join the team; **recommendation for SP CKG members to be invited to join the team**

c. Review of SAG1 Workplan sub-group effort

i. Review new workplan

<https://wiki.library.ucsf.edu/display/UCLSAG1/Table+2+-+Portfolio+-++Assess+and+Monitor>

ii. team met Wednesday and reviewed goals from last year; new COUL priorities; and what activities should be adopted this year; charge to day to vet and agree with goals; goals 8-10 were active on, less active on schol comm issues. Only COUL shared ILS wasn't adopted.

iii. Suggestion to develop a small group to explore the viability of goal 4 - stakeholder document/community. Who is it we need to be thinking about with re: to schol comm, potentially including folks outside the traditional library structure. Diane offered to participate in the small group, along with Trisha and Erik

iv. **Action item - folks should look at document prior to the next meeting**

v. Goal 3 working group proposed - mechanism and implementation for communication. Beth will work with a small group on this. John volunteered. Expects to have something for the group to review in two meetings, by December meeting.

vi. Need to take a good look at [UL's Strategic Agenda for Shared Data Curation](#) priority for shared data services. Need to understand and put in context with our workplan. **Action item - to review and be**

prepared to comment on at the next meeting, 11/7.

vii. Review Team Basics document

1. https://docs.google.com/a/berkeley.edu/document/d/1S_jyZ6SdbnLPKMV1QI2JB5xqJM9rMZ9-sDrxzNAcdLY/edit#heading=h.gjdgxs

viii. Input needed:

1. Do the goals seem on target? In line with CoUL priorities?
2. For each goal, are there ideas around activities/producibles that we should take up, remove, prioritize?
3. Next steps - agreement, distribution, implementation
4. From an email from David (passed on from Rosalie Lack):
Timeline for sharing workplan: due week of Oct 27.
5. Suggestions
 - a. small working group - 3 things to accomplish by end of year (2,3,5)
 - b. Small group pick up goal 4 (Diane, Trish, Erik) - and create document for review by SAG1

d. (Noon) Open discussion with Lisa Schiff on Orcid and implementation on campuses

- i. Background - Systemwide ORCID wasn't a priority; SAG can help promote and support on campuses
- ii. Lisa: technical lead in eScholarship, co-chair and long-time member of ORCID business steering group
- iii. registry of persistent IDs for scholars, driven by all stakeholders across the disciplines are involved in use and governance, free for individuals. member orgs can create profiles for members at the institutions; variety of costs 5,000-20,000
- iv. what member orgs are doing: publishers, funders, research organizations. for manuscript submissions, research institutes; integrated into Vivo; helping graduate students manage IP, HUB Zero at Purdue
- v. UC ORCID memberships history: COUL discussed consortial membership, Dec. Presented at UCOLAS in Feb. No interest in consortial membership - UCLA joined, has DRUPAL module; CDL

integrating with Symplectic's Elements for OA Policy implementation; DASH integration planned; eScholarship integration with journal submission; Andrew Smith at UCB Grad Division; ODEN and DMPTool integration

- vi. Determine what services are good for our institutions and spread the word about how it can be useful. Connect people on campuses that are working with ORCID, create promotional materials along with organizing an ORCID tour of UC campuses
 - vii. 5 needed for consortial discount; interest in knowing how many UC researchers have ORCIDs; next data dump needed for analysis is 10/20.
- e. Transformative Scholarly Publishing Models Review Team preliminary report follow-up
- i. Any additional feedback? - Send feedback to Anneliese
- f. Actions:
- i. Erik: Send out email to SAG1 with five recommendations around OA survey
 - ii. Patricia: Find out if we have time constraints around submission of the SAG1 workplan
 - iii. Outcomes of Goal discussion:
 - 1. Whole SAG will work on goal 2 - review for Nov 7th
 - 2. Subgroup will work on Goal3
 - 3. Subgroup will work on Goal4
 - iv. Agenda items for Nov 7th Meeting
 - 1. SAG1 should review Team basics document in Goal 2 for Nov 7th
 - 2. Group should review shared data curation plan for Nov 7th
 - 3. Group should review workplan with deep-dive on Goals 6-10 for Nov 7th with goal of vetting goals and coming up with activity plan/timeline for each goal.
 - 4. Discuss idea to work on ORCID project
 - a. ORCID tour
 - b. Instructional/outreach materials
 - c. Consider reaching out to SAG1