Attendees:

Sherri Barnes - UCSB
Christy Caldwell - UCSC
Beth Callahan - UCD
Trisha Cruse - CDL
Sharon Farb - UCLA
Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep)
Diane Gurman - UCLA - LAUC rep
Susan Mikkelsen - UCM
David Minor - UCSD
Catherine Mitchell - CDL
Erik Mitchell - UCB
John Renaud - UCI
Colby Riggs - UCI - Portfolio Manager
Anneliese Taylor - UCSF - out, sub Megan Laurance here

Announcements:

- David out next meeting - can someone volunteer to run it.

- Dash service will go live Sept. 22. This means that all of the pieces will be in place and ready to go. The local campuses can turn on their connections when ready.

- UC3 received an NSF grant to work with PLOS to develop a data metrics platform. The work begins asap. Right now PLOS has an article-level metrics platform, but they don’t have anything for data. Measures reach and use of data. See http://www.nsf.gov/awardsearch/showAward?AWD_ID=1448821&HistoricalAwards=false

- Coordinating committee report -

Reminder that CKGs that have been active for a time should send their annual reports (they are overdue, but a few of the reports are still outstanding). The July-August bimonthly report for SAG1 is due by the end of this week/weekend. Katherine Kott has been engaged by CoUL to do an assessment for the UCLAS structure. The assessment will focus on determining the effectiveness of the governance processes as the mechanism for furthering progress on the CoUL systemwide priorities (including evaluation of communication and communication tools,
group formation and leadership, organizational structure and reporting relationships, and collaborative workspace). Target date for completion is November 30, 2014.

Discussion topics:

1. Subgroup to work on SAG1 priorities and deliverables
   a. Colby, Erik, Beth, Trisha, David
   b. Schedule?
      c. Email discussions in September
      d. Intensive virtual meeting first week of October (Beth could come to UCB if that would be helpful for some in-person/Skype meeting (yay!))
      e. Information for review by SAG group by October 17th?

2. Note-taking tweaks
   a. Weekly recorder
   b. Volunteer to help with public posting - ask for the weekly person to do the first draft of the public facing notes, then the group would sign off on it.

3. New Data Curation CKG
   a. Has met and defined a number of subgroups
   b. What’s the best way to interface with them?
      c. Have the chair bring things to us on a quarterly basis - attend one of our meetings. David to invite the chair, Shu Liu - UCI shu.liu@uci.edu, to come to one of our meetings in the near future just to get to know each other.
      d. Also be clear that they are free to send us materials at any time.

   a. Next step, as discussed last time: report issued, with our comments. Kerry Scott / CLS will coordinate next step.

5. Shared Metadata Policy from SAG2
   a. Comments from people who have read the report or talked to their campus people?
      b. There seem some generally good ideas here, but what are the actual steps and decisions here, and why were they chosen? What in general is the context around this? There’s lots of implementation here, but it’s not clear what the actual policy decisions are.
c. It might be appropriate for SAG1 to put forward some comments that we’ve surfaced, even though we weren’t asked to do this.

d. There was some discussion around whether or not CC0 would work. CDL ran into issues around trying to use CC0 for published datasets.

e. There was a discussion around the value of publishing metadata across UC and finding ways to allow UC libraries to adopt and share metadata more widely. It was suggested that there needs to be a parallel effort to find a way to share bibliographic metadata from the RLFs to UCs as a way of ensuring that collections that are shared across UC are discoverable.

6. OSC Update -
   a. The OSC workplan for 14/15.
   b. Sep - messaging to be worked on about the OSC process and connection with the advisory structure.
   c. Oct 3 - deeper discussion in the SAG1 meeting on the doc
   d. Mid-Oct release of SAG1 academic year plan

7. OA Policy implementation update
   a. In-depth 30 page report and evaluation of the Elements system, and how it works within the policy.
   b. Decision was to not release the harvester this summer, but do a soft launch in September and then one the next release is done, to release the harvester during OA week (October 20-24).
   c. They will be talking about all this with the campus partners.

8. UC Code camp
   a. Update: see blog post <http://datapub.cdlib.org/2014/09/03/the-first-uc-libraries-code-camp/>
   b. Outcomes:
      c. Establish a new Common Knowledge Group for Libraries Information Technologists. We need to draft a charter and establish the initial principles of group. Status: in progress, being led by Rosalie Lack, CDL
      d. Help articulate the need for more resources (staff, knowledge, skills, funding) that would allow libraries better support data and researchers creating/managing data. Status: database of skills table is being filled out. Will
help guide discussions about library resources across the UC.

e. Build up a database of UC libraries technologists; help share expertise and skills. Status: table being filled out. Will be moved to GitHub wiki once completed.

f. Establish a collaborative space for us to share war stories, questions, concerns, approaches to problems, etc. Status: GitHub Organization created. Those interested should join by emailing us at uc3@ucop.edu with their GitHub username.

g. Have more Code Camp style events, and rotate locations between campuses and regions (e.g., North versus South). Status: can plan these via GitHub organization + listserv

h. Keep UC Code Camp conversations going, drilling down into some specific topics via virtual conferencing. Status: can plan these via GitHub organization + listserv. Can create specific “teams” within the GitHub organization to help organize more specific groups within the organization.

i. Develop teams of IT + librarians to help facilitate outreach and education on campuses.

j. Have CDL visit campuses more often to run informational sessions.

k. Have space for sharing outreach and education materials around data management, tools and services available, etc. Status: can use GitHub organization or …?

9. Systemwide ORCID licensing
   a. Update

10. Transformative Scholarly Publishing Models Criteria Group (Anneliese)
   a. Update - working along.