

September 20, 2013 Meeting Notes

September 20, 2013 SAG1 Meeting Notes

Attendees:

Sherri Barnes
Susan Borda
Christy Caldwell
Lynne Cameron
Trisha Cruse
Ann Frenkel
David Minor
Erik Mitchell
Lisa Rowllison de Ortiz

Absent:

Beth Callahan
Anneliese Taylor
Sharon Farb
Carol Ann Hughes

Agenda Items:

1. Note taking / documentation processes
2. CoUL proposal for the Office of Scholarly Communication
 - a. Email from Ann Frenkel on 9/16
 - i. NB Three word attachments
 - b. Proposal for a new OSC, with support from SLASIAC
 - i. "Office will operate under the aegis of SLASIAC"- what does that mean? NB how well SLASIAC functions or doesn't - what would the implications be for the OSC? Trisha: note the SLASIAC is the governing body for CDL, so major initiatives that come out are part of this. There are questions about how active SLASIAC will continue to be and how this would impact this new group.
 - ii. What does "office" mean in this context? It sounds like a permanent operational structure.
 - iii. Important to have a group "bigger" than just libraries but also academics, faculty, etc. Is the membership of the OSC set up to do this?
 - iv. need clarity in terms of interactions between the current advisory structure groups
 - v. Does anyone know why the original OSC closed down? It was part of larger changes that happened at CDL when Dan Greenstein left. There was less active leadership for the group.
 - vi. Have reps from sag1; have liaisons linking campuses to Office.
 - vii. NB point that advisory structure was supposed actually get things done - not just discuss them. We need to make sure that if this goes forward that there are appropriate campus people at the office's table to enable it to function
 - viii. Should also note Kelty's comment in SLASIAC feedback. COLASC on each campus (and UCOLASC) should have strong connection to OSC.
 - c. Not part of the new Advisory Structure - is this OK?
 - i. Likely include "non-library" people
 - d. Interacts with SAG1 but also with other academic units
 - i. What would we want the interaction to be?
 - e. Would like feedback by the 26th if possible; David will draft our comments and we will try to be able to transmit by Sept. 26.
3. Update / Discussion of UC OA policy
 - a. Campus plans for OA week
 - i. Susan question: sounds like the event on the 17th was targeted to the library. Would it be appropriate to bring non-library people into the discussion? We should find out if we can share it outside of "our group."
4. Update from the Coordinating Committee
 - a. Meeting on 9/26 and then getting onto a two-week schedule
 - b. Note the Rosalie Lack has been appointed the convener for the group
5. [Agenda for 9/27 Meeting in Oakland](#)