

2013-10-04 - Meeting Notes

Attendees

- Sherri Barnes - UCSB
- Susan Borda - Merced
- Christy Caldwell - UCSC
- Beth Callahan - UCD
- Lynne Cameron - CDL (Portfolio Manager)
- Trisha Cruse - CDL
- Sharon Farb - UCLA
- Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep)
- David Minor - UCSD
- Erik Mitchell - UCB - here
- Lisa Rowilson de Ortiz - UCB (LAUC) (at noon)
- Anneliese Taylor - UCSF

Absent:

1. Discussion topics
 - a. Review of Oakland meeting - any comments or questions?
 - i. Reminder that meeting notes are on Confluence
 - ii. I think that we needed two days
 - b. Quick update on the web updating class on 10/3
 - i. NB that the SCO "community" has access also. We need to figure out what we want to do. We should probably create some kind of open access area that people can have access to. Or we decide that it was open for a certain amount of time and now that time is over.
 - ii. Anneliese will request a new wiki space for Open Access Policy Documents, and add the SAG1 members and SCO members who currently have access to these docs on the SAG1 wiki space.
 - c. Next steps discussion
 - i. Survey of campus infrastructures (Does your campus have: video storage/streaming services, data storage, its own repository, staff with specific knowledge, unique equipment, host Omeka sites, or other services that other campuses could "borrow"?) Could this be a lightweight survey -- so we can do something quickly and provide a response that would meet our users needs.
 1. One question I have is what the purpose of the survey is? Does it help us prepare a strategic agenda for e-research?
 2. If we pursue this perhaps (for the data curation part of our survey) we can focus researcher data curation needs. This should be informed by the e-research report. Here is a bash at how we might categorize:
 - a. Environmental scans, surveys
 - b. Data management planning: resources (tools, help, etc.) for the creation of data management plans
 - c. Storage: backup, preservation and archival storage; day-to-day storage; existing repositories (what is available within UC)
 - d. Metadata and descriptive services: persistent identifiers, metadata creation, metadata subject experts
 - e. Access services: Data discovery, exposure, publishing, indexing, access, commenting, data use agreements
 - f. Help and best practices: where, how, and when?
 - I just made wiki pages for each campus based on the above categories: <https://wiki.library.ucsf.edu/display/UCLSAG1/Campus+Level+eResearch+Info>
1. Identify campus stakeholders, organizations, committees that should be part of the data curation conversation and determine how SAG1 can interact with them.
2. Perhaps create an operations team around targeted tools, for example the DMPTool.
 - a. Background: administrators will have access to the DMPTool Dec. 1. Existing customization will be ported over to the new tool. Administrators will be able to add additional information, customization, branding, etc. to the new DMPTool. We are happy to work with UC colleagues to help them, but we want to work with folks that will actually be doing the work. Action: Cruse to come up with bullets -- what the group would do, what the needs are, etc.
3. Follow up on the eScience report from Jan 2013.