

Meeting notes for November 1, 2013

Attendees:

Sherry Barnes - UCSB
Susan Borda - Merced
Christy Caldwell - UCSC
Beth Callahan - UCD
Lynne Cameron - CDL (Portfolio Manager)
Trisha Cruse - CDL
Sharon Farb - UCLA
Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep)
Carol Hughes - UCI
David Minor - UCSD
Lisa Rowilson de Ortiz
Anneliese Taylor - UCSF

Absent:

Erik Mitchell - UCB

Announcements:

Today's the day that the OA Policy deposit requirement goes live for UCSF, UCLA and UC Irvine. {whee!!} <http://osc.universityofcalifornia.edu/> and a link to the transferable ad:

<http://osc.universityofcalifornia.edu/open-access-policy/get-the-word-out/>

1. Discussion topics

a. Comments on the last meeting?

- i. DataShare - Berkeley and LA in discussion about maybe participating in the next round
- ii. DMPTool Operations team
 1. Work with UC3 / CDL on the use of DMPTool
 2. Evaluate and configure the DMPTool <dmp.cdlib.org> for local use and share expertise across campuses
 3. Develop stakeholder incentives for using the DMPTool
 4. Share information about campus use of the DMPTool
 5. Develop and share training and outreach materials related to data management planning and the DMPTool
 6. Monitor national data management planning initiatives that can be incorporated into the DMPTool
 7. Evaluated UC and campus statistics on the use of the DMPTool.
- iii. Charge for the team: language about why it's being started, what the process is, tying it to both UC and national plans/agendas, language about how/why it might go away in the future depending on needs
- iv. Trisha will work on the formal charge and send it back to our group for comments on the official campus reps
- v. Need to be clear on how this might also happen for other teams

b. Support for PLOS and other OA publishing (Knowledge Unlatched, PeerJ)

- i. Is there an outstanding action item here?
 1. Yes. This was put to the SCO group, especially what do we want to do with the funds that were being used for PLOS membership, since that's going away at the end of this year.
 2. PeerJ has approached Ivy about getting an institutional membership. There might be conversations at Charleston, and this might be an option. This should be a broader conversation and not just about PeerJ.
 3. This might be an opportunity to have a cross-SAG call (with chairs), with CLS. First though maybe this group should have a deeper look (e.g. if we are supposed to support alternative models - is hybrid gold still an "alternative" model? What's the criteria for assessment? Look for SCO 2008 report on wiki.)
 4. <http://www.nature.com/scientificdata/> -- recieved a note from Ruth Wilson, NPG asking: . I wanted to follow up on our conversation to see if you or your colleagues had any ideas regarding UC academics who
 - a. might be good researchers for us to commission content from to show case what Data Descriptors in Scientific Data can add to the literature
 - b. any groups or faculties that you think would be interested in either Susanna (Academic editor) or Andrew (Managing editor) visiting to talk to researchers about Scientific Data and how it could be of use to them
 - c. Both Susanna and Andrew will be at the Society for Neuroscience Conference in San Diego in November and then there is also the IDCC which we will definitely attend so I am thinking there might be some opportunities for editorial outreach/lab visits in California that I hope would be of interest/benefit to UC researchers (particularly early career scientists) and focused on data management and publication and how they can help researchers gain credit.

Question: is this in or out of the verboten scope with respect to nature? There are certainly issues here that we need to think about.

c. OA week discussion

- i. Highlights, lowlights, recommendations for further work

1. Sounds like campuses had low turnout in general. Next time around there might be fewer programs or a different way of approaching it. It might be that we can look at putting together some activities that aren't tied specifically to OA week, since it's not a great time of year. We could also look at more targeted events and making a presentation during other established gatherings. Also, evaluate if it is successful to co-sponsor events. Would it be useful to conduct more discipline specific events that cut across campuses... for example target earth science researchers across all campuses.

d. Systemwide plan and priorities for FY 2014-2017

- i. <https://wiki.library.ucsf.edu/display/UCLASPortfolio/UCLAS%3A+ALL+Shared+Space+Home>
- ii. See in particular items 1a, 5a, 5c, 5d, 5"e" (perhaps 1a ii, 3a, 3b, 6a, 6b)
- iii. Comments?

e. Common Knowledge Group Guidelines Available

- i. <http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/groups/files/ckg/docs/ckg-guidelines.pdf>
- ii. Comments?

f. Next steps discussion

- i. Survey of campus infrastructures - due date of November 15. Susan Borda made wiki pages for each campus based on the straw categories: <https://wiki.library.ucsf.edu/display/UCLSAG1/Campus+Level+eResearch+Info>
- ii. Identify campus stakeholders, organizations, committees that should be part of the data curation conversation and determine how SAG1 can
- iii. interact with them.

g. Meeting schedule reminder:

- i. Next Meeting: November 15 (David out, need facilitator)
- ii. November 29: Meeting **cancelled** due to Thanksgiving
- iii. December 6: Meeting as scheduled