

**HOTS Conference Call**  
**January 12, 2009, 2:00 – 4:00**

Participants: Lee Leighton (Chair, UCB), Martha Hruska (UCSD), Mary Page (UCD), Jim Dooley (UCM), Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Lisa Rowilson de Ortiz (LAUC rep), John Riemer (UCLA), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Patti Martin (CDL), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Brad Eden (recorder -- UCSB), Linda Barnhart (UCSD)

1. Announcements  
Lee forwarded the current draft charge to the Next-Gen Tech Services groups. It is confidential at this point.  
Hsiung announced that UCSC is recruiting for an Assistant Head of Technical Services.  
Leighton discussed a visit to Stanford's Tech Services unit, and ways both Berkeley and Stanford can reduce redundancies in operations.
2. Held short discussion of confidential Next-Gen Tech Services document. Participants discussed that this will entail a huge amount of resources to accomplish (similar to Next-Gen Melvyl project).  
HOTS will continue to discuss this via email.
3. Completing reclamation projects for Next Gen Melvyl  
Did round robin on status of these:  
UCB: sending lower-level records right now, will finish higher-level records later  
UCLA: working on timeline for a June 1 completion.  
UCR: will complete work with OCLC by month's end  
Davis: done, some 50,000 records left for review  
UCSB: done  
UCSD: planning for SCP and UCSD projects are moving forward, should have both done by end of fiscal year  
UCM: done  
UCSC: done  
UCI: still planning to do  
UCSF: still planning to do

Everyone should be shooting for completion by middle of 2009

4. New SCP statement  
Drafted by the HOTS subgroup to provide a general description of the New SCP as proposed by HOTS last Fall. The Subgroup will likely move under the umbrella of the Next-Gen Tech Services group once it is in place.  
Discussion ensued related to the following points:
  - a. Using NGM for shared resource discovery and local OPACs for local resources means a bifurcated "database of record" model that would be new to UC. It would require significant discussion, and would possibly need to go to the ULs for approval. How would we manage shared print collections Issues related to single vs. separate records for serials, ERMS implications. Decisions related to these issues are currently based on inadequacies of current NGM tools.

- b. Future budget for SCP: where is it at? We are assume it is will be no more than 08/09.
  - c. Item #5: The group can't identify any specific new workflows now, but knows that there will be some because of changes to the Program.
  - d. Recommendation by HOTS to SOPAG related to the continuation of the SCP subgroup? HOTS decided to wait for Next-Gen Tech Services announcement.
5. CAMCIG's response to the WorldCat record use policy  
Discussion geared towards the vagueness of CAMCIG's response, and whether UC should compile what they feel is an appropriate UC Record Use policy. Should UC respond? HOTS decided to wait until after Midwinter to discuss further, as more information from OCLC and others may be forthcoming.
6. Other topics  
On order, in process records in WorldCat  
ACIG should be sending a statement to HOTS on this topic soon.  
Comment by Page on co-acting UL situation at Davis.

Meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

Next meeting: Monday, February 9, 2-4 p.m.