

HOTS minutes
8/9/10 conference call

Present: A. Barone , M. Hruska, L. Rowlison de Ortiz, V. Grahame (chair), M. Urrizola, L. Hsiung, J. Dooley, K. Darr, B. Eden (recorder), J. Riemer

Vicki is recording the conference call as a test, but not the SkyRiver discussion

1. Announcements

Lisa mentioned that her LAUC term is ending and there will be a new LAUC representative.

SRLF batch loading is caught up.

Robin Chandler has moved from SD to SC, and SD will not be replacing that position.

Experimenting with options using current staffing.

Action item from July: Each campus rep will send HOTS a 1-2 paragraph description outlining organizational changes due to budget cuts for fiscal year 2009/10.

2. Non-Roman backlog report

UC Minimal-level record guidelines are out of date; eventually have CAMCIG revise.

Jim mentioned that the prioritization by CDC of items in this report is being done.

ACTION: Vicki will ask CAMCIG to review and revise the UC minimal standards and draft new minimal-level records for Non-Roman after the report is posted on the HOTS website

3. RLF non-duplication policy/new reductions for everyone

Need better guidelines from Board/RLFs for searching/comparing SRLF and NRLF content; searching NGM isn't efficient enough, as asked for by NRLF. Extensive discussion on why Davis can't send MARC records electronically, and why NRLF hasn't done OCLC reclamation yet.

Action item: share on wiki what you do with withdrawn items (book sales, throw away??)

Action item: Everyone to send questions for the RLF s concerning the guidelines. Vicki will pull together and draft memo to the RLFS

4. Campus tech services metrics generated by HOTS System-wide Shelf-ready Report

How will we keep these up-to-date?

Action item: Yearly fiscal versions of Appendix A on p. 11 will be put up on the wiki, we will each keep up-to-date, with a standing item on the agenda under Announcements to remind us.

5. NGTS update

Deadline for reports from Phase 2 teams has been moved to September 10.

6. NGM update

John's report is copied below.

OCLC's Ted Fons is leading an effort to create APIs that will help libraries with varying capacities for extracting and sending batchloads of bib records for OCLC symbol setting in WorldCat. The API would reside on a person's desktop and query the ILS for the needed records (e.g. those created or changed since X date); it would not reside on the ILS server and thus would not need to be licensed with the vendor. OCLC has developed such capability for ALEPH (piloting with Univ of NSW) and Millennium (piloting with Australian national library) and recently approached UCLA about helping them develop an API for Voyager.

As I have reported previously, we currently have 8 campuses that have gotten as far as submitting at least one load of LHRs (UCLA, UCI, UCSB, UCSD, UCM, UCSC, UCD, UCSF). About half that number are keeping the data current on a weekly basis.

OCLC has announced creation of a new "OCLC Batchload" discussion list today. I sent it out to the LHR key contacts lists I have for each campus. (Do you want it sent to you also?)

OCLC recently held a discussion with Linda Barnhart and me of its plans for implementing storage of certain local fields when encountered in batchloading. The fields would reside in a table associated with the master bib record (not the same thing as a Institution Record) and the data would be indexed for retrieval just by the library whose symbol is on the record. OCLC is doing the development work for this July-Sept 2010. This looks like good news in terms of providing for important local data. To take advantage of the new capability, it might necessary for us to resubmit bib records as we did in reclamation.

CDL has been working on using the Digital Collection Gateway tool that will allow us to re-harvest the digital object metadata that had been previously imported to WorldCat for use in WCL. This included everything in e-Scholarship Repository, the OAC finding aids that point to some online content, Calisphere, UC Press e-books, and local digital library project work.

Two groups related to NGM currently at work:

Our Link Types Best Practices group, due to finish up its work by the time of our next meeting.

The NGM-NGTS joint TF on LHRs

An off-the-record discussion ensued on the SkyRiver/OCLC lawsuit.