

## HOTS Conference Call Minutes October 8, 2012

Present: Karleen Darr (Davis, chair), Manuel Urrizola (Riverside), Jim Dooley (Merced), John Riemer (LA), Varvara Paizis (SC), Lisa Rowilson de Ortiz (Berkeley), Linda Barnhart (San Diego), Catherine Nelson (SB), Patti Martin (CDL), Valerie Bross (LAUC rep, subbing for Louise Ratliff), Anneliese Taylor (SF, minutes)  
Absent: Vicki Grahame (Irvine)

### Agenda

#### 1. Announcements

Karleen – there will be a joint SOPAG/ACG group meeting on October 19. To be discussed is the proposed new structure for all-campus groups (ACGs). The proposed model is based on shared services. SOPAG's deadline for sending revised structure to CoUL is December 1. Will groups at our level be able to review and provide input before it goes to ULs? This question needs to be answered. Some members of the group expressed interest in being included in the review. SOPAG is reviewing the structure of NGTS and POT groups, for possible applicability to the advisory structure. Some feel POT is too small; not enough input from across campuses.

UC Bibliographic Standards for Cooperative, Vendor, and Campus Backlog Cataloging – does not accommodate non-print material. On HOTS website at:

[http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/hots/UC\\_Bib\\_Standards\\_2012.pdf](http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/hots/UC_Bib_Standards_2012.pdf).

Lisa and Linda have discussed the report and how to move forward.

#### 2. Discrepancy Between SCP-AC & HOTS Charges (see attachments SCP AC Charge & Revised CAMCIG charge)

Karleen made some edits to the SCP AC charge which she sent to HOTS for review. The reporting line was changed from HOTS to CAMCIG. She'll send these changes to the SCP AC chair for feedback.

Formerly chair of SCP AC was ex-officio member of HOTS. Membership roster will be removed from charge.

Chair term – states that it is two years. Valerie Bross relayed that it has been one year.

CAMCIG – Karleen and Wanda (CAMCIG chair) discussed changes made to structure that had not yet been documented. Clarified documentation to reflect that SCP AC reports to CAMCIG. Also, doesn't CONSER Funnel group report to CAMCIG? Valerie is the coordinator for the CONSER Funnel group. They send an annual report to CAMCIG. **Action Item:** Karleen will clarify outstanding questions with Wanda.

#### 3. Review of HOTS Charge, Composition, and Goals & Objectives (see HOTS Charge attachment)

Since we've clarified that SCP AC reports to CAMCIG, we do not need a HOTS representative on SCP AC. If we make changes to HOTS charge, they need to go through SOPAG, or at least to notify them. All of these modifications to charges may become moot pending the advisory structure changes that SOPAG is considering.

Karleen wrote 2012-13 HOTS Goals.

John suggested adding: Monitor trends in the use and repurposing of library metadata in new settings. An example is the re-use of library authority records for the new UCLA faculty information system project. Other issues this goal could cover are things like the record use policies and the statistical data we give to outside agencies.

**Action Item:** All HOTS members should review the proposed 2012-13 HOTS Goals on the HOTS wiki and add/revise as necessary, by October 16.

#### **4. CAMCIG Response to HOTS SPiP Charge** (see Karleen's email dated 9/17/2012 with 3 attachments)

HOTS accepts the CAMCIG report with thanks.

Question on page 3: "What other issues in bibliographic control and management need to be addressed?" Outside of HOTS purview? Refer these suggestions to Emily Stambaugh as a starting point. It may come back to CDC as policy question.

One comment that it's better if the entire title is treated the same way, as opposed to some holdings (future receipts) treated as shared print, other holdings not.

Another concern raised was adding a special SPiP label to the material – too much overhead, or necessary? Differing opinions. Some see these as helpful, providing a visual cue to differentiate material.

The documents require follow-up action: asking CAMCIG to fill out the table more completely and then post the documents. Where does the document live? Karleen will recommend to Emily that it live on the CAMCIG site.

Regarding Acquisitions Common Interest Group (ACIG) discussion on tracking the Shared Print receipts: There is not a prescriptive means for each campus doing things the same way; just that each campus needs to have a way to account for the materials and produce statistics.

#### **5. Melvyl Update** (Patti)

We received a usability report from an external evaluator commissioned by UCB, suggestions for ways services can be improved for disabled users. Some improvements can be addressed by CDL, others need OCLC's attention.

Still experiencing instability, up and down by OCLC. Last weekend this affected 71 ILL requests.

November – quarterly release. OCLC has heard feedback about serving expert users better (librarians), and is making improvements. John will send the link to the recording of the recent WCL session to the group. The streamed UC-only OCLC webinar, "Transforming Discovery and Resource Sharing with OCLC: FirstSearch, WorldCat Resource Sharing and More" is now available for viewing from the CDL website at [http://www.cdlib.org/services/d2d/melvyl/melvyl\\_webinars.html](http://www.cdlib.org/services/d2d/melvyl/melvyl_webinars.html)

#### **6. November Conference Call Meeting**

Our next scheduled call is November 12, the Veteran's Day holiday. Potential agenda topic: Report on/discussion of October 19 SOPAG-ACGs in person meeting.

**Action Item:** Karleen will send a Doodle poll to identify an alternate meeting date/time.

Next month's meeting minutes: Catherine Nelson