

HOTS Conference Call
May 13, 2013
2-4 PM

Minutes

Present: Lisa Rowlison de Ortiz (UCB), Karleen Darr (UCD, chair), Jim Dooley (UCM), Marcia Barrett (UCSC), Vicki Grahame (UCI), John Riemer (UCLA, recorder), Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Catherine Nelson (UCSB), Patti Martin (CDL), Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), Louise Ratliff (LAUC)

1. Announcements

UCD: Sarah Gardner is leaving at the end of June for a position Seattle Public Library. No immediate word on filling this vacancy.

UCLA & UCB: John and Lisa have been participating on conference calls to organize a pre-ALA-Chicago Collaborative Cataloging meeting. Participating institutions include: Harvard, Yale, Columbia, Cornell, Princeton, Toronto, Washington, Illinois, UCLA and Berkeley.

2. Hathi Trust Holdings File from campuses? (Karleen)

Yes, the data about our current (and former) print holdings will be required again, on a full-replacement basis (not just the new data since last June). Since February, Patti has been working through Karen Peters on our behalf to find out if there is any new knowledge or guidance for us about submitting our HathiTrust files this year. For example, last year data about condition information was considered optional. This year, if you document that you own out-of-print, brittle material under copyright, you might be entitled to provide users with on-site access to a digitized version, under Section 108.

To give us more background, Patti shared during the meeting correspondence she had with Linda.

3. UC-WEST Coordinating Committee List of Issues (John, Patti)

At the most recent meetings, the UC WCC discussed a RSC Subcommittee Report on UC ILL's Alignment with WEST Access Guidelines. Generally, we can do everything that is required. An exception that we do not do recalls. Some of the requested usage statistics we do not track, but will revisit that if needed.

4. Melvyl Update (Patti)

The disclosure strategy for shared print serials, via LHRs, can't be extended to shared print monographs. Monographic LHRs conflict with the OCLC custom programming for Request in Melvyl.

A workable policy could be campus submission of monographic LHRs for loading to OCLC Worldcat for monographs; those LHRs would not be turned on in WorldCat Local.

The benefits of monographic LHRs might be:

- Those responsible for resource sharing at other institutions could know which parts of a multi-volume monograph you hold.
- Other library staff interested in a particular shared print program could know which titles you have committed to archiving.

5. NGTS Update (Vicki)

Three pilots have started, effective in April:

- Shelf ready (UCR leading)
- Cataloging of non-print audio CDs (UCSD leading)
- E-resources cataloging (UCI leading)

Two are on hold:

- Archival processing
- Non-roman (Chinese) backlog cataloging

6. CAMCIG Follow-up on SPiP; Sent documents May 8 email (Karleen)

CAMCIG completed a centralized table of all the various 793 fields used for packages.

A CDL document already enumerates which series are in each package. See: http://www.cdlib.org/services/collections/sharedprint/agreements_combined.html

A comment by Emily Stambaugh last November led to discussion of policy for analyzing the various series. Not all campuses are analyzing every serial title that can be analyzed. One cannot generalize analysis practice at the package level.

Right now 793 fields are not indexed as local data in Melvyl.

Action: Karleen will draft a reply to CAMCIG and run it by HOTS.

7. UC Libraries Advisory Structure Redesign (Lisa)

Will HOTS continue in the form of a Common Knowledge Group, or something else? (See 3/27 email to our list from Jim that also contains thoughts from Linda and John on the topic.)

CKG guidelines (approval anticipated soon) will be available on the wiki in a week or two. The future of this group would likely be as a CKG. It would be fairly easy to apply for and obtain approval to exist. Some review for duplication among other existing groups would be done; would the activities of HOTS and CAMCIG be sufficiently distinct to warrant two separate groups? CKG tie-ins to the CoUL Agenda document will be important.

Membership on a CKG could be “open” or “representative.”

Suggested HOTS agenda topic for June 10: HOTS and potential continuation as a CKG. “We know deeply how things work and have a lot of valuable knowledge”—Patti.

8. RDA Toolkit (Everyone)

CDC has approved CDL’s negotiating a consortial license for the RDA Toolkit for FY2014. The discount gained is expected to be about 10% over what each campus could get with an individual licenses.

9. OCLC Credits & Incentives Program Recommendations for transition (Karleen)

UCD, UCSB are two campuses that anticipate being adversely affected. We regret that the credits for cataloging contributions are going away. There are two methods of distributing the credits: (a) “Real” credits where an institution receives the credits for cataloging contributions on the following month’s invoice and (b) Credits based on a (rolling) historical average of one’s contributions. The latter option started with OCLC’s move to subscription pricing in FY2007.

A number of us felt like outliers when a majority of focus group interviewees said they would be making their contributions to OCLC master records with or without the incentives.

The benefit of working in shared files is one of the major premises of WorldCat Local, in that we all are positioned to benefit from any cataloging record improvements made by anyone. Hopefully we can consider the benefits of the OCLC membership collaborative in making local policy changes in the wake of OCLC’s decisions.

Even when OCLC eliminates the credits, it would be nice to continue receiving the statistics on what our institutions are contributing.

Action: At Karleen’s suggestion, Linda will ask Brian for his take on the adoption of the [Credits and Incentives report](#) by OCLC Global Council.

Next call: Monday, June 10, 2:00-4:00 (minutes Manuel Urrizola)