In August 2014, SAG 3 forwarded the **Final Report** of the NGTS Pilot Project 5: Expanded UC Shared Cataloging of Electronic Resources to CoUL via the UCLAS Coordinating Committee, with the following possible models and next steps:

**Possible models for discussion:**
- *increase SCP staffing, at UCSD, increase centralized funding support*
- *increase SCP staffing, at UCSD, campuses cost share funding support (Chinese cataloger model)*
- *increase SCP staffing, at other interested campus, increase centralized funding support*
- *increase SCP staffing, distributed at other interested campus(es), campuses share responsibilities, either cost or time shares.*

**SAG3 recommends the following next steps:**
- *Share the report with appropriate groups: (CDL, SAG 1 and SAG2, CLS, Cataloging CKG, JSC) for input on the possible models that extend Shared Cataloging capacity.*
- *Receive comments and input from campuses and groups concerning their interest in pursuing the model of increasing SCP cataloging at additional campuses*
- *If interest warrants, SAG 3 would charge a task group to work with SCP to further develop the model(s) and recommend that the funding model for SCP be reviewed in order to accommodate the proposed model.*
- *If there is no campus interest or CoUL support for the distributed participation model, SAG3 would work with SCP to evaluate funding and to follow-up on recommendations from the NGTS POT5 report, Evaluation of SCP Decision-Making Process for Cataloging Priorities.*

CoUL reviewed the Report and SAG 3’s recommended options and next steps at their September 2014 meeting. Rosalie Lack, Coordinating Committee Chair has communicated back to SAG 3, on behalf of CoUL:

---

*The NGTS Expanded Shared Cataloging of E-resources Pilot was discussed at the CoUL meeting last week. Lorelei asked me to convey to the project team CoUL’s appreciation for the report and congratulations on the success of this pilot, which demonstrated a proof of...*
Regarding the question of next steps, it was discussed that depending on the costs involved, the four options given in the report are all theoretically viable. However if this pilot were to be expanded there would need to be additional work done on collection priorities/needs and costs analysis. CoUL recommends that this group should not take any further steps at this point because there are various initiatives on the horizon where it seems prudent to wait and see how things develop, so that this type of project is in alignment.

At this time, SAG 3 would like to conclude the NGTS Pilot Project 5 Team – your team has successfully concluded the investigation and all the work included in the charge. The Final Report, related documents, and transmittal email are available at this link: http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/ngts/documents

We also want to take this opportunity to thank all of you for your good work and your efforts through the various stages of this project.

Thank you,
Diane
SAG 3 Chair
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