Shared Content Leadership Group
Meeting Minutes, May 27, 2016

Attendees present: Martha Hruska (SD, chair), Jean McKenzie (B), Gail Yokote (D), John Renaud (I), Roxanne Peck for Sharon Farb (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Allison Scott (R), Julia Kochi (SF), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Mihoko Hosoi (CDL), Jacqueline Wilson (CDL)

Absent: Sharon Farb (LA), Kerry Scott (SC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Housekeeping, Announcements, Update to STAR charge
Housekeeping - There were no substantive changes to the STAR charge. The team has had two meetings so far.

Announcement - Gail announced that she will be retiring as of July 1, 2016. Her last day at work will be June 17. SCLG expressed congratulations to Gail and stated that she will be sorely missed.

Workplan review, Future agenda topics
Vision statement - Action: SCLG subgroup (Jim, John, Gail until she retires) will aim to complete a draft for the July 22 meeting and send it to the group by the start of that week (July 18).

Shared print - SCLG will want to consider CoUL's priorities for shared print (TBD) so will schedule this for July or August.

Model license revision - On CDL's workplan and Mihoko is working on it with a goal to complete by end of October.

Content preservation - Action: Paul will join the SCLG meeting on July 22 to give an update.

Coinvestment model discussion - Goal is to articulate a charge to submit to JSC (see notes below).

Napolitano CoUL proposal - SCLG will stay tuned.

Assessment - Discussion of systemwide developments that could impact data collection and possible opportunities to collaborate on collection analysis tools such as Green Glass will be scheduled for SCLG June 10 agenda.

Scholarly communication - (1) Martha has followed up with the subgroup (Alison, Eunice, Ivy, Sharon) on next steps following the SCLG May 26 discussion; all SCLG members should consult the meeting notes and also think about next steps. (2) Action: Martha will ask Sharon to lead a discussion of California legislation AB 2880 (regarding CA state and local government copyright authority) at one of the SCLG June meetings. (3) Update by Martha on Reveal Digital will be scheduled for one of the SCLG June meetings.
**Licensing Update**

Cambridge - Progress is being made, though slow because of issues regarding the shared print copies.

Transfer/add titles - **Action:** CDL Acquisitions will forward to SCLG Proposals a final list of all transfer / add titles for all packages.

Taylor & Francis - CDL Acquisitions is aware of the need to complete Taylor & Francis invoicing this FY and is working to ensure this will happen.

**Cost Model Charge to JSC: Talking Points**

How have cost share models been used in the past? (See Sharing the Costs of Electronic Resources, 2003; French 2001) What should they look like in the future? What assumptions and elements used in the past are still valid? How might the vision of a facilitated collection impact cost share models? As the range of shared content extends beyond traditional licensed resources, should the basic assumptions change? Should cost shares apply to services like WEST? Who is the target audience?

SCLG affirmed the Tier 2 model as a basic assumption: there are resources that not every campus will want to participate in. On the question of target audience: for the models themselves, the audience includes ULs collectively and individually, and SLASIAC; therefore the models must be reasonable and transparent. As applied for specific resources, the audience is SCLG and campus collection groups. On cost shares for collections / services, it was agreed that SCLG needs to think beyond traditional licensed resources, and a cafeteria-style approach would be a reasonable path forward.

**Action:** For SCLG review and approval, the subgroup (Jim, John, Gail) will draft an update of the 2003 document and French 2001 that will constitute a re-affirmation of the existing principles.

**Action:** The subgroup will draft a charge to JSC, to include the following four elements:

1. Benefit of centrally administered costs
2. Sustainability of cost share models: assumptions and elements that retain validity with changes in the information environment
3. Elements to be included
4. Role of CDL contributions.