Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, December 1, 2017

Present: Martha Hruska (SD), Susan Edwards (B), Bob Heyer-Gray (D), Alison Scott and Roxanne Peck (LA), Jim Dooley (M), John Renaud (I), Tiffany Moxham (R), Sarah McClung (SF), Kerry Scott (SC), Eunice Schroeder (UCSB), Wendy Parfrey (CDL), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Becky Imamoto (LAUC)

Absent: Julia Kochi, Jo Anne Newyear Ramirez
Guests: Mat Willmott, Christy Hightower, Erik Mitchell, Paul Fogel, Renata Ewing

Announcements, Housekeeping and Calendar Review

Cost Models/CoUL Follow-ups: MH sent CoUL SCLG’s next steps RE: cost model; awaiting official notes and confirmation from CoUL via Danielle.

Minutes: SCLG approved notes from the November 17 meeting.

Question: 3 hour meetings once a month in order to get more concentrated work done. CDC was three hours once a month – in New Year should SCLG do that, too?

DECISION: No decision for long-term, but short-term decision to make the 12/15 meeting longer - because of cost model tasks at hand.

ACTION: MH will lengthen the 12/15 meeting to two hours.

Microform Report:

ACTION ALL: by 12/15 send updates to the spreadsheet about UC collections to Julia.

ACTION ALL: decide if we will hold off on charging subject expert group until post cost-models groups are done.

ACTION: MH ask Julia if she is willing to be collector, processor of the lists (JK is back on 12/11).

Future Agenda Item: discussion of items sent to the RLFS, meant to be shared and what does that mean in terms of service and resource allocation - better managed, accessed and preserved in the RLFSs, at what point does it become a collective shared resource? Cease being the property of the original library?

ACTION: Ivy & Martha will discuss offline, especially action items related to the SPST (disclosure statements for microforms, deposits to the RLFSs and quotas).

ACTION: Martha will ID a time to discuss the bigger issues related to shared collections in the RLFSs.
**STAR team check-in about OBP**

SCLG thanked the STAR team for their good work. SCLG agreed that the campuses should move forward with supporting Open Book Publishers.

ACTION: CDL/Wendy will send a formal request to commit to the proposals list, 3 year deal, locked in at 500.00 per year.

Future Agenda Item: OA deals do not have licenses per se, should we be working on a model OA agreement/MOU?

STAR will be back in January with another report on a Reveal Digital collection.

**Scanning Retro Dissertations**

Several campuses are either scanning or discussing scanning their older dissertations. Google scans, Hathi houses them.

Two issues: how to get dissertations scanned; and how to make them available (right to make accessible).

Do we have the right to scan? Depends on your campus counsel risk analysis and tolerance. At UCB the discussion centered around the fact that the requirement to graduate students included deposit of the dissertation in the library for implied distribution/access.

Do we agree that we want to have our pre-e-Proquest dissertations scanned? Yes.

Do we agree that we want to make them accessible? Yes.

Step 1: is to get the materials scanned for preservation

Step 2: is to come to a systemwide agreement/approach about making the dissertations open online

DISCUSSION: if we do this, we will have dissertations in multiple online venues (eScholarship/Hathi/Proquest). We will need to keep that in mind and be clear about how to communicate and help people locate materials effectively.

ACTION: Ivy is speaking with the systemwide Graduate Division group (COGD) in January to discuss the CoUL/SLASIAC agreement about scanning dissertations.

ACTION: Ivy will take the question/conversation about right to make accessible to UCOP OGC.

ACTION: COGD is discussing embargoes of dissertations (discussing 2 year max, with appeal for longer time period to the Grad Division).
ACTION: Martha, Alison, Erik, Kerry, Paul Fogel, JoAnn - collectively pull together a statement for CoUL to consider how much risk is CoUL willing to support, providing access to the titles in eScholarship.

**Reduction Strategies for CoUL**

**Commission Database Review Task Force/final review of charge.**

Martha incorporated the edits suggested: not just about lower cost items, also about finding things that can be cancelled and added leeway for the task force to come up with their own criteria.

SCAP was explained in the charge to avoid confusion.

Agreed that discussions about items ID’d for cancellation that individual campuses want to relicense, will be discussed in SCLG and any impacts to costs will be discussed in SCLG.

Decision: approved the charge

ACTION: Task Force can connect with the CKGs

ACTION: John will tell DOC what SCLG is doing/database review team and CKG interaction

**Revise/update DDA TF charge**

Document suggesting making the DDA TF a standing group was shared. UCSF does not have representation on the group now, if this becomes a standing group, we will want to have a SF rep.

ACTION: please review and comment by next SCLG meeting

ACTION: by next meeting be able to discuss what we want the eBook group to assess for SCLG vis a vis the cost model so we can write a project charge for them.

**Review FTE cross the board impact**

Mihoko is working on this data. Suggestion: pointing out some of the pain points, or, some situations where it does not make sense to do FTE across the board (ArXiv good example) - vendor suggested tiers, going back to CoUL 100% across the board and why and where we recommend a different pricing model.

**Packages to target for cuts**

Deferred to next meeting (12/15/17). Ivy has updates about related actions happening in CoUL/UCoLaSC/SLASIAC discussions about journal package renewal strategies.

ACTION: Ivy will send an email summarizing issues being discussed, Ivy will not be on the 12/15 call

**Message strategy**

Deferred to next meeting (12/15/17)