

## Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, November 18, 2016

Present: Martha Hruska (SD), JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Myra Appel (D), John Renaud (I, meeting chair), Sharon Farb (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott (R), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Julia Kochi (SF), Kerry Scott (SC), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Mihoko Hosoi (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Guests: Emily Stambaugh (CDL), Jackie Wilson (CDL)

### Announcements, Housekeeping and Calendar Review

Minutes: October 24 minutes were approved.

DOC and SPST: Martha will send response, chair to chair, to Todd Grapone, proposing that SPST report to SCLG on a trial basis for one year.

### Planning for OA Discussion

Zoom will be used for these meetings. Working group (John, Joanne, Alison, Sharon, Martha) will frame discussion questions, with reference to common ground and directions for collective action.

### PeerJ Follow-up

Current proposal—deposit account for APCs—is expensive. Four campuses have agreements in place on the membership model; the APC business model seems neither sustainable nor transformative.

ACTION: SCLG asks CDL to continue negotiations with PeerJ, seeking more advantageous pricing and ideally including the membership option or another transformative alternative.

### Licensing Update

CDL is updating its **Model License**, and a draft has been shared with SCLG and on 'uclr@ucdavis.edu' (mostly licensing staff). CDL will review comments, discuss, update the draft, and will seek approval from SCLG at the 12/9 (Fri) meeting.

CDL is considering to set up a new **Licensing Status website** (overview) based on campus librarians' input, and the UX team created a sketch. We welcome comments via the "discuss" feature on the site or via email. This site is meant to provide overview only. We'll continue providing detailed updates via SCLG.

**Cambridge University Press eBooks** – We finally agreed on all terms today (11/17) and are waiting for a clean PDF copy to be signed. Access is active. Catalog records started going out to campuses on 7/11.

**Taylor & Francis (T&F) Archive (complete collection as of Dec. 2015)** – The license is almost ready. We agreed on the terms, and are finalizing the title list. The publisher’s list has shorter years of coverage for several titles, compared with CDL Acquisitions’ list, and CDL has been trying to clarify those issues. Access is active and catalog records are ready.

**Springer Nature** – The vendor responded to the CDL proposal yesterday (11/16). SCLG: all are interested in expeditious resolution of pricing for 2017 content.

**SAGE** – We’re evaluating whether we can afford to purchase the Research Methods and Premier journals backfile. SCLG consensus: package is too expensive, even with CDL subvention hiding the pain.

**Berg Fashion Library** – The agreement was signed on 10/27 for six campuses: UCB, UCD, UCI, UCLA, UCSB, UCSC. Access is active.

**JSTOR eBooks DDA** – JSTOR sent us a completely different new full agreement (draft) today. Unfortunately it has many issues, and CDL is asking them to review the previous draft. We updated the indemnification language based on UCOP Office of the General Counsel guidance.

SCLG: concern expressed about JSTOR’s approach to licensing—sent new license in the midst of negotiations; inserted click-through user license without prior agreement, and difficult e-book license. ACTION: Wendy will ask JSTOR to remove the click-through license on the platform interface.

Reminder: Licensing updates should stay within UC. SCLG minutes are redacted for public posting.

## **Shared Print (Emily Stambaugh)**

**SURVEY ON LICENSED CONTENT:** Survey meant to assess need to confirm and/or adjust principles and criteria for prospective shared print journal collections for licensed content. Five campuses responded. Key findings: need for group effort for prospective shared print journal archive is diminishing, and should in many cases be discontinued, with appropriate amendments to principles and criteria. Persistent use cases for prospective acquisition include verification of version of record, illustrative content, interlibrary loans, and rising standards for scanning; audit mechanisms for digital preservation services are a persistent need/desire/aspiration. Non-respondents gave verbal concurrence.

**ACTIONS:** Revise the 2011 CDC policy, reflecting user preference/need and importance of digital standards and preservation, with focus on journals of continuing value which are available only

in print; emphasize the importance of identifying strategic partnerships for receipt and preservation and with publishers, and extension to retrospective shared print collections. Update the criteria for discontinuing subscriptions, remove requirement for digital preservation audits, but continue support for the development of appropriate mechanisms for audit. NEXT STEPS: Share comments/suggestions with SPST as input from SCLG.

SHARED PRINT MONOGRAPHS: Proposal for experiment to quantify understanding of needs and risks for shared print archive of monographs represented in the digital corpus of HathiTrust. Discussion tabled for additional review.

HATHITRUST SPAC: Phase One planning partners are UC subset (I, LA, M, SC, SD, SRLF); SCLG is engaged for system-wide awareness. Survey has been sent to planning partners; memorandum of understanding will need to be developed. Intentions: get 25-year retention commitments (building on existing agreements) to identify “lendable” print collection, providing support/benefit for all HathiTrust members. Scope: monographs in/from general circulating collections represented in the HT digital corpus. Ownership will remain with partners, and only disposition option during retention commitment will transfer to another partner. Preferred environment for retained copies will be climate-controlled storage facility, but retention in-place will be OK. All retention decisions will be made locally, and there will be no requirement or expectation for validation; replacement of lost/damaged copies will be reasonably expected. Disclosure of retention commitments will be expected in local systems, HathiTrust registry, and “other resource sharing sources,” with metadata guidelines to come. Lending/borrowing will be expected. References to this program as an “archive” are inaccurate; it will be, rather, a service built on aggregated metadata. ACTION: Please send comments/feedback to Phase One participants.