**Shared Content Leadership Group**

**Meeting Minutes, January 27, 2017**

Present: Martha Hruska (SD, Chair), JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Myra Appel (D), John Renaud (I), Angela Riggio and Roxanne Peck (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott (R), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Julia Kochi (SF), Kerry Scott (SC), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Mihoko Hosoi (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Guests: Emily Stambaugh (CDL), Jacqueline Wilson (CDL)

**Announcements, Housekeeping and Calendar Review**

**UC Micro Collections Review Task Group.** Action: Arrive at final decision about charge; talk about potential members, make final decision at next call. Suggestion is to add an additional check against CRL Holdings in the charge.

Who are members? Have a SCLG member on group to help coordinate and keep track; Myra will send out campuses that are holding shared microforms. Keep it to a 5 member group; contact person at each campus; not necessary for reps from all 10 campuses. Need members(s) who knows MF, microfilming, access issues; suggest SCLG solicit potential members thru a call and request a summary of skill level with microfilm arena.

Action: Martha will consult with John, Julia and JNR a bit more.

Possible timeline for charge and work to be accomplished is June 2017.

**Shared Print Update**

**JACS 1 Assessment, JACS 2 Update, JACS 3 Planning.** Action: Propose future SCLG meeting about JACS and West. SCLG message of encouragement to campus coordinator - JACS holdings and shipments to RLF’s.

**HathiTrust Shared Print Process.** This discussion applies to mainly for HathiTrust Phase 1 participants: UCI, UCM, UCLA, UCSC, UCSD, NRLF, SRLF.

Phase 1 participants are being asked to choose a metadata support option to identify Phase 1 print titles for retention.

- OPTION 1: Phase 1 libraries proactively provide proposed retentions in March/April as described below (HathiTrust performs matching)
- OPTION 2: Phase 1 libraries receive matching print holdings review files (all holdings) from HT in February, then they locally extract the holdings they are willing to retain and then export retentions back to HT (March/April)
- UCI leaning towards option 2
- UCSC leaning toward option 2
- UCM leaning toward option 2
- UCLA next week; undecided
• UCSD has in mind a specific collection, seems option 1 is best

Hathi is also providing general guidance about selection decisions. What criteria do UC Phase 1 participants wish to apply? Which criteria shall we remain agnostic about (i.e. don’t explicitly include or exclude)?

• Overlaps with Hathi digital corpus, i.e. no print only titles in this Phase.
• Unique or rarely-held within your group (Ivy Plus, EAST, Big Ten) or across HathiTrust member collections (HathiTrust can provide overlap counts) (SPAC recommends all overlap levels)
• U.S. government (federal) documents (SPAC recommends “agnostic approach”)
• Already under retention for another shared print program
• In a high-density storage facility (can also include in place retentions)

Comments about criteria questions for Phase 1:

• Do not worry about overlap; when HathiTrust develops more analytics we could do this more easily
• For US Gov docs let’s take an agnostic approach, you can include; not asked to exclude
• If match with Hathi digital corpus willing to make retentions for print; include locally held shared print monographic collections
• RLFs looking at overlaps, metadata level de-duplication before send to HathiTrust; so end up not proposing both copies. Committing multiple copies doesn’t seem right.

Emily will take this information back to Shared Print task force.

STAR Team Update

Updates to STAR requests form and website will be finished within two weeks. Jackie is leaving so need a volunteer to lead and chair the STAR team; Christy Hightower will manage the next meeting. Matt Willmott remains on group as CDL representative.

Action: Need to find new chair; role takes on hosting, communication and organizing meeting.

Licensing Discussion – Springer Nature
Ivy is still waiting on SCLG answers to questions she posed a couple of weeks ago.

2 outstanding issues:

• Interest in going forward with HSS ebook collections
• Nature eJournals pickup in 2017

Springer has built all the incentives around ebook offers. In order to determine value of HSS ebooks, CDL asked for current spending as a measure of demand, hopefully relevant to way
campuses value this offer; if current spend reasonably matches or is more, then the deal is advantageous.

Point of discussion - faculty interest in print vs electronic. There are different appetites across faculties and institutions. This was why Ivy asked about interest in discounted print copies. Springer "MY copy" is an end user option, offers low cost with 8% uptake over the past contract and good end user comments about service.

Nature journals - CDL has undertaken analysis on individual campus costs. Three Nature titles are medically oriented, how to cost share?

Contract ended December, so we are late in our renewal but February is a grace period.

There are concerns about institutional collection budget sustainability over the next few years.

Action: Need to get back to Springer by end of next week.

Licensing Update

JSTOR eBooks DDA 2017-2018 - The new full JSTOR agreement was signed in mid-December. The redacted license has been posted on the CDL website. Access is active. Cataloging is still on hold. SCP reported that the WorldShare KB is still not working as JSTOR intends it to.

SAGE Premier 2017, Sage Research Methods (base, datasets, cases, 6 years of content), backfile update (2015-2017) – The license was signed on 12/15. The title list (for Premier 2017, and backfile) and the redacted license have been posted. The incorporation of the new titles/content for SAGE Premier is in process. UC-eLinks/SFX is in progress for Sage Premier and Backfiles (70% done). Cataloging and ERMS processes will start once SFX is complete.

Springer Nature – SCLG members recently responded to CDL survey on estimated local spend for ebooks in the 6 HSS collections, interest in the full HSS ebook collection, any alternatives for ebook collections, interest in shared or heavily discounted print purchase options, and interest in acquiring all five new NPG titles. The vendor wrote that this purchase will require a new SpringerNature license (no carry over terms), and negotiated exceptions and additions will be subject to review by SpringerNature Licensing Control (LC). They are sending the new Terms & Conditions and sample agreement soon.

The Licensing status (request CDL password) page will be updated soon.