

DEFINITIONS THURSDAY, MARCH 11, 2004

Page 1

Join a Tier 2 later may become Tier 1
Shared cataloging of common locally-licensed resources
Role of bibliographer groups
 Collection development decision making
Access is critical/Impact
Philosophical discussion of sustainability, cost, decision-making, managing
Pinpoint cause of access problem determining procedure to fix the problem
 when to call CDL, who to call locally
How we communicate to patrons
 UC eLinks; what we have/what we don't
Tier 2 procedures
\$0.00 cost/access included
What we can't control/where to put pressure accurate & timely resources list

Page 2

UCOP/ARL statistics
Move to proactive infrastructure/framework building
Data standards
What we're doing now is not sustainable
Redundancy across campuses
 Improve communication, tools
ERM not just ERMS
CDL Management Info. System
 (MS Access database)
Change the way we do things
Tracking what we're working on on individual campuses to convert to Tier 2

Page 3

Local License clearinghouse
Evolving, not static process
 Reevaluation needed
Preservation/access to CDs evolving technology
Client software issues
E-reserves/doc. del. providers
 Access issues
Diversity of materials – more complexity
E-only titles for cataloging – trigger needed

Video/audio digital materials
Locally created digital content
Complex/changing vendor landscape

Page 4

Tier 2

Initiated by one campus on behalf of others/all (not CDL)
Go through SCP process
Renewal goes through CDL
Billing goes through CDL
Campus license renewal handled by initiating campus
SFX instance handled by CDL for all 9 campuses only
IP address mgmt. – some CDL, some hosting campuses

Tier 1

JSC identifies a system-wide priority
CDL negotiates – generally all campuses
Not a buying club
Cataloged through SCP
Billed through CDL
Renewed through CDL
SFX knowledge database handled by CDL
IP address management

System Wide Library Planning

SCAP – 1970's shared fund for locally loaded databases (core) databases
Resource sharing funds - some transferred into SCAP
Director for shared print, data analyst
SCP
Development of Request
Tricor
Shared print

Locally-licensed materials (sometimes redundantly)

Campus only
Cataloged on campus
SFX campus instance
IP addresses maintained locally
Proxy updates
URL maintenance local

Free materials

Page 5

Record Flow

Architecture

Tickler/notice of renewal

of users

Catalog record (MARC record)

Vendor performance

Payment info

Trouble-shooting tracking

License terms

Contact info

Vendor, CDL, local campus

Business terms- renewal, duration of contract, terms, Tier/coinvest

Technical support info.

Which campuses

Which titles/parts of database

Dates of coverage/completeness of content

Usage statistics info/access

Package content

Text database/trials

Free resources decision/access

Decision-making status

Where does the data go to address our highest priorities?

What is the authoritative source of the data?

Page 6

Homegrown System

- More workflow capabilities
- Integrating w/other systems
ERDb/OPAC
- CDL vendor – packages
- communication
- Propagate info packages to all titles

Innovative

- Same limitations as III product
- Hard to get info out w/out a lot of manipulation

SFX

- V.3 more metadata fields
- Subcategories
- Searchable A-Z

- Load/match = Dataloader clone by target
- Open URL = source
- Article level stable URL = target
- Will not handle dbases well, some ebooks, gov. info. unknown

Page 7

A & I – ISSN

Not easy to get actual one you are looking for

Serials Solutions shared serials

Data provider

A-Z list