

## UC Libraries/HOPS

### Conference Call

October 27, 2011, 3:00-4:30

Attending: Beth Dupuis (Berkeley), Ellen Meltzer (California Digital Library), Amy Kautzman (Davis), Cynthia Johnson (for Carol Hughes- Irvine), Sara Davidson (Merced), Ann Frenkel (Riverside), Catherine Friedman (San Diego), Gary Johnson (Santa Barbara), Gail Persily (San Francisco), Lynn Jones (LAUC)

Absent: Kevin Mulroy (Los Angeles), Carol Ann Hughes (Irvine), Elizabeth Cowell (Santa Cruz)

Guest: Eric Scott from RSC

#### 1. Melvyl enhancements update (View Now & WCL index): Ann and Ellen

The two testing groups have begun working this week (Oct. 24). They are both hoping to have a recommendation by Nov. 11 which will come to HOPS, and go Melvyl Advisory Group (MAG) to make the final decision to go forward or not.

Ellen explained a little more about View Now---as a good alternative route rather than eLinks to get to the full text (for gov docs, Hathi Trust records, and other freely available 856 full text). Pepperdine has it operational if you want to see how it works (see <http://library.pepperdine.edu/> and search for United States government manual).

#### 2. bX recommender-- more discussion and fallout?

AK said her she has had some feedback that librarians feel bX would complicate SFX.

**Action:** Ann will write a short blurb regarding our serious consideration and our reasons (fiscal and quality) for declining. We will indicate that we plan to consider it in the future.

#### 3. Report from ACG meeting (Ann) including CoUL's new Strategic Priorities

The stated outcome for the meeting was *ACG/SOPAG agreements on how best to integrate implementation of the initiatives associated with production Melvyl and "NGTS" under the UC Collection for the 21st Century framework.*

#### Themes to Frame Meeting Outcomes

- *To move the CoUL Priorities forward as an "integrated whole" it is essential to acknowledge the growing trend of "all things digital" and to recognize the continued importance of print for some disciplines.*
- *To leverage and continue UC's exemplary achievements in collaboration and coordination, integrated and transparent strategic directions are critical.*

- *To highlight the UC-wide successes and remind all UC constituencies of those successes is a key communication strategy -- the story of how the UC Libraries collaborate and integrate these share strategic initiatives, and activities such as shared print, best among the consortia who try to do these things*

[Italics quote from the SOPAG agenda]

Ginny Steele (CoUL convener) introduced the UCL Systemwide Plan and Priorities, FY 2012-2015 (Version 2.2) at the ACG meeting. The CoULs prepared two versions—one internal with UC-library specific language, and one that can be read and understood by external stakeholders. Ann asked Ginny how/when ACGs should coordinate our goals with the CoUL priorities. Ginny indicating that bringing all our processes into synch was an ongoing process, but that we should look closely at the priorities to check and see how they line up with our activities. One interesting development that's part of the UL's priorities is an "agility fund" to support R&D projects. It's not clear how much money, and how it will be managed at this point. Laine and others gave an update about the Hathi Trust and the Hathi Trust Convention. The second half of the meeting was devoted to NGTS. The management team reported, and each SOPAG liaison to a POT described where they were in their process. Most POTs are at the stage of project planning and identifying their lightening teams.

**Actions:** Ann is finding out from SOPAG if the CoUL Priorities can be shared beyond HOPS, or if it will come out from the CoULs directly.

HOPS should look closely at the Priorities to check and see how they line up with our activities.

#### 4. ILL charge ongoing progress

The subgroup is working away.

Action: Ann is asking SOPAG if we will get a response regarding the progress report. She will also ask if they will share/notify the other ACGs about the ILL charge.

#### 5. RSC/IAG ILL survey update

IAG is considering doing another online ILL survey for end-users. The last one was done in 2009. When they have completed the proposal, it will be sent to RSC and HOPS for comment and consideration. This is not connected with our ILL charge work.

#### 6. Discussion of DigRef stats "report"

There are 3 or 4 (Irvine, SD, SB, SF) campuses are investigating new tools for collecting statistics. We considered but came to no sure conclusion if we want to look at a systemwide statistics tool. Some of the questions to consider: what are we trying to discover across campuses? do we have one shared approach to gathering stats? This may become more important

f we move to a shared bibliographer model (superbibs). Sharing ref. services will mean that we will need to collect/tract stats across the campuses as we do for digref.

#### 7. Transition from Tricor to Accurate (joined by Eric Scott, Chair of RSC)

The RFP process for ILL delivery service started last year with UCLA purchasing and CDL (Leslie Wolf as lead). The review (conducted by RSC) was completed in August and Accurate was the successful candidate. The planning for the transition was during Aug./Sept. and Accurate starts Nov. 7; Nov. 4th is the last pick-up day for Tricor. There is a difference in that CDL will now only pay for one stop per campus. Extra stop costs are born by each campus. While the overall costs are much less, there is at least one campus that will have to pay more for the number of stops.

Delivery to and from Stanford is not clear as with Trico UCs were playing for shipping to Stanford, and Stanford paying for shipping back. This is all being worked out. At this point it looks like Accurate will be willing to pick-up from us for Stanford.

**Action:** Eric Scott will let HOPS know when the Stanford issue is resolved, or when there is more concrete information about Stanford delivery/pickup.

Document owner: [Greg Careaga](#)