

Cataloging and Metadata Common Interest Group (CAMCIG)

Annual Report

July 2010-August 2011

Several changes were made to the CAMCIG membership over the past year. We welcomed the following new members: Sherry Willhite (CDL), Armanda Barone (UCB), Heidi L. Hutchinson (UCR), Tasha Keagan (UCSC), Bea Mallek (UCSF), and Adolfo Tarango who represents the Shared Cataloging Program and serves as liaison to the SCP Advisory Committee.

All CAMCIG business was conducted via email and conference calls. A total of 7 meetings were held. The minutes can be viewed at the CAMCIG Web site: <http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/hots/camcig/>.

During the past year, CAMCIG has reviewed the following reports and provided valuable feedback:

- *Separate Records for Serials* by the Joint Next-Gen Melvyl and Next-Gen TS Task Group on LHRs for Serials
- *Leveraging vendor data* by the Joint Next-Gen Melvyl and Next-Gen TS Task Group on LHRs for Serials
- *Final Reports from the NGTS2 groups*
- *PCC Policy Committee Discussion Paper on RDA implementation*

CAMCIG spent much of its meeting time to discuss some key cataloging issues and to develop shared cataloging and metadata policies and practices. The topics discussed included:

1. Next Gen Melvyl implementation

Campuses shared their procedures and practices associated with local holdings records projects. In addition, the group raised several questions with respect to loading, storing, and retrieving local bibliographic data. CAMCIG suggested that it may be helpful if CDL were to set up a webinar with OCLC to get clarification on those issues.

2. RDA readiness

To prepare for the implementation of RDA, CAMCIG asked CDL to look into the possibility of having a UC consortial license for the RDA Toolkit. Though CDL decided not to pursue CAMCIG's proposal at this time, the effort CAMCIG put in has facilitated the process of getting a uniform and favorable license for several campuses.

In addition, the members regularly shared their campus's RDA-related activities and changes made to indexing and OPAC display to accommodate RDA records. The discussions helped build common practices and guidelines dealing with the issues surrounding RDA records.

3. Cataloging print reproductions of born digital dissertations

There are three possible approaches to cataloging print "versions" of born-digital dissertations:

- a. Reproduction
- b. Reprint
- c. Simultaneous publication

CAMCIG decided that campuses can treat such versions as either reprints or reproductions. CAMCIG does not recommend the simultaneous publication approach.

4. Creation of notes for offline digital content, especially audio files made from wire recordings

CAMCIG discussed whether or not campuses should follow the practices used to create Google Book/HathiTrust records. CAMCIG suggested that UCSB should test ways of handling this situation and a successful approach could form the basis for systemwide guidelines.

5. Cataloging electronic serial analytics
It's challenging to identify and track new individual monographs for cataloging within electronic sets/series and serials. Using Excel spreadsheets, subscribing to publishers' RSS feeds, or setting up patterns and prediction for set records in the local ILS were suggested. Currently, not many campuses are cataloging serial analytics issued online due to reduced staffing from the budget cuts.
6. Electronic theses and dissertations (ETDs)
CDL has developed Merritt for storing and preserving digital collections, including ETDs. In order for eScholarship to harvest contents from Merritt, descriptive metadata is required. CAMCIG discussed whether the names of thesis advisor and committee members should be included in MARC catalog records. It was concluded that the information may be useful, but there was a concern about name headings needing to be controlled and the workload that entails. CAMCIG thought this topic might come up in the "good enough" record standards which will be developed by Next Generation Technical Services groups. CAMCIG also raised a question on whether or not student-supplied metadata would be good enough for both Merritt and e-Scholarship.
7. Cataloging statistics
The group gathered information on types of cataloging statistics collected and methods used for collecting. Given the fact that many campuses collect more statistics than is required by UCOP/ARL, several campuses have reduced or are in the process of reducing the categories reported and/or automating statistics collection. Some campuses are even considering reducing/stopping collecting workload statistics (to measure staff performance).

Goals and Objectives for 2011-2012

1. Support Next Generation Technical Services Initiatives and associated recommendations.
2. Collaborate on implementing RDA (including policies, training, and licensing), when it is adopted by U.S. libraries (no sooner than January 2013).
3. Continue to discuss and recommend ways to increase collaboration and reduce redundancy across cataloging/metadata units.
4. Develop and recommend shared cataloging and metadata policies and practices for the University of California Libraries.
5. Collaborate on developing and/or implementing new strategies for managing the cataloging and metadata aspects of cooperative or shared initiatives in consultation with the Next Generation Technical Services group(s), the Shared Cataloging Program Advisory Committee (SCP AC), the UC CONSER Funnel, and other groups.

UC CONSER Funnel Annual Report for CAMCIG
8/15/2011

The UC CONSER Funnel organization remained stable during FY2010/2011. The Steering Group for the Funnel for FY2010/2011 comprised: Renee Chin (Communications Coordinator); Melissa Beck (Training Coordinator); and Valerie Bross (general Coordinator). Funnel Liaisons were: Adolfo Tarango (UCSD), Sarah Gardner (UC Davis), Carole McEwan (UC Irvine), Elaine McCracken (UC Santa Barbara), Lisa Rowison (UC Berkeley), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UC Santa Cruz), and Sharon Scott (UC Riverside).

Funnel Highlights July 2010-June 2011

Statistics

Between July 2010 and June 2011, UC campuses created original records for over 320 serials and authenticated for CONSER over 560 serial records. UC campuses completed subsequent enhancement of 285 records and maintained 3447 CONSER records. Finally, while creating & maintaining CONSER records, the campuses enhanced 258 related non-CONSER serial records. In general, the year saw a decrease in new or authenticated records (down by 14%), but an increase in maintenance (up 26.7%). So despite budget limitations and stretched staff, the commitment to cooperation among the UCs remains strong.

Membership

In fall 2010, Lai-Ying Hsiung began planning with Renee Chin and Melissa Beck toward a more active UC CONSER Funnel role for UC Santa Cruz. The planning culminated in a meeting at ALA Midwinter in January 2011.

Outreach

UC CONSER Funnel members participated in the following regional Webinars this year:

- Some Like It Hot... Best of NASIG & ALA 2010: July 16, 2010: Louise Ratliff and Rhonda Super
- ALA Midwinter Roundup: February 3, 2011: Becky Culbertson, John Riemer, and Adolfo Tarango
- PCC Operations Committee Meeting Highlights: May 24, 2011: Becky Culbertson, Valerie Bross, John Riemer

In August 2010, Renee Chin established a Moodle site for recordings of the Elluminate Live! Webinars offered to the UC CONSER Funnel and regional communities. A link to this archive is available on the UC Funnel Website: <http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/hots/conser/>

Other Activities

This year, the UC members contributed nationally in many ways. But just within the continuing resources framework, four activities stand out: (1) RDA; (2) CONSER Operations Committee (OpCo); (3) Cooperative projects; and (4) Documentation.

UC & RDA: UC participation in the RDA Informal Test (for continuing resources) took place in fall 2010, under the auspices of the ALCTS Continuing Resources Cataloging Committee. Shi Deng from UCSD and a small group from UCLA (Behzad Allahyar, Elaine Sakamoto, Elaine Super, Valerie Bross) all contributed serials records to the test.

UC & OpCo: UC members contributed to several continuing resources discussions during the May Annual OpCo meeting. First, Becky Culbertson & Adolfo Tarango successfully proposed two critical enhancements to RDA (as written): continued application of the provider-neutral model and the single-record approach respectively. Next, Becky Culbertson contributed to a new strategy for handling description of manifestation-to-manifestation relationships, when a serial published in multiple formats ceases in one of them (Multiple Linking Relationships). Finally, Valerie Bross co-facilitated a kick-off discussion of what CONSER member needs related to preparation for RDA implementation.

UC & Cooperative projects: Catalogers at UCSD, UC Davis, and UCLA (independently) participated in CONSER's Open Access Journal Project. The Project focused on titles in DOAJ, the Directory of Online Access Journals.

Details regarding this project are available at the CONSER Web site: <http://www.loc.gov/acq/conser/Open-Access-Project.html>

UC & Documentation: Renee Chin served as contributor, editor, and technical guru for a revision of the Integrating Resources Manual (CONSER Cataloging Module 35) that began in May with an intended end-date in June. Valerie Bross also participated in revision of the IR Manual.