

HOPS Meeting Minutes, January 31, 2013
3-4:30pm

ATTENDING: Ellen Meltzer (CDL), Elizabeth Dupuis (UCB), Amy Kautzman (UCD), Carol Ann Hughes (UCI), Sara Davidson (UCM), Ann Frenkel (UCR), Catherine Friedman (UCSF), Jim Munson (UCSF), Greg Careaga (UCSC)

NOT ATTND: Lynn Jones (LAUC), Lorna Lueck (UCSB), Kevin Mulroy (UCLA)

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Ellen: Work of the UC Libraries Advisory Structure Implementation Team (Adrian Petrisor, Lisa Rowilson de Ortiz, Elizabeth Cowell, Sarah Troy and Ellen are the team members). First meeting working on charges of OAGs, SPSC, and more. Planning for disbanding of current structure and moving knowledge, web presence, and connecting current info with new structure. Will keep us updated.

UCD: The UCD Strategic Planning Process is well underway. The draft plan has been shared with library staff and discussion is moving towards campus shareholders. All info is posted and available online: <http://www.lib.ucdavis.edu/ul/about/plan/>

UCSD: Recruitments are about to be posted being that staff have moved on or retired (Dept Head or Program Head Level). They include a research data program director and a content acquisitions position (including ILL)

UCB: search for AUL of Collection Services is pending, interviews are finished. UCB is beginning their search for AUL for Digital Initiatives and Collaborative Services

AGENDA:

1. LT2, HOPS notes

- a. Amy has cleaned up the notes (thanks to Beth for her copious suggestions).

ACTION: HOPS members are to read notes and expand upon or question text. If there is a specific campus perspective, please write up and it will be represented. There is agreement that we are handing in a HOPS response, but this does not mean that a campus cannot note specific issues.

2. Updates on HOPS/RSC ILL Report and the Central Funding Report

- a. Note from Elizabeth Cowell: I'm writing to let you know that I have forwarded the HOPS Digital Reference Proposal with SOPAG endorsement for the CoUL Consent Agenda at their February 22nd face to face meeting. I also forwarded the RSC/HOPS Response to CoUL ILL Charge with comments and SOPAG recommendations for discussion at the February 21 joint CoUL/SOPAG meeting. I'll let you know what comes of the discussions.
- b. Ann commented that the reports have moved forward with SOPAG approval and push for action. There is a CoUL meeting on Feb 21-22 where these will be discussed.

- i. The HOPS/RSC ILL Report was not without some disagreement. The report was sent forward noting that there was not complete consensus and pointed out the specific areas of disagreement. SOPAG noted importance of report and asked that CoUL give this report high consideration.
 - ii. Unanimous support for Central Funding Report, it has been sent to CoUL for approval.
- 3. Discussion of attaching a standard Creative Commons license on all UC-Library learning Objects
 - i. Some campuses use a standard license, but this issue is also being discussed at the system-wide e-research meetings. Is it time to settle on a UC-wide CC license for library tools.

The UCI module HOPS re-purposed is CC-BY-NC-SA. UCD is considering CC-BY-NC. Some items are CC-BY but some librarians are worried about others taking and charging for access. UCSC is using CC-BY

ACTION: form a small working group (2-3 people) (Katie Fortney (CDL), UCB, UCSC) (Amy to write a mini-charge with hopefully less than an hour work)

- 4. eLearning Liaison: is there a need for a UC Library lead on systemwide library e-learning activities?
 - a. We know of invested UC library staff who are involved with online instruction (Coursera, edX, Udacity, homegrown programs, etc)
 - b. UCB is already interfacing with edX partners (Harvard, MIT, and now more) including two working groups underway; one on content accessibility and one on research skills
 - c. Common Interest Group for the UCs could at least help identify who is a key contact at each campus and make communication and updates easier

ACTION: HOPS members to check in with local admin groups to ascertain if they are interested in forming a Common Interest Group that can begin to share actions and info bubbling up on UC campuses.

If there is interest, who in HOPS will be the lead of this group?

- 5. UC Library Advisory Structure, comments & feedback
 - a. Much discussion, round robin on campus responses.
 - b. Across the board there is great interest and support. Acknowledgement of the huge amount of work thus far and into the future.

- 6. OLIG, few questions
 - a. Annette Marines asked if she could share the OLIG report – she was told yes.

ACTION: Post report on wiki, web site

- b. There were further questions/comments (Sara can expand on these)
 - i. response leaves actions primarily up to individuals (e.g. to take initiative in sharing)

- ii. a feeling that this does not really forward "next steps for system-wide collaboration" as outlined in the charge
 - iii. best practices were intended to be pulled together for UC. There is a lot of information on best practices but it does not seem to be concise in the literature and it has not been adapted/adopted for the UCs.
 - iv. As noted in the report, the current items we have tried to share aren't easily reusable and are often heavily branded etc.
- c. HOPS admits that the charge was written in the space between F2F instruction sessions and the brave new world of MOOCs and other online, e-learning initiatives. In the near future HOPS will need to address how to best develop and share learning objects that have been designed for a UC-wide audience.