SCP-AC AGENDA:

September 19, 2016: 2:00-3:30 PM

Present: Trina Pundurs (UCB), Jared Campbell (UCD), Sarah Wallbank (UCI), Kevin Balster (UCLA), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), Catherine Nelson for Elaine McCracken (UCSB), Marcia Barrett (UCSC), Becky Culbertson (UCSD/SCP), Shi Deng (UCSD/SCP), Beatrice Mallek (monitors list for UCSF)

Absent: Sarah Sheets (UCM)

Recorder:  Sarah Wallbank

1. Welcome, Kevin Balster (UCLA) and Catherine Nelson (representing UCSB in place of Elaine McCracken who will not be able to attend)

* Kevin Balster introduction: Kevin is the ERM and continuing resource librarian at UCLA, cataloging serials and some work with e-resources management.
* Catherine Nelson: Catherine is the head of the Acquisitions & Resource Management Department at UCSB.
* General introductions

2. Announcements

* No announcements

3. UCD’s Alma implementation—***Jared***

* It has been 1 month since the go-live date
* Alma system integrates a lot of tasks that had been in distinct silos, so new implementation has made them think about workflows
* As with any data migration—lots of clean-up of legacy data
* Major things for SCP:
  + Having to do major change in how they do SCP loads. Except for legacy serials load they have not done any new loads since July
  + At the end of this week or beginning of next they will start loading the backlog of files. They are finalizing a first run to test
  + Loading SCP monographs directly into ALMA
  + Loading SCP serials directly into PRIMO, the public interface. This is because ALMA uses portfolios
  + Currently they are supporting e-resources through both ALMA and SFX for UC-elinks They hope to use only ALMA in the future
* For serials and monograph cataloging: Macros they used before don’t work in cloud system very well, so they have had to convert them into normalization rules

Questions:

* Are they cataloging local online resources?
  + They are working on purchasing/licensing e-resources. Just started on new acquisitions last week. Still trial and error doing that
  + Issues with portfolio records. Acquisitions has the ability to create portfolio records. If they are created by acquisitions, however, cataloging cannot edit them once created. Open URL linking through SFX is done on cataloging side, so this creates problems
* SFX – do you still use it?
  + We are right now, but in the future hope not to. Right now, activating on both. Putting directly into PRIMO because of the SFX links in SCP records
  + To protect local access – was getting super complicated. Went back to Ex Libris to explain their specific situation with MELVYL, SFX, and their own catalog. Current solution came out of that discussion. Jared can get more technical details about this if needed.
* What are you doing with things in the RLF?
  + UCD still maintains records and holdings in the local catalog
* If Acquisitions creates portfolios for e-resources, what is the issue for cataloging?
  + Acquisitions is not setting up targets and URLs in the same way that cataloging would. Once acquisitions puts a direct URL into that portfolio, cannot change it if there is a purchase order line attached to it. Cataloging has to create a new portfolio and link/move the portfolio record to it
* If you have an SCP record and if it goes into PRIMO directly, will it not have a local holdings on the record? Any impact on the access?
  + We’ll have a local holdings record. They will create a generic SCP holdings for it
* What are the standout advantages you see so far?
  + The set-up is so modular, fulfilment, resource management – it gives more power to do batch editing, spend more time with analytics
* Does it work well with MarcEdit?
  + So far, has done small editing, 15 or so records. If have user id and configured merge rules, works great.
* Depending on who you ask: Ex Libris has tried to follow MARC21 format to the letter. So think long and hard about local MARC fields you have created
* Bulk process: what about large files loading, indexing when migrating, etc.
  + Have done batch editing on sets of 5000 records overnight. Robust if not many things being done at same time. Will be interesting to see what happens with larger files
  + Primo load of SCP serials: ran fine, but had to break up legacy SCP files because they were running into limits. So will break files into 1,000 record files
* SCP records with local holdings: only work with monographs. Serials with SCP and local holdings will have duplicate records appearing in PRIMO for now.
* In ALMA with monographs, can minimize that with merge rules that are created
  + Will give that a full test with back files of SCP monographs

4. Linking validation policy—Follow up—***Shi***

* 1. Thanks to everyone for providing answers to the quick poll at last meeting
  2. Based on your responses and limited SCP staffing, SCP would like to stop linking validation and rely on Helpline report to fix the broken links.
  3. We would like to have SCPAC’s approval on this decision and send it to JSC for approval as well. If approved, this policy will be removed from related documents on CDL website.
* There were no concerns over SCP ceasing validation.
* **Action**: Shi will send to JSC. Will ask JSC to share with bibliographers and shared collections groups for any concerns.

5. SCPAC meeting minutes and SCP-L—***Shi***

I learned recently about the scope of SCP-L from <http://www.cdlib.org/contact/subscribe.html>

The SCP-L listserv is designed to share information and promote discussion about the Shared Cataloging Program (SCP). Regular postings to this list will include the SCP Monthly Update, which provides general information on SCP activities of note and new packages cataloged as well data on the most significant records distributions of the previous month, the final minutes of the SCP Advisory Committee, and the SCP Annual Report. Subscribers to this list include the members of the SCP Advisory Committee and all SCP staff so list subscribers who post questions can be assured of timely and informed responses.

Questions—***Shi***

                  a.  Will SCPAC continue to post its final minutes on this list?

1. SCP-L currently is not archived, would everyone okay with it?

* Currently, the traffic on the list is very light,
* SCP monthly updates are posted on: [http://www.cdlib.org/services/collections/SCP/updates/updates.html](http://www.cdlib.org/services/collections/scp/updates/updates.html)
* SCPAC minutes are posted on: [http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/SCP-ac/SCP-ac-meetings](http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/scp-ac/scp-ac-meetings)

1. Do you think if UC colleagues are interested, they know where they can go to subscribe SCP-L? Or should we link it on SCP website?

Responses:

* SCP-AC will continue to post meeting minutes to the listserv as well as posting them on the web site.
  + **Action**: Manuel will talk with Sarah Sheets about sending out the minutes to the listserv
* The listserv does not need to be archived
* There can be a link on the web site to the page with the CDL email lists
* **Action**: Shi will add the link to the web site

7. SCP update—***Shi and Becky***

* Trying something new – SCP will be sending out a large group of National Academy Press e-monographs that were set up with OCLC’s Collection Manager. We will be loading them from SCP directly
* In the last couple of weeks SCP has combined a couple of collections in OCLC’s Collection Manager – a global collection from UCSD and a private collection from Virginia Tech that SCP has done significant work on
* Becky would like to know if anyone is using Collection Manager for loading
  + Manuel said they have made some informal use of it at UC Riverside
  + SCP tries to use Collection Manager. It is a valuable source of ISBNs for OCLC searches. Becky thinks it has a lot of potential
  + **Action**: Share any experiences using Collection Manager with Becky and with SCP-AC.
* Shi: SCP prepares quarterly project lists. They have submitted a proposal for next quarter priorities— it has been circulated in the bibliographers’ group. Proposed open access projects overlap which has caused problems with duplication. Also includes, Springer open serials, Japanese DDA pilot, JSTOR DDA pilot project
  + **Action:** Once JSC finalizes priority list, will be posted on websites
* Next: updating SCP priority cataloging documentation. Bring up to date and take to JSC for approval. It will come to this group
* Shi: the Assistant Director for licensing content gives biweekly updates to the Shared Licensing Group. Is this SCP-AC interested in these updates? If interested, Shi will forward to them
  + **Action**: Shi will send the updates

Next meeting Oct. 17

Next month’s recorder: Kevin Balster