

Cataloging Print and Online Integrating Resources in RDA:
What should be CONSER practice?

Question/Issue (by MARC field)	RDA/LC PCC PS	CONSER RDA Core Elements	IR Manual (still AACR2)	LC RDA Core Elements	Provider-Neutral Guidelines
050_4 or 050 14 Classification (Not actually an RDA issue, but clarification is needed)	N/A	N/A * For context: CONSER practice for serials is to always use 050 14.	No explicit instructions; however, cataloging examples all show 050_4. Also: BIBCO Participants' Manual instructs to use 050_4.	N/A	If adding to a PCC record: 050 14 If adding to a non- PCC record: 050_4. (Question: What does this actually mean? Do you really mean CONSER v. non- CONSER record? Why would one add the 050-- rather than the 090--to a <i>non</i> -PCC record?)
264 \$c Date of publication	2.8.6.5 -- If first and/or last iteration is available; can also supply date of last update if useful. If first and/or last iteration is not available, supply approximate date(s).	Same as RDA; however, if date(s) cannot be approximated, do <i>not</i> record them.	Not required; use 362 1 unformatted note instead if you have the information (or can make a reasonable guess).	Yes (core)	Mandatory

Cataloging Print and Online Integrating Resources in RDA:
What should be CONSER practice?

Question/Issue (by MARC field)	RDA/LC PCC PS	CONSER RDA Core Elements	IR Manual (still AACR2)	LC RDA Core Elements	Provider-Neutral Guidelines
300 \$a Extent	Core element	Not required for print or online resources, unless ceased, in which case supply carrier term, e.g., "volumes," and total extent if known. (Total extent would apply to print IRs only.)	Optional, but may be appropriate for resources like print legal materials, loose-leafs, etc. Example: <i>v. (loose-leaf)</i> Record number of volumes when resource is complete.	Generally the same as CONSER (slightly reworded)	Optional, but encourages use of: <i>1 online resource</i> for online IRs
362 1 Numbering (note) P-N Guidelines: "Dates of publication and/or sequential designation"	Core element	Record or supply numbering of first and/or last issue whenever information is available. Examples: <i>"Began ..."</i> <i>"Ceased ..."</i>	Use in lieu of 260 [sic] \$c to record publication information for IRs	Yes (not really applicable to IRs)	No explicit instruction to code. Says only: "If providers vary in the range of issues they offer online, give the numbering or date of the print or other original format, if available" (not really applicable to IRs)

Cataloging Print and Online Integrating Resources in RDA:
What should be CONSER practice?

Question/Issue (by MARC field)	RDA/LC PCC PS	CONSER RDA Core Elements	IR Manual (still AACR2)	LC RDA Core Elements	Provider-Neutral Guidelines
588 Source of title note	Core, if other than title page. LC-PCC PS currently reads: "Always give this note in a CONSER Standard Record." (Does this need to be updated?)	Always cite the course of title, even if it is the title page. Should be combined with "Description based on" note (no differentiation between serials and IRs).	Print: only if taken from a title page substitute. Online: always provide a note, and combine with DBO note (see below).	Yes, if applicable. Always applicable for serials (no explicit instructions for IRs)	Record "as usual."
588 DBO note	2.20.13.4 Make a note identifying the latest iteration of an integrating resource consulted in preparing the description. 2.20.13.5 For online resources, make a note identifying the date on which the resource was viewed for description.	Always provide as best practice, even if first issue [assumed/implicit: iteration as well?] in hand. Continue to use wording: "DBO" rather than wording in RDA examples: "Identification of the resource based on"	Print: only if description is not based on the first iteration. Online: no explicit instruction, but implied in instructions to combine with Source of title note (see above). * Update the DBO note when the bibliographic record has been updated to reflect the current iteration.	Yes, if applicable. Always applicable for serials (no explicit instructions for IRs)	Record "as usual." Include provider manifestation and date viewed in the DBO. Recommended for online IRs: <i>Description based on contents viewed on [date].</i>

Cataloging Print and Online Integrating Resources in RDA:
What should be CONSER practice?

Question/Issue (by MARC field)	RDA/LC PCC PS	CONSER RDA Core Elements	IR Manual (still AACR2)	LC RDA Core Elements	Provider-Neutral Guidelines
588 LIC note	(N/A for IRs)	(N/A for IRs)	(N/A for IRs)	(N/A for IRs)	(N/A for IRs)
780/785 Sequential relationships 780 00 = serials 780 02 = IRs? 785 00 = serials 785 02 = IRs?	J.2.6 reintroduces terms “supersedes” and “superseded by” and instructs to apply generally to integrating resources	Prefer 7XX \$a or MARC 21 content designation (e.g., sequential relationships in 780 and 785) – but assumes established practice of using indicators for “continues” and continued by” --??	Same as CONSER	Same as CONSER (generally)	Related work (25.1) PCC core “Record as needed.”
Order of notes	N/A (will BIBCO adopt order of RDA instructions?)	In order of MARC 21 tags (BIBCO traditional practice = in order of AACR2 elements)	“CONSER and BIBCO participants should follow the practice that is institutionally most expedient.”	N/A	No guidance (N/A?)