

SCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES
Monday, Feb. 27, 2006

Present: P. French, V. Grahame, L. Hsiung, C. McEwan (recorder), J. Riemer (Chair), A Tarango

- 1) Agenda item added: Review of CONSER funnel proposals.
 - a) Please email Pat your campus response to the CONSER funnel implementation plans. Davis, Berkeley and Santa Cruz reported campus endorsement. Pat will contact UCSF.

- 2) Announcements
 - a) SCP serial file (Adolfo) - Adolfo and Margery have been discussing the issue of the 1,600 SCP serial titles without SFX numbers. In light of those discussions, SCP is moving forward with plans to distribute the new SCP serial file the week of March 20th with as many SFX IDs as possible, and with the very latest version of the records. If Margery is able to give us the missing IDs before then, SCP will attempt to add them before distributing the file, if unable to do so, SCP will add them later and distribute the records as standard updates. Normal distribution of updating SCP files will begin after March 20.
 - b) CONSER (Adolfo) – Valerie is coming to UCSD this week to begin the CONSER training process.
 - c) Open Content Alliance (John) – Latest meeting discussed pre-1923 collections to digitize. The issue of how to get the URLs into the print records was discussed. The Internet Archive will have titles and OCLC numbers from MELVYL, which could link to WorldCat. John suggests putting the URL for the digitized image on the print record in the 856 field to keep track of what has and has not been digitized and suggests that the Internet Archive might edit the records. Could OCLC be used as a registry? Also, this process would be a recognized exception to the general UC system wide policy of using the separate record approach to e-monographs

- 3) Discussion of BSTF report – Centered on SOPAG’s question 4, as our expertise is with re-architecting cataloging workflow:
 - a) 4.a. Discussion of organizational options:
 - i) If we outsource as much MARC cataloging as possible, what would be the relationship to acquisitions functions? Where would MARC expertise be held in the system? Who would maintain accuracy of single bib file? CDL facilitates infrastructure not content
 - ii) Consider “insourcing,” or coordinating cataloging expertise across the UC system, as well as “outsourcing” – as SCP is like an “insourcing” function to UCSD already. This could be expanded to other collections

(like CJK), but there would still be the need for local expertise on campuses for campus projects.

- iii) With a single ILS, it might work like “insourcing” because the first campus to put in the record would do the work and the rest would just add holdings.
 - iv) Consensus is that one or two cataloging centers would not be practical. Possible reasons are that all campuses need local expertise and local campuses would still need to maintain bib data
- b) 4.b. architecture options
- i) Maintain local catalogs and use WorldCat (Group catalogs?) as a union catalog (with capability for MARC holdings)
 - ii) If WorldCat is utilized in this way, some issues are: master record doesn't contain local data, only universal URLs can be put into OCLC, everyone would do the same work at local level (each campus adding from OCLC), could “access level” records be used, could unique patron services for UC users (e-links) be provided
 - iii) Local customization of OPAC - some campuses don't want to give that up
 - iv) How would using WorldCat help SCP workflow?
 - v) Would WorldCat cope with other metadata schema?
 - vi) If we outsource the OPAC, would WorldCat be better than other vendors?
 - vii) Using a single ILS for all UC – Practically, how long would that take?
 - viii) If WorldCat provided infrastructure, could CDL resources be redistributed to local campuses?

4) When to hold our next 2 meetings:

Monday, Mar. 6th 10:00-11:30

Wednesday, Mar. 8th, 8:30 -10:00