

Draft Minutes

SCP Advisory Committee
Telephone Conference Call
November 14, 2006
8:30-10:00

Present: L. Barnhart (CAMCIG), B. Culbertson (recorder), S. Gardner, V. Grahame, L. Hsiung, S. Layne (CAMCIG), E. McCracken, C. McEwan, J. Riemer (chair), S. Scott, A. Tarango, A. Weiss (CAMCIG) (CAMCIG present for first topic.)

1. Single/Separate Serial Record question HOTS referred to the joint CAMCIG/SCP AC (all)

A reconfigured document (Nov 9 version) was sent out by John Riemer and was an aid in the discussion. Our response was not to be concerned by public services concerns—SOPAG would handle soliciting that feedback. Also, now that ACIG was involved in this conversation, discussion of the topic by HOTS was delayed until its Dec. 15th conference call.

Additional notes for Option A. Pros: GPO. Most existing records still follow the single record approach. Policy change is under way, but seems to be occurring slowly. When the resource is no longer distributed in tangible form, then GPO is likely to use a separate record. An additional pro was added for reports of automated receipts of local records with the OCLC Bib Notification Service. Unfortunately this is limited to only certain kinds of bibliographic data. Cons: Deleted the 3rd point about records explicitly covering e-versions would not be in OCLC, as it was pointed out that we could attach holdings (based on the 776 field's OCLC number).

Option B. Pros: Deleted part of 3B about the local loading based on OCLC# matchpoint.

Option C. This separate record is locally cloned from the print version of the record in OCLC. Holdings data for all available electronic versions are associated with that bibliographic record. This cloned record would *not* be contributed to OCLC as it may in fact be a duplicate of a record already existing in WorldCat. Cons: Elaine pointed out that there would be a significant burden in not only getting our records synchronized but keeping them that way.

Conclusion and recommendations: At this time any benefits that would accrue from changing policies away from the single record technique, are far outweighed by the costs that would be associated with the change.

2. Synchronizing OCLC WorldCat with mass digitization projects. With multiple mass digitization projects under way (Open Content Alliance, Google), it appears there are several useful roles OCLC could play:

- adding URLs to affected records (adding print version records to WorldCat if not already present)
- making sets of e-version records available to interested libraries
- serving as a de facto digital registry

3. Firming up the use of the OCLC Digital Archives URLs for CalDocs.

Becky reported that there is agreement from all campuses and UC-GILs (Government Information Librarians) that it is fine to use the OCLC Digital Archive link in the SCP bib records that are distributed to the campuses. Over half of the records in the California State Library publications catalog currently have these links. Emphasis will be on monographs that are Word or PDF. We will continue to use BibPURLs for HTML and databases. We will also use DALs (digital archive links) for serials, if there is an appropriate link to an intermediate page.

4. E-Resources tracking page for shared locally-licensed UC electronic resources (not SCP related). <http://www.cdlib.org/inside/projects/scp/locallylicensed.html>
The discussion was positive. Other campuses are welcome to send down their locally licensed packages that have title-level access. Renee Chin reneech@library.ucsd.edu will mount them.

5. Next meeting will be December 18th from 8:30-10:00.