

SCP Advisory Committee
Conference Call
October 9, 2007
8:30-10:00 a.m.

Present: B. Culbertson, J. Dooley, S. Gardner, L. Hsiung, E. McCracken, J. Riemer (chair), S. Scott, A. Tarango (recorder), H. Tomren

1. Our current use of the 035 __ to house the SFX Object IDs (Adolfo):

Current use has become problematic for UCSD database maintenance and UCSD has asked us to consider one of the following alternatives: 1) Stop adding the SFX ObjectIDs; 2) Use first indicator value of 9 in the 035; 3) Use MARC field 935 instead of 035. UCSD recommends option 2.

Margery Tibbetts, of CDL, has requested keeping the ObjectIDs in case a future ERM implementation can make use of them. Of the remaining options, UCSD has indicated a preference for option two. Members expressed their support of this, pending consultation with local systems staff. Becky will contact Berkeley and San Francisco to solicit their input. Members should report back to Becky by Oct. 26th, if their systems staff have concerns about implementing option two.

2. Better ways to signal that Naxos records are offering audio content (Jim, John):

Three issues were discussed: 1) Invocation of the SFX menu; 2) Wording; 3) Use of the GMD "electronic resource."

Jim reported that Steve Toub and the music bibliographers had come to agreement on not invoking the SFX menu at all.

There were two aspects to the wording issue, wording that preceded the 856 information, and that within the 856 \$. We agreed on presenting to the music bibliographers group the following wording for the 856 \$, "Listen online via Naxos Music Library." John will contact Michael Colby, chair of the music bibliographers group, asking for the group's feedback on this wording. If the wording is not acceptable, they should provide alternative wording. Their deadline for responding is Oct. 26th. As to the wording preceding the 856, this is locally controlled. John will communicate that to Michael as well, indicating that the music bibliographers will need to work locally to instigate any changes.

For the GMD, members decided that any deviation from national cataloging practices was not a good idea, especially in light on work on the WorldCat Local pilot. We will continue current practice.

3. Highlights from HOTS in-person meeting of Oct. 9 (Jim, Lai-Ying, John):

It was decided campuses should not include any SCP records when undertaking their reclamation projects. The point was made, that once records missing from OCLC are sent to OCLC for adding or matching on existing OCLC records, that OCLC numbers need to be

added to the local record, how will this occurred? While it was generally agreed that records should not be resent to Melvyl, do OCLC numbers need to be in Melvyl to facilitate resource discovery? What about ongoing maintenance after the project, not all the campuses on in agreement that database maintenance must be done in OCLC, maybe only batch adding of holdings is all that is needed, but this poses synchronicity issues, i.e., if a subject heading is only added locally, search results for a local search vs. WorldCat Local search will differ.

Other issues raised/discussed: can ILL traffic be routed to the RLFs, perhaps using unique holding symbols? Patti Martin announced that even in the best of circumstances, Melvyl will be around for at least two more years. Also, whether or not records for mass digitized resources are loaded into local catalogs is a local decision; they will not be loaded into Melvyl. Though a decision was made not to implement the Verde ERMS, what did the Verde Implementation Team discover that could be useful for campuses looking to implement an ERMS locally, e.g. is there a core data element set that can be use systemwide; can licenses be mounted centrally for systemwide consultation. Ivy announced the JSTOR archiving project had completed 600 titles, with 14 million pages passing validation. She raised the point that usage of the materials is extremely low, so do we need the archive at all? There was discussion of working with the CRL as we are now receiving archival copies for the Taylor & Francis journals. Emily Stambaugh has been selected as the new Shared Print Manager.

4. Update on latest developments in the UC-OCLC collaboration (John):

Whether or not to use unique holding symbols for the SCP, UC mass digitization, and RLFs is being considered. Use of symbols separate from the “normal” campus symbol may provide a useful tool for ILL purposes and for creating “local” views of WorldCat Local search results. Also being considered is whether or not “Request” needs to be part of the pilot. If so, this may delay the start of the pilot.

5. General SCP Update (Adolfo and Becky):

Becky has been able to work with Gale to change their practice of assigning 10 different, campus specific URLs for licensed resources, and use only one, UC-wide URL for ECCO and the Making of the Modern World packages. This is a major breakthrough with Gale, alleviating a major problem we have had when dealing with their resources. SCP has received two new record set updates for Knovel and one for Naxos. SCP will distribute the records as soon as possible. UCSD is sending out the EEBO titles to Backstage for authority control. Without committing to doing this, let Adolfo know if your campus would like to receive copies of these. This set of records will only include records in the Name Authority File that UCSD did not already have.

6. When to hold our next meeting:

November 27, 2007
8:30 AM-10:00 AM