

SCP Advisory Committee  
Conference Call  
November 27, 2007  
8:30-10:00 a.m.

Present: B. Culbertson, J. Dooley, S. Gardner, L. Hsiung, E. McCracken, C. McEwan, J. Riemer (chair), S. Scott, A. Tarango, H. Tomren (recorder)

**1. For e-monograph records SCP clones from print, i.e. "eo" suffix in 001 field, should other 035 fields in the records be stripped by SCP?**

Members agreed that deleting the 035 for print OCLC numbers in cloned records would be advisable, considering the UC/OCLC pilot and the problem of duplicate records.

Members also discussed whether or not the 019 field should be retained in cloned records. There may be valid reasons to leave this field in from a public services perspective, and valid reasons to delete it from a technical services perspective. This may also depend on whether or not campuses index the 019 field. Members decided this needs further discussion. John will bring this issue up to the Missing Records Team and will also discuss with that group whether or not local indexing of the 019 field will be important in the UC/OCLC pilot.

**Action:**

- Members agreed to delete the 035 for the print OCLC number in cloned records
- Members will consult with other groups regarding retaining the 019 field in cloned records and revisit this issue at the next meeting.

**2. Policy of making 856 \$z data unique for each package, just as 793s are unique**

Example, using EBSCO:

Current: 793 EBSCO business source premier online journals.	856 41 \$z EBSCO. Restricted to...
Current: 793 EBSCO regional business news.	856 41 \$z EBSCO. Restricted to...
Proposed: 793 EBSCO business...	856 41 \$z Business source premier via EBSCO. Restricted to...
Proposed: 793 EBSCO regional...	856 41 \$z Regional business news via EBSCO. Restricted to...

This proposal would attempt to establish a 1:1 correspondence between 793 and 856\$z and may improve SCP quality control.

Despite the increased wordiness, most members were in favor of a more explanatory note. For some campuses it is important to include both package and platform, and it makes the note more clear for acquisitions as well as UC-eLinks.

**Action:** Becky and Adolfo will discuss this further.

**3. Melvyl extracts involving ECCO records – importance of separating, segmenting files**

This item was a reminder to all campuses to break ECCO records into groups of 10,000 each, as per the email sent to SCPSYS-L.

There was also a discussion about the benefits of sharing authority costs for record sets such as ECCO.

#### **4. Update on latest developments in the UC-OCLC collaboration**

The pilot is currently set to begin in April 2008 and continue for at least 6 months. Some pieces are due to be implemented in June, as not everything will be ready in April. LHRs will not be utilized by WorldCat Local until later in the pilot, so full and accurate holdings information in 856 \$3 and 856 \$z will be important.

There is currently a survey about preferred communication methods for keeping informed about the UC-OCLC pilot.

The implementation team is currently visiting campuses to describe the pilot.

Two new task groups are being formed:

- End User Communication
- Help and End User Support

A meeting was held in Oakland October 24-26, 2007 to discuss outstanding issues for the pilot, including:

- The Collections and Cataloging Joint Work Group recommendations on which missing records files to load
- Decisions were made on holdings symbols – a single symbol for UC-wide and a parallel symbol ending in ‘ER’ for each campus’ SCP records.
- Digital object metadata was discussed but no decision was made

#### **5. General SCP Update**

LexisNexis Academic: Four catalogers are working on this set, and it is their highest priority. An issue has come up with 5750 titles that come from aggregators. Titles without ISSNs are a problem. Unless a user adds “AND PUBLICATION (...)” to his/her query, it will retrieve results from all titles from that aggregator. In an example from UCSC's catalog, for the title Aberdeen Evening Express a note is used in 856 \$z to explain this to patrons: *Enter keywords in Search Box or enter keywords in front of AND PUBLICATION(...) if found.* The SCP AC needs feedback from Public Services. Would a note such as this be acceptable, or would they rather these titles are not cataloged at all?

**Action:** SCP AC members will consult with Public Services at their campuses on this issue and report back at the next meeting.

**6. Next meeting:** Tuesday, January 8, 2008, 8:30-10:00am.