Shared Cataloging Program—Advisory Committee
11/17/2008

Present: Jim Dooley (chair), Valerie Bross (recorder), Sarah Gardner, Lai-Ying Hsiung, Elaine McCracken, John
Riemer, Lisa Rowlison, Sharon Scott, Holly Tomren, Adolfo Tarango
Absent: Becky Culbertson
Call began at 3pm; adjourned at 4pm
Next call: December 15, 3-4:30pm

1. Announcements:
   a. SOPAG draft report (Jim Dooley): No news
   b. Lisa Rowlison has been appointed LAUC HOTS representative
   c. Resource Description & Access: The draft RDA document was released for review this morning.
   d. Program for Cooperative Cataloging Policy Committee meeting: Adolfo Tarango reported on the meeting.
      First, PoCo supported the initiative for BIBCO to develop a BIBCO Standard Record to complement the
      CONSER Standard Record for continuing resources; a task group will be forthcoming. Second, the Provider
      Neutral e-Monograph Record (to complement the guidelines for serials and integrating resources) received
      conceptual support from PoCo. Some details need to be worked out, but a report should go to the Standing
      Committee on Standards by end of November. The guidelines will probably be discussed at the joint
      BIBCO/CONSER at Large meeting at ALA Midwinter in Denver. Third, Cynthia Whitacre (OCLC) reported
      on OCLC's experiment on cataloging at the network level (to expand the group of catalogers replacing the
      OCLC Master Record for monographs) termed OCLC Expert Community Experiment. The target date for
      the experiment is Feb. 2nd.
   e. OCLC Policy on Use of Records: Jim Dooley reported that this came up at the Charleston Conference.
      Cynthia Whitacre (OCLC) told the group that the policy updates and liberalizes OCLC's previous policy.
      Discussion of the Policy at UC campuses:
      o UCLA: Sharon Farb (AUL) has requested that concerns/questions be sent to her for compilation
      o Davis: Topic has been discussed among the Reference Librarians on the library wiki; concern about
        export of OCLC records into third-party citation programs (e.g., Endnote)
      o UCSD: Informal discussions

2. OCLC WorldCat Local Pilot (John Riemer)
   a. Timeline: The Pilot continues for the rest of 2008, with a decision due on moving to production by
      December (possibly at the ULs meeting later this week). The timeline may change from the two phases
      most often talked about, i.e. Pilot and Production to include a "Preproduction Phase," running from now until
      mid-2009.
   b. Tasks: Tasks that need to be achieved in next 6-8 months include:
      o Integrate and test Request
      o Completion of reclamation by the 4-5 campuses that have not done so
      o SCP reclamation for the monographic records
      o Possible reclamation for the NRLF/SRLF
      o LHR loading once OCLC is ready for it
      o Policy decisions on symbols to use for RLFs, on extent of inclusion of on-order/in-process records
      o Decision on the critical mass of records that are needed in WCL before moving to production
   c. Reclamation projects (Missing Records)
      o Local Holdings Records: Still awaiting the OCLC document that will summarize discussion from a pair
        of conference calls with OCLC regarding what data will go in LHRs and whether/how it will be indexed
      o Vendor records: Recently, CAMCIG made another call to learn of any vendor permission issues that
        might hold up reclamation projects. We want to be sure OCLC at least knows about the need to
        negotiate for those record sets that we have.

3. California Documents (Adolfo Tarango)
   a. From the SCP perspective, the process has been routinized; the October records went out today. Renee
      Chin will post the most recent version of the document to the Web site.

b. Problems: Some may have noticed duplicate OCLC records for Cal Docs. Lai-Ying explained that UC SC reclamation project resulted in some dups due to flaws in the OCLC matching algorithm; not yet clear why the records went in as new rather than matching existing records.

c. Analysis: At the last CAMCIG meeting, Claudia Horning volunteered to do an analysis of the California Documents. She will be reporting to CAMCIG the nature of the records—issues of the quality of the records. Her information will inform decisions on future distribution of the records.

d. Separate record approach for e-monographs: We are in the process of confirming that GPO and the California State Library are now following the separate record approach for federal documents and state documents respectively. If so, we will ask the SCP-AC for a change of policy for gov docs, to also follow the separate record approach for e-monos. Implication: there will be more original cataloging for monographs.

4. General SCP Update (Adolfo Tarango)

a. Reclamation: A UC group has been created to look at reclamation for SCP records; we are making good progress. We identified all of the mono vendor sets. We identified basic strategy thanks to Lai Ying. We also referred to Davis' document. Many thanks to the folks who cleared the path before us. We have identified some major issues that may need larger consultation. E.g.: Once we do the reclamation project, do we need to continue to send records to Old Melvyl? What commitment do we have to keeping Old Melvyl current?

   o Lai-Ying: The Vendor record licensing chart on the OCLC Web site seems to include packages for which we have SCP records as well. Example: Early American Imprint (Evans). Adolfo: The EAI records were loaded by another library. And another library loaded a record set [by mistake into OCLC] that was also a part of the SCP. But we did not do it. If we identify a vendor set for which we lack permission to load into OCLC, we will need to omit it from the reclamation project until OCLC can negotiate permission.

   o Jim: There are a good number of vendor sets which are not part of SCP and for which we still lack permission for loading the record sets. For example, only one Alexander Street record sets is on the list of SCP record sets. But we have many more. (Holly mentioned that UC Irvine has 37 additional Alexander Street record sets.) Adolfo: The Alexander Street SCP record set was not vendor-supplied; we cataloged it record-by-record

b. ERMS: CDL selected Serials Solutions as the system-wide ERMS. Now, an ERMS implementation team has been established. Kate Garvey-Clasby has been appointed to the team. Based on preliminary discussions, implementation will take a while. Many questions need resolution: Can we get rid of SFX ObjIDs? Will we now need Ser Sol IDs? Adolfo will keep us posted. One thing CDL did not do was buy the Serials Solutions MARC records. So there is no assumption that we will necessarily use the Ser Sol record sets; but we'll need to evaluate that.

c. CRC press: There has been a major clarification of what access we're to have. Beginning next June, we will lose access to a lot of databases. We have stopped cataloging those databases to which we will lose access. For some of those databases, work is underway to license as Tier 1 or Tier 2 before we lose access in June. Many titles were duplicated in other databases. Deborah Kegel is getting an analysis from Tony Harvell to identify overlap of titles and to see which packages are worth licensing. In addition to this change, CRC Press is losing rights to some titles. But there is no way to identify the titles. Renee Chin and Becky Culbertson are working with a CRC Press liaison to develop a mechanism so we can know when titles being withdrawn.

d. Cataloging Priorities: We have received no comments from Ivy or JSC about the Cataloging Priorities document submitted for the last quarter. I will be writing up a Cataloging Priorities document for next quarter soon. We are working on Springer, CRC, and LexisNexis. Becky will be completing work on the Lecture Notes in Mathematics series, and then she'll work on more Springer sets and the EBSCO databases. We're also slowly working on LexisNexis titles. We're moving forward bit by bit.