SCP-AC Conference Call

September 21, 2009
Minutes

Present: Becky Culbertson (recorder), UCSD; Sarah Gardner, UCD; Jim Dooley (Chair), UCM; Lai‐Ying Hsiung, UCSC; Elaine McCracken, UCSB; Nina Meechoonuk, UCSF; John Riemer (for Valerie Bross), UCLA; Lisa Rowlison de Ortiz, UCB; Sharon Scott, UCR; Adolfo Tarango, UCSD; Holly Tomren, UCI.

Absent: Valerie Bross, UCLA

1. Announcements

- Informal update on how campuses are doing on processing SCP reclamation records: UCSB, UCSC, UCM, UCSF done; UCLA expects to be finished next week; UCR is 3 weeks out from finishing; UCI will load SCP records after they finish OCLC reclamation; UCB is still loading migration records and will start after this; UCSD hopes to finish loading next week.

2. Next Generation Melvyl update (John Riemer)

- An evaluation period began on August 19th; campuses are asked to point to NGM as the default union catalog
- Users now go directly to the Request screen when they click on the Request button
- "Get it online' will no long display for items not available electronically
- 856 field links have been disabled in WCL for articles, so that users will access articles through UC-eLinks instead.
- Request will query ER symbols (e.g., CUSER, etc.)
- Adolfo said the SCP will do a batchload of SCP LHR's (when ready)
- John sent a chart with a timeline for UC-OCLC pilot LHRs implementation (through the end of December 2009).
    - Main tasks to be accomplished: If III, request software and get it installed; if not already done, ask OCLC for batchload job number for LHR loading; deciding how granular to be in defining "holding library codes" (decide whether to define only to library of collection level)
- Still missing from WCL

- Some vendor record sets, e.g. LexisNexis Congressional Hearings A, EEBO, and Readex Serial Set, being the most notable. OCLC is negotiating with the vendors.
- Multi-ILS capability; this would permit the integration of RLFs and some affiliate libraries within a single campus view. (Estimate time for completion is April 2010)
- Integration of LHRs into WCL; this is expected to resolve a response time problem for large serials

3. Next Generation Technical Services update (Jim Dooley)

- Teams of NGTS will issue monthly reports
- Teams 1 and 2 have sent a survey to a large number of UC groups; responses are due on Sept. 25th
- The last campus visit of the NGTS steering committee will be on October 2nd at UC Davis; slides used in the campus visits are posted on the NGTS web site
- The Steering Team will post responses to questions asked at the campus visits and by UCLA in advance of its visit on the NGTS web site by mid October.

4. General SCP update (Adolfo Tarango and Becky Culbertson)

- Record distribution was resumed today (Sept. 21, 2009). Approximately 4000 monographs and 2000 serial records were sent out. Campuses were reminded not to load these records until after they had finished processing their SCP reclamation records.
- MARC records for LexisNexis Congressional Hearings A will not be sent out to the campuses until OCLC and LexisNexis conclude their discussions.
- During reclamation, SCP included an 035 field with a second indicator of 7 in their records that held the previous OCLC record control number. We will leave these 035 fields in the record until the campuses have completed their SCP reclamation. Campuses can ignore or delete them. New records distributed by SCP will not have this field.
- Lisa reported that she was will provide a per title cataloging cost for CalDocs based on the work of the five campuses, not costs associated with the CalDocs harvest. This figure will be shared with SCP after she compiles it for Bernie Hurley and Lai-Ying.

5. Collective response to NGTS survey

- AC members were encouraged to send their responses to Jim Dooley

- A number of points were discussed including the following:
- SCP was held up as a good model for other resources such as Shared Print; the existing SCP could be expanded or a sister organization using the SCP model could be created
- More Tier 2s or serial analytics—online (or perhaps print analytics)
- Should SCP become a "symbol setting" operation and not necessarily distribute records to the campuses?
- Ordering, receiving and cataloging of CJK materials could be coordinated at one campus with the materials then being shipped to the other acquiring campuses
- Discussion of whether campuses were cataloging in their local catalogs or on OCLC and how they use students

UCB—catalogs on OCLC; uses students for first pass searching and then distributing

UCD—transitioning to cataloging at the Network level

UCI—uses a combination of PromptCat, cataloging in local catalog for copy cataloging (monographs), cataloging in OCLC for original, enhancing & serials; Acquisitions staff catalogs DLC|DLC on receipt

UCM—does very little local editing

UCSB—catalogs on OCLC, does some local editing in opac, uses shelfready.

UCSF—catalogs completely in OCLC

--Is concerned about how NGM would be kept current

UCLA—uses shelfready for vendors

UCSD—uses a combination of shelfready, cataloging on OCLC for original and enhancing; cataloging in local catalog for copy catalog (but looking to shift to cataloging more at the network level)