SCP Advisory Committee

Telephone Conference call

Friday, Sept. 24, 2004

Present: Present: Becky Culbertson (UCSD), Jim Dooley (UCM), Pat French (UCD), Vicki Grahame (UCI--recorder), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Elaine McCracken (UCSB), Carole McEwan (UCB), John Riemer (UCLA, chair), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD)

1. Housekeeping items

John reported on the recent 2-day meeting of the ERMS Task Force, during which three recommendations were made:

1. to request that the RFP for an ERM system begin and to obtain future funding commitments from the ULs
2. to poll campuses through HOPS as to whether there is still a need for local A-Z lists of e-resources at each campus
3. to evaluate the value of the SCP in light of the ERM and services such as Serials Solutions and SFX

2. General SCP update from Becky & Adolfo

- Ebsco Business Source Premier journals --Margery Tibbetts split the file for us into two groups. One has the journals that overlap with online coverage from other journals (mainly ABI/Inform). Of these we have finished 290 and have approx. 400 to go. For these, we just have to supply 793s, PIDs and coverage data to existing records. The other category, that with no other URLs, has been downloaded into CatME files by ISSN, but we've just barely scratched the surface on those. These records require (in addition to 793s and PIDs) class numbers, subject headings, 690 fields, verification of headings, 776 fields. There are over 1500 titles in this category.
- Adolfo asked about our use of the 599 field and the impact of retaining subsequent 599 in SCP records. Having multiple 599 would be problematic for some of the campuses and therefore we agreed that current 599 practice should continue as is.
- ISSN assignments for US serials lacking them: we agreed that we should pursue ISSNs for recent serials and that campuses could help with this.

Action: John will send us the list of serials without ISSNs. Adolfo will ask LC if they will accept electronic surrogates when assigning ISSNs

3. Responses received re: SCP record-loading practices: Becky has not received all responses yet. Jim indicated that he did not receive the survey.

Action: Becky will check to see why the message to SCP-L did not reach us.

4. Discussion of questions from Becky & Adolfo:

Should the dates in 856 $3 ever exceed what is in 362/008 dates? (Situation occurs in JSTOR titles.)

We all agreed that the dates in the 856 $3 should match the coverage in the 362 fields

Action: We will check with UC Riverside and UC San Francisco as to their opinion

Before implementing

Are 655 fields containing "Online resources" still needed? At least two campuses (UCI is one) are using this field for access or sorting

Action: SCP will continue to use this field on all records

5. Review/Discussion of SCP AC annual report & goals (requested by HOTS)

It was suggested that we add the Journal of Internet Cataloging article soon to be published to the list of activities completed

Pat asked about the list of original and unique benefits of the SCP at the end of the Goals. Suggestions were made to re-label these two categories, incorporate as an attachment to this report, and to remove the parenthetical remarks. Carole made the suggestion that we need to emphasize the cost savings realized by all campuses through the SCP.

Action: John will edit this before sending to HOTS. We all will send him further suggestions via email

6. General discussion of the possibility of an E-Product authority file--idea from Valerie Bross (John)

Deferred due to lack of time.

7. Progress on developing a standard for cartographic material for SCP: We reviewed the 2nd draft and agreed that we prefer Option D in the section on use of single or separate records: Conform to existing SCP practices, based on form of issuance. We discussed the situation of maps that are government documents and that are also republished by a commercial firm. In those instances we felt that it would be best to have two separate records.

8. **Next meeting**: November 9, 8:30-10:00